CITY OF GAINESVILLE PLANNING DIVISION SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

Petition Number: 119SUB-98 DB Reviewed by: Gene G. Francis

Development Review Board Meeting: July 9, 1998

Project Name/Description: Breckenridge Cluster Subdivision Design Plat

I. Department Comments

1.	Planning -	Approvable with conditions.
2.	Public Works -	
3.	Gainesville Regional Utilities -	Approvable as submitted.
4.	Police -	
5.	Fire -	Approvable as submitted.
6.	Gas -	" u u
7.	Building -	Approvable with conditions.
8.	Arborist -	
9	Other - ACDEP -	

II. Overall Recommendation

The design plat is approvable with conditions.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN & SUBDIVISION REVIEW EVALUATION **CURRENT PLANNING ROOM 16, OLD LIBRARY**

ZZZ Łas	st University Avei	lue 334-3	0023
Petition No. <u>119SUB-98DB</u> Review For : <u>Development Review Board</u> Description, Agent & Location: Breckenr	Date Plan Rec'd: 06/3 Review Date: 07/0 idge Cluster Subdivision	9/98 Pro	view Type: <u>Design Plat</u> oject Agent: ng, Denman, & Assoc., Inc.
APPROVABLE (as submitted)	APPROVAB (subject to below		☐ DISAPPROVED
Plan meets ordinance requirements as Revisions necessary for plan to meet			Comments By:
			Gene G. Francis Planner
RECOMMEN	NDATIONS/REQUIREN	MENTS/COM	IMENTS
The petitioner is proposing to develop cluster subdivisions, the petitioner has are allowed to reduce required building those allowed within the zoning distrition a percentage of reduced lot sizes, size so there is no "cluster open space streets. These streets must meet City	s the opportunity to reduce the setbacks if approved but (8,500 sq.ft.), the petitioner, in this case. The petitioner is pro	ce lot width, on the City. It is the City. It is the city in the city is the city in the city in the city is the city in the c	depth, and area. In addition, they findividual lot areas are less than rovide "Cluster Open Space" based have any lots less than 8,500 sq.ft. in
The design plat is approvable with 1. The rear building setback lines lo match the abutting 20 foot setbacks a	cated along the east, wes		
2. Lots 28, 29, and 30, backing up t 35 feet from the 39th Avenue right-orequires a 50 rear building setback no	f-way line or 29 feet from	n the rear pro	nave their rear setback line drawn at perty line. Generally the code

- 3. Please indicate that there shall be no access from Lots 28, 29, and 30 onto 39th Avenue. I realize that there is to be a wall along the right-of-way but that would not prevent a gate from being installed in the wall.
- 4. The wall should be drawn on the "General Layout" plan as a continuation of the entrance wall.
- 5. On the final plat, the petitioner should only indicate what the building setbacks "shall be" except as noted. The petitioner should not write what they are being changed from. That could always change in the future.
- 6. The information about the Capri cluster subdivisions needs to be revised. The "Public Utilities Easement" needs to be indicated.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN & SUBDIVISION REVIEW EVALUATION CURRENT PLANNING ROOM 16, OLD LIBRARY

222 East University Avenue 334-5023

7. The Planning Division is requesting that the petitioner provide a 5 foot wide sidewalk along at least one side
of the private north-south road leading into the subdivision. This request is based upon City Commission
guidance and good planning practice. This sidewalk would be consistent with the sidewalk requested for the
"Palm Grove" subdivision being developed approximately 10 blocks to the east.

8.	In addition, planning staff is requesting that the petitioner provide/allow for pedestrian access to and from
Ca	ri Cluster Subdivision through the "Public Utilities Easement" located in the northeast corner of the
sub	division.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 334-2051 M.S. 58

Petition No. 119SUB-98 DB Review For: Technical Review Com Description, Agent & Location: Brece Eng, Denman 3714	Review Date: 7/7/98 mittee Plan Reviewed: 07/07/98 ckenridge Subdivision 4 NW 39th Ave. APPROVED	Review Type: Design Plat Project Planner: Lawrence Calderon DISAPPROVED
(as submitted)	(subject to below)	
 ☐ Alachua County driveway permi ☒ Approved for concurrency ☒ 25 Yr. critical duration storm ever ☒ SJRWMD stormwater permit is a ☒ Treatment volume must be recov ☐ Petitioner must schedule meeting 	ent must be analyzed. required. vered within 72 Hrs. (F.S. of 2)	Comments By: Rick Melzer P.E. Development Review Engineer
1 An F.D.O.T. drainage and drive	ONS: way connection permit must be obtain	ned before final signoff.
A drainage area map needs to be		
3. The construction plans will be re	eviewed after the design plat approval.	
Significant changes have been mand will apply to this plat review	nade to Chapter 177 of the Florida Stat v. See attached document.	utes that are effective July 1, 1998
5. The City's Engineering Design I	Manual requires maintenance berms to	be at least 15 feet.
,		~
s		

SITE PLAN REVIEW EVALUATION SHEET

BUILDING INSPECTION DEPARTMENT BUILDING CODE ONLY 334-5055

Petition No.: 119SUB-98DB Review Date: 7-6-98

Description, Agent, & Location: ENG, DENMAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.,

BRECKENRIDGE SUBDIVISION

DESIGN PLAT

Reviewed By BRENDA G. STRICKLAND

approval.

process.

Raze or housing moving permits will be required for each structure removed.

With any reduction of setbacks, pay special attention to Table 600 for fire resistance and openings.

GAINESVILLE REGIONAL UTILITIES DEVELOPMENT REVIEW EVALUATION

Petition No: 119 508 98 Date:
Project: Breckenridge 50
Location: 3700 N.Y. 39 AVE
Agent/Applicant: Fire, DELIMAN & ASSOC., INC.
Conceptual comments Approved as submitted Approved w/conditions (as listed below) Insufficient information to approve Approval of your plans from the City of Gainesville should not had misconstrued as an approval of your on-site water & sanitary sewer utilities. NEW SERVICES
MEW SERVICES
WATER
SANITARY SEWER
ELECTRIC
GAS
3
REAL ESTATE

REVIEWD BY: ELLEN UNDERWOOD, UTILITY SERVICE COORDINATOR PO BOX 147117, GAINESVILLE, FL 32614

VOICE (904)334-3400, EXT. 1644 - FAX (904)334-2636

FIRE PROTECTION/LIFE SAFETY REVIEW

APPROVABLE APPROVABLE DISAPPROVED CONCE SUBJECT TO COMMENTS Plan meets fire protection requirements of Gainesville's Land Development Code Section 30-160 as submitted. Revisions are necessary for plan to meet requirements of Gainesville's Land Development Code, Section 30-160. Revisions are necessary for compliance with related codes and ordinances and are submitted for applicant information prior to Comments By: Comments By: Thomas Bender Fire Protection Intern	ancis
Development Code Section 30-160 as submitted. Revisions are necessary for plan to meet requirements of Gainesville's Land Development Code, Section 30-160. Revisions are necessary for compliance with related codes and Thomas Bender	—— ∃PT
further development review.	*
REVISIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS:	
	*
	w.

SITE PLAN REVIEW <u>City Arborist</u>

ETITION # 119SUB-98 (Eng. Denman & Assoc., Inc.) DATE: July 6, 1998
ROJECT 3714 NW 39 Ave., Breckenridge Subdivision (Gene)
EVIEW Designed plat approval of 30 lots located on 9.43 acres MOL.
Approved Disapproved
X Approved with the following conditions
there are some extraordinary trees near the front of this site, and thanks to a good design, almost all of them can be saved. However, if there are no tree barricades to protect them, they may start slow decline after construction. Add the note on the plans: <i>Prior to clearing the rights-of-way or road construction, call the City Arborist at 334-2171 to discuss barricades to be constructed round significant trees.</i>
code Sec. 30-251 (2) b. 3. iii requires landscaping a minimum of 25% of the drainage retention rea including the shoulders. This landscaping should be more than sod so that Gainesville meets 1.2.a. of the Conservation Element of the Comprehensive Plan which calls for a reduction in the turf areas. The design plat for this Cluster Subdivision should include a landscape plan for the drainage retention basin.
code Sec. 30-261 requires the subdivider to <u>establish</u> one street tree for every 150 feet of badway or easement. Trees must be planted within 5' of the ROW or PUE. Indicate on the lans that this will happen, who will be responsible (general contractor, developer, or contract omebuilders), and that the trees will be at least 8' tall, 2" in trunk caliper, and Florida Grade #1 r better.
mpact on the Urban Forest from road construction only: Trees to be removed = 18 Trees to be planted = 10
submitted by City Arborist, Parks Division, City of Gainesville P.O. Box 490, Sta. 27 Gainesville, FL 32602 (904-334-2171)
ignature They Trieder Loxer

RECEIVED

JUL 0 5 1998 PLANNING DIVISION

DESIGN PLAT

RECEIVED

SITE PLAN DEFICIENCIES CHECKLİST

JUL 0 7 1993

PLANNING

CEDD)	- (ARRORIST)	- (BUILDING) - (FIRE) - (GAS) - (PLANNING)
OLICE)	· (PUBLIC WOR	KS) - (TRAFFIC) - (UTILITIES)
. mn /.	11198	PETITION NO.: 1195UB-98 DB
	•	4 1 4
	X 1	NOON ON: Tuesday 6-16-98
OMMENT	:s: Leschar	ment does not appear to
be of	soluted	by Ababus downing HMMC.
	0	
REVIEW:	Complete []	Approved as submitted []
REVIEW:	Complete [Approved as submitted [] Approved with conditions []
REVIEW:		Approved with conditions []
REVIEW:	Complete [
REVIEW:		Approved with conditions []
REVIEW:		Approved with conditions []

sp-defidef 5/23/04



