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## PROPOSAL <br> PRESENTATION (ORAL) EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software
EVALUATOR \#: $\qquad$ I

RFP/RFQ\# RTSX-160004-DS
DATE: $12 / 2 / 2015$
FIRM NAME: Trapez

| PRESENTATION (100 PTS) | POINT VALUE | POINTS AWARDED | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o Demonstration of proposer's system and <br> what RTS can do with it out of the box | 15 | 13 | Clear demonstration |
| o Functional and architectural overview of <br> products | 15 | 15 | Overvicu |
| componenta technical |  |  |  |
| o Description of technology solution |  |  |  |
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Proposer: Trapeze

1. What is the most important product upgrade you will make in the next year that RTS will be eligible to receive?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - Changing route definition process to have more Robust functionality } \\
& \text { - improve algorithams }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. What is the biggest complaint that existing clients have about your solution's current functionality?

- WIS + mapping

3. How will you maximize the solution's effectiveness for RTS recognizing our limited budget?

- Tool is very scalable $\sigma$ fuxibu
- Can essentially meet all needs out of th box

4. Why are you a better fit for RTS than any other vendor?

- Biggest entity in th field
- Solution is cosier to ven than this
- Upgrade process is chance


## PROPOSAL <br> PRESENTATION (ORAL) EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software
EVALUATOR \#: $\qquad$ 2 TRAPEZE
FIRM NAME:

RFP/RFQ\# RTSX-160004-DS
DATE: $\qquad$

| PRESENTATION (100 PTS) | POINT VALUE | POINTS AWARDED | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| o Demonstration of proposer's system and <br> what RTS can do with it out of the box | 15 | 10 |  |
| o Functional and architectural overview of <br> products | 15 | 10 |  |
| o Description of technology solution | 15 | 10 |  |
| o Description of support model (what's <br> included and what's not) | 10 | 8 |  |
| O Typical implementation and installation <br> steps to be taken and expected time frames <br> and any implications for RTS | 10 | 7 |  |



## PROPOSAL <br> PRESENTATION (ORAL) EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software
EVALUATOR \#: $\qquad$ 2 $\qquad$
RFP/RFQ\# RTSX-160004-DS
DATE: $\qquad$

FIRM NAME: $\qquad$

| PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW (100 PTS) | POINT VALUE | POINTS AWARDED | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O Project management/engineering approach | 10 | 7 |  |
| O Training plan and documentation | 10 | 8 |  |
| O Maintenance/warranty coverage |  | 8 |  |
| O Product support process, escalations |  |  |  |
| procedures, etc. | 5 | 5 |  |
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1. What is the most important product upgrade you will make in the next year that RTS will be eligible to receive?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Complete update to route definitions. } \\
& \text { - GIS updates } \\
& \text { - Sill GI's within trapeze, but not on top of ESRI } \\
& \text { Recent: Block manipulation during runcutting. }
\end{aligned}
$$

2. What is the biggest complaint that existing clients have about your solution's current functionality?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BIS. } \\
& \text { (lack of integration } w / \text { other software.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

3. How will you maximize the solution's effectiveness for RTS recognizing our limited budget?

Scalability.
(large to small agencies)
FLEX routes already built in. Standardized schedule data formats.
4. Why are you a better fit for RTS than any other vendor?

Biggest player in business.
Lots of use in Florida.
Big community that encourages discussion.
Upgrade policy is cleaner. (includes all annual updates) Customizations from GIRO are problematic?
Route definitions in line with (?) trib30 (?).
(Visually refer to tick 135?)

