| APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTAN | CF | 2. DATE SU | | /2009 | Applicant Identifier 2900346008 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|---|---|---|
| ······································ | <u> </u> | 3. DATE RE | CEIVED B | V STATE | State Application Identifier |
| 1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION Application | Pre-application | 3. DATE RE | OLIVED D | I GIAIL | |
| ☐ Construction | ☐ Construction | 4 DATE DE | CEIVED D | Y FEDERAL AGENCY | Federal Identifier |
| | | 4. DATE KE | CEIVED D | TEDERAL AGENCY | 1 ederal facilities |
| Non-Construction | Non-Construction | | | | |
| 5. APPLICANT INFORMATIO | <u> </u> | | Organiza | tional Unit: | |
| Legal Name: | .t. Denimal Airport Aut | horit. | | nt: Airport Administration | 0 |
| Gainesville-Alachua Cour | | itority | Division: | Airport Authority | |
| Organizational DUNS: 13-492-5 | 02/0 | | | | erson to be contacted on matters |
| Address: Street: 3880 NE 39 th Ave. | | | | this application (give area c | |
| Suite A | | | | Mr. First Name: Allan | |
| City: Gainesville | | | Middle Na | me: John | |
| County: Alachua | <u> </u> | | Last Nam | | |
| State: FL | Zip Code: | 32609- | Suffix: | | |
| Country: USA | | | Email: | allan.penksa@flyga | ainesville.com |
| 6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATI | ON NUMBER (EIN): | | | | Fax Number (give area code): |
| 5 9 — 2 7 7 4 | | | Phone Number (give area code): Fax Number (give area 352-373-0249 352-374-8368 7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (See instructions for Application | | 352-374-8368 |
| 8. TYPE OF APPLICATION: | | | 7 TYPE | OF APPLICANT: (See in: | structions for Application Types) |
| 8. THE OF APPLICATION. | v | □Revision | G | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| If Revision, enter appropriate letter(| | |] _ | | |
| (See instructions for description of le | | | Other (sp | | |
| Other (specify) | | | 1 | | : Federal Aviation Administration |
| 10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL | DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE | E NUMBER: | 1 | CRIPTIVE TITLE OF APP | |
| | 2 0 • | 1 0 6 | 1 | Overuns on TW A1 and | |
| | | | 1 | duct Wildlife Hazard Ass | |
| TITLE (Name of Program): Airport | | State etc.): | 1 | plete Interim ALP Upda | |
| 1 | ia, Bradford, Clay, Colu | | | uire AOA/Runway Swee | |
| Gilchrest, Levy, Marion | , Putnam and Union Co | unties in | | | mercial Apron (Up to16,840 s.yds.) |
| north central Florida. | | | | | 30,330 l.f. of Perimeter Fencingl |
| 13. PROPOSED PROJECT | | | | GRESSINAL DISTRICTS | |
| Start Date: 1/22/2009 | Ending Date: 12/3 | 31/2010 | a. Applic | ant Fifth District | b. Project Fifth District |
| AT TOTAL SER FUNDING | | | 16. IS A | PPLICATION SUBJECT TO DER 12372 PROCESS? | O REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE |
| 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: | \$1.30 | 2,008.73 | | THIS PREAPPLICATIO | N WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE |
| a. Federal | | 6,631.81 | u. 100.2 | STATE EXECUTIVE OF | RDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW |
| b. Applicant | | 6,631.81 | | ON DATE: 7/15/2009 PROGRAM IS NOT CO | WEDER BY E O 12272 |
| c. State | ΨΟ | 0,001.01 | | | OT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE FOR |
| d. Local | | | - | REVIEW | 5, BEEN GEET 0. E. O |
| e. Other | | | | | |
| f. Program Income | ¢1 461 | 5,272.35 | 1 | | JENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? |
| g. TOTAL | | | | es If "Yes", attach an expl | |
| 18. TO THE BEST OF MY KI THE GOVERNING BODY THE ATTACHED ASSURA | OF THE APPLICANT HA | S DULY AUTH | ORIZED 1 | PPLICATION/PREAPPLIC HE DOCUMENT, AND TH | CATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. IE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH |
| a. Authorized Representative | | | | | |
| Prefix: Mr. First Name | : Allan | | | Middle Name: John | |
| Last Name: Penksa | | | | Suffix: | |
| b. Title: CEO | | | | c. Telephone Number (g | ive area code): 352-373-0249 |
| d. Signature of Authorized Rep | presentative | | | e. Date Signed: | |
| (000 11 | | | | | July 30, 2009 |

Gainesville Regional Airport FAA AIP#30 Application 30-Jul-09

| PROPOSED NEW WORK ITEMS | | Scenario 1 |
|--|------------------|--------------------|
| Acquire AOA Vacuum Sweeper | | |
| Sweeper | | \$ 96,000.00 |
| Administrative | | \$ 200.00 |
| Project Total | 96,200 | |
| Complete ALP Update (Professional Services) | | \$ 14,615.00 |
| Project Total | 14,615 | |
| Complete FAA Wildlife Hazard Assessment | | |
| Professional Services | | \$ 48,677.57 |
| Administrative Expenses | | \$ 250.00 |
| Project Total | | |
| Rehabilitate 16,840 syds. Commercial Apron | | |
| Apron Construction | | \$ 224,131.46 |
| Engineering | | \$ 32,500.00 |
| Project Total | \$ 256,631.46 | |
| Install/Improve 30,200 l.f. of AOA Perimeter Fence | | |
| Bid Schedule A | | \$ 265,612.00 |
| Bid Schedule B | | \$ 101,337.80 |
| Bid Schedule C | | \$ 23,888.00 |
| Bid Schedule D | | \$ 27,536.00 |
| Bid Schedule E | | \$ 127,624.00 |
| Bid Schedule F | | \$ 208,500.00 |
| Bid Schedule G | | \$ 18,000.00 |
| Design and Bid Phase Professional Services | | \$ 36,500.00 |
| RPR and Construction Phase Prof. Services | | \$ 38,000.00 |
| Administrative Expenses | | \$ 250.00 |
| Project Total | \$ 847,247.80 | |
| TOTAL ALL PROJECTS | | \$ 1,263,621.83 |
| Federal Participation (95%) | 95% | \$ 1,200,440.74 |
| Sponsor Share (5%) | 5% | \$ 63,181.09 |

Gainesville Regional Airport 3-12-0028-030-2009 Funding Needs

| | | Scenario 1 | _ | Scenario 2 | 0) | Scenario 3 | Š | Scenario 4 | S | Scenario 5 | S | Scenario 6 |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| >Closeout AIP-2508 | ₩ | 201,650.52 | | 201,650.52 | | | | | | | | |
| >Sweeper | 0) | 96,000.00 | υ | 96,000.00 | ↔ | 96,000.00 | ↔ | 96,000.00 | ₩ | 96,000.00 | ↔ | 96,000.00 |
| Administrative | 03 | 200.00 | | 200.00 | ↔ | 200.00 | s | 200.00 | ↔ | 200.00 | ↔ | 200.00 |
| >ALP Update | 07 | 14,615.00 | | 14,615.00 | ↔ | 14,615.00 | | 14,615.00 | () | 14,615.00 | ↔ | 14,615.00 |
| >Wildlife Assessment | 47 | 48,677.57 | | 48,677.57 | ↔ | 48,677.57 | 4 | 48,677.57 | ₩ | 48,677.57 | ↔ | 48,677.57 |
| Administrative Expenses | 07 | 250.00 | 49 | 250.00 | ↔ | 250.00 | ₩ | 250.00 | ₩ | 250.00 | ↔ | 250.00 |
| >Commercial Apron | 07 | 3 224,131.46 | | 224,131.46 | ₩ | 224,131.46 | ھ | 224,131.46 | ↔ | 224,131.46 | ↔ | 224,131.46 |
| Engineering | 01 | | _ | 32,500.00 | ↔ | | 4 | 32,500.00 | ₩ | 32,500.00 | ↔ | 32,500.00 |
| >Fence: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Schedule A | 07 | 5 265,612.00 | | 265,612.00 | ↔ | 265,612.00 | ₩ | 265,612.00 | ₩ | 265,612.00 | () | 265,612.00 |
| Schedule B | 01 | 101,337.80 | ↔ | 101,337.80 | ↔ | 101,337.80 | ⇔ | 101,337.80 | ₩ | 68,053.86 | ↔ | 74,315.37 |
| Schedule C | 07 | | | | ↔ | | 4 | 23,888.00 | ↔ | 23,888.00 | ↔ | 23,888.00 |
| Schedule D | ₩ | 3 27,536.00 | ↔ | 27,536.00 | ↔ | 27,536.00 | 44 | 27,536.00 | ↔ | 27,536.00 | ↔ | 27,536.00 |
| Schedule E | 97 | 127,624.00 | _ | | 69 | 127,624.00 | | | | | | |
| Schedule F | 97 | 3 208,500.00 | | | 69 | 208,500.00 | ↔ | 180,500.00 | ₩ | 208,500.00 | ₩ | 208,500.00 |
| Schedule G | 97 | 18,000.00 | ₩. | 18,000.00 | 69 | 18,000.00 | ↔ | 18,000.00 | ₩ | 18,000.00 | 69 | 18,000.00 |
| Design | • | 36,500.00 | ↔ | 36,500.00 | ↔ | 36,500.00 | ₩ | 36,500.00 | ↔ | 36,500.00 | ↔ | 36,500.00 |
| RPR | ₩ | 38,000.00 | ↔ | 38,000.00 | υ | 38,000.00 | ₩ | 38,000.00 | ₩ | 38,000.00 | ↔ | 38,000.00 |
| Administrative Expenses | \$ | 250.00 | | 250.00 | မှာ | 250.00 | 4 | 250.00 | \$ | 250.00 | ક્ક | 250.00 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 3 1,465,272.35 | \$ | 1,105,260.35 | s | 1,263,621.83 | \$ | ,107,997.83 | 8 | ,102,713.89 | \$ | 1,108,975.40 |
| Federal Participation (95%) | \$ %56 | 1,392,008.73 | ↔ | 1,049,997.33 | 69 | 1,200,440.74 | _ | ,052,597.94 | \$ | 1,047,578.20 | · 49 | 1,053,526.63 |
| Sponsor Share (5%) | \$ 2% | 73,263.62 | | 55,263.02 | ₩ | | () | 55,399.89 | (S) | 55,135.69 | ₩ | 55,448.77 |
| € | 171,938.00 | | | 11.00 | | | | | | | | |
| · 69 | 153,770.00 | # 1 mm | | Marie Marie | | 200 | | | | | | |
| €9 | 10,849.00 | | | | | | | n. | | | | |
| | 5,390.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Closeout AIP-2809 \$ | 832.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Available Entitlements | • | 0, | | 1,001,097.00 | ₩ | | • | 1,001,097.00 | | 1,001,097.00 | | 1,001,097.00 |
| Wildlife Funding | 97 | \$ 46,481.19 | () | 46,481.19 | ₩ | 46,481.19 | ↔ | 46,481.19 | () | 46,481.19 | () | 46,481.19 |
| Discretionary Need | ↔ | 344,430.54 | | 2,419.14 | ₩ | 152,862.55 | 4 A | 5,019.75 | ₩ | 0.00 | 8 | 5,948.44 |
| Total Discretionary Need | | 390,911.73 | ↔ | 48,900.33 | \$ | 199,343.74 | €9 | 51,500.94 | ↔ | 46,481.20 | ↔ | 52,429.63 |
| Ç | | - | | ď | | C | | 4 | | ц | | с, |
| Preference/Kanking | | - | | > | | 7 | | t | | ס | | , |

Application for AIP Grant Funds

PROGRAM NARRATIVE

PROJECT

Conduct Wildlife Hazard Assessment

Wildlife can pose a serious threat to aircraft safety. The airport employs numerous tools and practices to discourage birds, large mammals and other wildlife from congregating on the airfield. However, during the airports most recent Part 139 Certification Inspection, the FAA requested the airport conduct a Wildlife Hazard Assessment (Please see letter from Patrick Rogers of FAA, dated February 19, 2009). The year -long study will identify various species of birds and other animals that visit the airport, including their numbers, locations, local movements, and daily and seasonal occurrences. The consultant will identify features on or near the airport that attract wildlife. The consultant will identify wildlife hazards to air carrier operations and make recommendations for reducing these hazards.

The Wildlife Hazard Assessment is to be conducted by an approved, professional wildlife biologist. The study will take approximately 15 months to complete. The results of the Wildlife Hazard Assessment will be submitted to the FAA for review. Upon review, the FAA will determine the need for the airport to commission a Wildlife Hazard Management Plan. Funding for a Wildlife Hazard Management Plan is not included in this request.

Complete Interim ALP Update

The airport began its last Master Plan update in 2003. The current Airport Layout Plan is dated September, 2003. Since that time, there have been several construction projects on the airport in general conformance with the ALP. These include four large service and storage hangars, two T-hangar buildings and associated aprons and taxilanes. Other new construction includes an airfield maintenance facility, cell phone tower and other misc. improvements. The airport wishes to prepare an interim update of the ALP which accurately reflects recent construction and recommended changes to better address needs previously identified in the Master Plan. The consultant will also examine any change in magnetic declination or prevailing wind conditions. A narrative report will be included with the draft ALP update submitted to FAA for approval.

Install/Improve up to 30,200 l.f. of Perimeter Fencing

Control of wildlife is of critical safety concern to airport operators. The Gainesville Regional Airport conducts ongoing activities to control wildlife, employing frequent measures to scare birds, reduce habitat and remove deer, coyote and other large mammals from the airport as needed. The United States Department of Agriculture provides

periodic training of airport employees to recognize potentially hazardous wildlife and instruct in the techniques and practices used to mitigate these hazards. The USDA has inspected the Gainesville Regional Airport and provided some specific recommendations to reduce potential wildlife hazard at GNV. USDA recommends that the airport continue to work to reduce wildlife attractants from within the airport boundary and maintain an effective perimeter fence. The airport has several acres of vegetated wetlands within the perimeter fence. These areas provide cover and habitat for deer, coyote and other wildlife. Removal of these habitats would be costly and involve expensive wetland mitigation. As these areas are similar to habitat surrounding the airport, the most cost effective solution is to simply fence them out. Wildlife also will often burrow beneath the fence and washouts will occur, allowing larger mammals a temporary entry point. FAA Certalert No. 04-16 recommends that new wildlife fence in deer prone areas include a buried apron to alleviate this problem. Currently, none of the existing airport perimeter fence includes a buried apron.

The airport proposes to make improvements to the airport perimeter fence over time. In Phase I, new wildlife/security fencing will be strategically placed to re-define the eastern airport perimeter and fence out wooded, wetland areas (Please see the attached exhibit). These areas are attractants to deer, coyote and other wildlife, providing cover and food sources. The airport also has problems with mammals and tortoises burrowing beneath the fence in the sandy soil. The new fence will extend a minimum of 2 ft. below the surface. The airport also proposes bid alternates to replace older sections of 6' high fence in poorer condition with 8' and 10' sections as appropriate to the location. All fences will include a minimum three strands of barbed wire in accordance with the latest FAA Advisory Circulars.

The Transportation Security Administration requires airport personnel to inspect the perimeter fence daily at specific intervals. At present, portions of the eastern perimeter fence line are often inaccessible due to the wet conditions and cannot be seen from within the airfield due to wetland vegetation. The revised fence line will allow our personnel to inspect the fence more effectively by fencing around impassable wetland areas and providing a clear and unobstructed view of the perimeter. The unobstructed view of the fence line will allow airport personnel to more readily spot intruders and wildlife and quickly identify and repair breaches. Phase I of the project will also include installation of an automatic gate opener on one existing, frequently used manual gate and tie in other existing automatic gates to the airport's existing computerized access control system.

Scope

The project has been segmented into seven bid schedules (A-G) with bid schedule A identified as the highest priority or "base bid". The bid schedules are as follows:

Schedule A - 6,500 l.f. of new wildlife fence (south)

Schedule B - 3,430 l.f. of new wildlife fence (north)

Schedule C - 7,200 l.f of barbed wire extensions on existing fence (west)

Schedule D - 8,400 l.f. of barbed wire extensions on existing fence (north)

Schedule E – 4,800 l.f. of new perimeter fence (west)

Bid Schedule F- install select new gate controllers and access readers

Bid Schedule G – Install new perimeter security camera

Acquire AOA/Runway Sweeper

The airport works continually to remove Foreign Object Debris (F.O.D.) from runways, taxiways and other airport surfaces in order to reduce the potential for engine ingestion and other aircraft damage. The airport has a voluntary F.O.D. awareness and removal program called "F.O.D. Free at GNV". The program includes education about the hazards of F.O.D. and organized F.O.D. walks on aircraft aprons. The Airlines, FBO and key airport tenants are encouraged to participate.

The airport is greatly in need of a replacement power vacuum sweeper to keep surfaces free of harmful debris. The existing sweeper was purchased in 1992 and is no longer in service due to age. The desired unit will allow the airport to remove debris from runways and taxiways quickly and efficiently, substantially improving operational safety.

Rehabilitate 16,840 Square yards of Commercial Terminal Apron

The airport's main commercial terminal apron is constructed of both asphalt and concrete. The parking positions closest to the terminal are concrete and are in good condition. The remainder of the apron, including the taxiway connectors, is constructed of asphalt. The airport proposes to mill off two inches of the existing pavement and replace with new asphalt. The Airport Authority has included this work in an existing bid package for rehabilitation of the general aviation side aprons as Additive Alternate Bid Schedules F2 and F3. Given the relatively small size of this area (13,400 square yards for area F2 and 3,440 square yards for area F3), this approach to bidding should provide the most cost effective opportunity to repave the area, as the two projects can be done concurrently by the same contractor without need for additional mobilization.

The existing asphalt is well oxidized, has significant cracking and is producing F.O.D. The project area is relatively small and the pavement condition varies. While portions of the taxiway-apron connectors are considered fair and a small portion good, the majority of the project area has been evaluated as poor to very poor and the areas should be paved together for economics and surface consistency. Please see the attached exhibit from the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program dated January 22, 2008 showing the project area.

The pavement in the majority of area F2 could be extended by up to five years by crack sealing and applying a sealcoat material, however investing in new pavement at this time will provide a cost effective long term solution. The area in F-3 will not benefit from a surface treatment and is in need of repaving. Area F2 experiences considerably more through traffic and parking due to its location. F2 is the primary section where large commercial jet charters are parked. Even though the condition of this area is a bit better than F3, the airport has prioritized F2 for rehabilitation in the event the project scope must be limited due to budget constraints.



