

PROPOSAL EVALUATION SUMMARY

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

FIRM NAMES	EVALUATOR 1			EVALUATOR 2			EVALUATOR 3			COMP. RANGE?
	TECHNICAL EVALUATION	PRICE	TOTAL POINTS	TECHNICAL EVALUATION	PRICE	TOTAL POINTS	TECHNICAL EVALUATION	PRICE	TOTAL POINTS	
1 DoubleMap	0.00	20.00	20.00	5.00	20.00	25.00	2.00	20.00	22.00	No
2 Enghouse Transportation	45.00	5.50	50.50	40.00	5.50	45.50	40.00	5.50	45.50	No
3 GIRO Inc	75.00	1.30	76.30	75.00	1.30	76.30	77.00	1.30	78.30	Yes
4 Trapeze Software Group	60.00	4.20	64.20	54.00	4.20	58.20	55.00	4.20	59.20	Yes
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										

PROPOSAL EVALUATION RECAP

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 1

DATE: 11/9/2015

	FIRM NAMES	TECHNICAL (0-80)	COMMENTS (<i>Proposal Deficiencies</i>)
1	Double Map	0	See attached.
2	Enghouse Transportation	45	See attached.
3	Trapeze	60	See attached.
4	GIRO (Hastus)	75	See attached.
5			

Signature: *William Miller*

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 1

DATE: 11/4/2015

FIRM NAME: Double Map

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	<input type="radio"/>	
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	<input type="radio"/>	
o Corporate and team experience	15	<input type="radio"/>	
TOTAL	80	<input type="radio"/>	

Signature: *Michael Miller*

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 1

DATE: 11/5/2015

FIRM NAME: Enghouse

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	30	
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	10	
o Corporate and team experience	15	5	
TOTAL	80	45	

Signature: *Matthew Miller*

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 7

DATE: 11/4/2015

FIRM NAME: GIRD (Hastus)

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	40	
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	20	
o Corporate and team experience	15	15	
TOTAL	80	75	

Signature: *Matthew M...*

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 1

DATE: 11/4/2015

FIRM NAME: Trapeze

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	25	
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	15	
o Corporate and team experience	15	10	
TOTAL	80	60	

Signature: Walter Miller

PROPOSAL EVALUATION RECAP


PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 2

DATE: 11/10/15

	FIRM NAMES	TECHNICAL (0-80)	COMMENTS (<i>Propsal Deficiencies</i>)
1	GIRO/HASTUS	75	
2	TRAPEZE	54	
3	ENGHOUSE	40	
4	DOUBLEMAP	5	
5			

Signature: 

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

#150723Q

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software


RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 2

DATE: 11/10/15

FIRM NAME: DOUBLEMAP

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	5	
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	0	
o Corporate and team experience	15	0	
TOTAL	80	5	

Signature: 

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software


RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 2

DATE: 11/10/15

FIRM NAME: ENGHOUSE

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	20	
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	10	
o Corporate and team experience	15	10	
TOTAL	80	40	

Signature: 

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 2

DATE: 11/10/15

FIRM NAME: GIRO/HASTUS

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	40	
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	20	
o Corporate and team experience	15	15	
TOTAL	80	75	

Signature: 

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software


RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 2

DATE: 11/10/15

FIRM NAME: TRAPEZE

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	30	
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	12	
o Corporate and team experience	15	12	
TOTAL	80	54	

Signature: 

PROPOSAL EVALUATION RECAP

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 3

DATE: 11/10/15

	FIRM NAMES	TECHNICAL (0-80)	COMMENTS (<i>Proposal Deficiencies</i>)
1	GIRO	77	
2	Trapeze	55	
3	Double Map	2	
4	Enghouse	40	
5			

Signature: *Joseph Rumbuto*

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: Σ

DATE: 11/4/15

FIRM NAME: GTRD

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hosted (off-site!) solution could be problematic given RTSS limited internet bandwidth. - Lack of integration with ESRI Products is disappointing.
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very well developed training schedule and timeline; gives flexibility and reasons for a longer timeline. - Even has specific experience dealing with FR.
o Corporate and team experience	15	15	
TOTAL	80	77	

Signature: Joseph Luppette

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 3

DATE: 11/9/15

FIRM NAME: Trapeze

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	32	<i>Confidential.</i>
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	10	
o Corporate and team experience	15	13	
TOTAL	80	55	

Signature: *Joseph Lusk*

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 3

DATE: 11/3/15

FIRM NAME: Double Map

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	0	No scheduling solution was provided.
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	0	No demonstration of knowledge of basic terminology and concepts.
o Corporate and team experience	15	2	While the business is of reasonable size with some sorts of implementations in many cities, the amount of involvement of key members and their qualifications/responsibilities is unclear.
TOTAL	80	2	

Signature: Joseph Luchini

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: Fixed Route Scheduling Software

RFP/RFQ# RTSX-160004-DS

EVALUATOR #: 3

DATE: 11/9/15

FIRM NAME: Eng house

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (80 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Technical solution	45	20	<i>Confidential</i>
o Understanding of RTS needs	20	10	
o Corporate and team experience	15	10	
TOTAL	80	40	

Signature: *Joseph Lusitano*