

RTS Mission Statement:

To provide our community with a safe, courteous and reliable transportation alternative.

#080964



Rapid Transit Feasibility Study

Update to the MTPO CAC, TAC, BPAB, MTPO Board, and Plan East Gainesville Subcommittee





Today's Agenda

- Study objectives
- Overview of Tasks
- Key BRT Objectives
- Public Involvement
- Evaluation Tool, Criteria and Scoring
- Initial Corridor Scores
- Next Steps

Study Objectives

- Determine the feasibility of Bus Rapid Transit improvements on a locally preferred corridor for eligibility in Federal Small Starts and Very Small Starts program
- Implement a public involvement plan that incorporates public involvement activities designed to educate residents about BRT and obtain public opinions and feedback.
- Assess the potential application of bus service enhancements, BRT transit technologies, and specific premium transit elements to the study corridors.

Small Starts Must:

- Be new corridor-based bus project with all of the following minimum elements:
 - Substantial transit stations,
 - Traffic signal priority/pre-emption,
 - Low-floor vehicles or level boarding,
 - Branding of the proposed service, and
 - 10 minute peak/15 minute off peak headways or better while operating at least 14 hours per weekday.


Very Small Starts Must Have:

- Substantial transit stations,
- Traffic signal priority/pre-emption
- Low-floor vehicles or level boarding,
- Branding of the proposed service,
- 10 minute peak/15 minute off peak headways or better while operating at least 14 hours per weekday,
- Are in corridors with existing riders that exceed 3,000 per average weekday

Study Objectives

- Conduct a corridor assessment and prioritization analysis to determine the best corridors for near term BRT application.
- Ensure consistency with the 2025
 LRTP in regard to improving mobility and alleviating traffic congestion in the Gainesville area.
- Provide an environmentally-friendly alternative transportation choice for Gainesville.

Potential BRT Corridors



Overview of Tasks


- Task 1: Project Management & Coordination
- Task 2: Develop a Public Involvement
 Plan (PIP) and conduct public workshops
- Task 3: Collect Data and coordinate with local transportation organizations
- Task 4: Identify Potential Corridors for Rapid Transit Consideration
- Task 5: Develop Criteria Screening Process for Corridor Evaluation

Overview of Tasks


- Task 6: Conduct Technology Assessment
- Task 7: Conduct Corridor Selection and Refinement
- Task 8: Prioritize Alternative Service/Configurations
- Task 9: Select Final Priority Corridors and Prepare Implementation Plans
- Task10: Develop BRT Project Schedule and Milestones
- Task11: Prepare Draft Final Report

BRT Key Objectives

- Improve image of transit
 - Operate like rail
 - "Stations" rather than "stops"
 - Unique identity/branding
 - Use new technology
 - Signal priority, real-time signs, stop announcements
 - Reduce travel time by 20%
 - Develop in partnership with City and community
- Increase corridor ridership



EmX System Map



Public Involvement Plan


- Direct Involvement Activities
 - Project Management Team
 - Opinion Surveys
 - Public Workshops
 - Stakeholder Interviews
 - BRT Symposium
 - Local Government Agencies
 - MTPO Board and Advisory Committees

Public Involvement Plan

- Indirect involvement activities
 - Public Involvement Plan
 - Web-blast newsletter corresponds to workshop phases
 - Press releases/flyers workshops
 - Technical reports posted to RTS Web site
 - Legal advertisement
 - Mailing/contact lists
 - Additional Presentation and Workshop Materials

Public Involvement Activities

- BRT Symposium
 - October 2008
- Open House Workshops
 - October 2008
- Consensus Building Workshops
 - January 2009
- Prioritization Workshop
 - Later phase



Evaluation Tool

- Purpose: Identify data and measures that could be applied to all eight corridors equitably
- Potentially weight criteria and measures to emphasize importance
- Four Parts:
 - Market Potential
 - Travel Flows/Patterns
 - Roadway/Intersection Improvements
 - Accessibility/Compatibility

Market Potential

- Current corridor ridership
- Potential BRT corridor ridership
- Density Threshold Analysis = Employment and residential density using 2007 and 2035 data
- Transit Dependency
- University context area and riderhip
- Public Involvement

Travel Flows/Patterns

- Existing and future Travel Demand Flows (2007 and 2035)
- Existing and Future trip lengths (2007 and 2035)


Roadway/Intersection Improvements and Accessibility

- Roadway/Intersection Improvements
 - Right-of-way availabilities
 - Intersection geometries
- Accessibility
 - Transit connectivity
 - Coordination of improvements
 - Environmental Justice


Strategy/Objective	Criteria	Measure	Weight
	Current corridor ridership - 2007	Total existing average weekday ridership per mile	3
	2. Projected future corridor	Projected future average weekday	
	ridership	ridership per mile	3
	2 Eviating conditions	DTA index scoring based on combined	
	3. Existing conditions Density Threshold	existing residential and employment	2
	Assessment (DTA) - 2007	density within a 1/2-mile buffer of	_
	` ,	proposed corridor DTA index scoring based on combined	
A. Transit Demand/Market	4. Future conditions	future residential and employment	
	Density Threshold Assessment (DTA) - 2035	density within a ½-mile buffer of	2
		proposed corridor	
	5. Transit dependency	Index scoring based on Census	
Potential		demographics related to propensity for transit use within ½-mile buffer of	2
T Glerifia		proposed corridor	
		Percent of proposed corridor adjacent to	
	6. University context area	or within ½-mile of census tracts with	2
		residential areas consisting of > 30% student population	
		Percent of proposed corridor that	
	7. University ridership 8. Public Involvement	overlaps existing local bus routes	
		experiencing > 40% student and	2
		university faculty ridership	
		Total votes earned by analysis corridors preferred by public workshop	1 1
		participants	·
	Existing travel demand model flows - 2007	Number of person trips per mile	1
		occurring between TAZs within a ½-mile	
		buffer of the proposed corridor Number of person trips per mile	
	2. Future travel demand	occurring between TAZs within a ½-mile	1
B. Travel Flows/	model flows - 2035	buffer of the proposed corridor	
Traffic Condition	3. Existing trip lengths - 2007 4. Future trip lengths -2035	Proportion of longer person trips	1
		occurring between TAZs within a ½-mile	
		buffer of the proposed corridor Proportion of longer person trips	
		occurring between TAZs within a ½-mile	1
		buffer of the proposed corridor	
C. Roadway/ Intersection Improvements D. Accessibility/ Compatibility	1. Right-of-way availability	Width of available right-of-way or	
		excess roadway capacity available for exclusive running way facilities from GIS	3
		parcel data	
	Intersection geometries Transit connectivity	Number of intersections eligible for bus	
		preferential treatment applications per	2
		total signalized intersections	
		Number of transfer opportunities with existing non-parallel transit routes per	1
		mile	
		Review of transportation system	
	Potential for coordinated improvements	modifications to include planned and/or	2
		programmed roadway, bicycle and	
	3. Environmental justice	pedestrian facilities along the corridor Coverage of minority and/or other	
		underrepresented populations within ½-	2
		mile buffer of the corridor	

Analysis Tool with Criteria and Measures


2007 Density Threshold Analysis




2035 Density Threshold Analysis



Environmental Justice



University Context Area



Initial Scores – With Weights

Corridor Total S Archer RD (SW 75th ST to SW 13th ST) 89	Score
Archer RD (SW 75th ST to SW 13th ST)	
	9
Depot AVE (SW 13th ST to Williston RD)	7
Hawthorne RD/SR20 (Waldo RD to SE 43rd ST) 5	1
SW 13th ST (Williston RD to NW 6th ST) 4	1
SW 20 AVE/SW 62 BLVD(SW 34th ST to University AVE)	1
SW 23rd TER /SW 35th PL (SW 34th ST to Archer RD) 95	5
SW 34th ST (Newberry RD to SW 35th PL)	7
University AVE (Ft. Clarke to E City Limits) 4	1
Waldo RD (Depot AVE to Industrial Park) 55	5

Initial Scores – No weights

	Criteria
Corridor	Total Score
Archer RD (SW 75th ST to SW 13th ST)	51
Depot AVE (SW 13th ST to Williston RD)	43
Hawthorne RD/SR20 (Waldo RD to SE 43rd ST)	29
SW 13th ST (Williston RD to NW 6th ST)	23
SW 20 AVE/SW 62 BLVD(SW 34th ST to University AVE)	45
SW 23rd TER /SW 35th PL (SW 34th ST to Archer RD)	47
SW 34th ST (Newberry RD to SW 35th PL)	53
University AVE (Ft. Clarke to E City Limits)	27
Waldo RD (Depot AVE to Industrial Park)	33

Next Steps

- Conduct Technology Assessment
- Refine Corridors
- Develop preferred configurations
- Establish final locally preferred corridor

