

## TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

**PROJECT:** Broker/Agent Insurance and Risk Management Consulting Services

**RFP #:** RMDX-150054-DD

**EVALUATOR #:** 2

**DATE:** 7-29-15

**FIRM NAME:** MARSH

Signature 

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Ability	55	55	MARSH more than has the technical qualifications to meet the needs of the City of Evesville.
o Capability of meeting Time and Budget constraints	45	45	
<b>TOTAL</b>	100	100	

## TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION


**PROJECT:** Broker/Agent Insurance and Risk Management Consulting Services

**RFP #:** RMDX-150054-DD

**EVALUATOR #:** 2

**DATE:** 7-29-15

**FIRM NAME:** Arthur J. Gallagher

Signature: 

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Ability	55	35	Although I am sure that Gallagher could provide the services I find their representatives to have less experience as compared to MARSH.
o Capability of meeting Time and Budget constraints	45	40	Gallagher will be at a slight disadvantage as compared to MARSH who is the incumbent?
TOTAL	100	75	

### WRITTEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION


**PROJECT:** Broker/Agent Insurance and Risk Management Consulting Services

**RFP #:** RMDX-150054-DD

**EVALUATOR #:** 2

**DATE:** 7-29-15

**FIRM NAME:** MARSH

Signature: 

WRITTEN PROPOSAL (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Project Understanding/Experience	30	30	<i>I found that the minimum requirements answers on Page 11 MARSH were not correct or easy to find.</i>
o Project Approach	30	30	
o Project Manager	15	15	
o Project Team	15	15	
o Project Schedule	5	5	
o Project Organization	5	4	
<b>TOTAL</b>	100	99 ✓	

**WRITTEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION**


**PROJECT:** Broker/Agent Insurance and Risk Management Consulting Services

**RFP #:** RMDX-150054-DD

**EVALUATOR #:** 2

**DATE:** 7-29-15

**FIRM NAME:** Arthur J. Gallagher

Signature: 

WRITTEN PROPOSAL (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Project Understanding/Experience	30	30	1st I did not find the proposal was well thought out
o Project Approach	30	30	
o Project Manager	15	10	Less experience
o Project Team	15	10	Less experience
o Project Schedule	5	5	
o Project Organization	5	0	2nd. I was unable to find where there was any coordination between the Proposer and the Carrier with regards to loss control visits
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>85</b> ✓	