04/0520

__ City of _ Gainesville

Inter-Office Communication

Planning Division

X5022, FAX x2282, Station 11

Item No. 6

TO:

City Plan Board

DATE: May 19, 2005

FROM:

Planning Division Staff

SUBJECT:

Planning Division Staff

SUBJECT:

Petition 22PDV-05 PB. Joe Montalto, Jr., P.E., agent for Mark and Mary Barrow, Trustee. Rezone property from RSF-3 (single-family residential, 5.8 du/acre) to PD (planned development-Single-family Residential) and to create a minor subdivision of 4 lots. Located at 204, 210 and 216 Northeast

10th Avenue in the Northeast Historic District.

Recommendation

Planning staff recommends approval of Petition 22PDV-05 PB with conditions.

Explanation

This petition addresses a request to change the zoning on the subject property from RSF-3 (Single-family Residential, 5.8du/ac) to Planned Development, Residential. The subject property is located in the Northeast Historic District and comprises 0.634 acres. The parcel is located at the Northeast corner of Northeast 2nd Street and Northeast 10th Avenue, having 179 feet along Northeast 2nd Street and 153.75 feet along Northeast 10th Avenue. It is bounded on the north and east by existing single-family dwellings. Immediately south is the 154 feet wide right-of-way of Northeast 10th Avenue, through which runs a 94-foot wide conservation zoning and the creek bed. Lying to the west is a multi-family/office development, across Northeast 2nd Street. The subject parcel is currently developed with three separate single-family buildings, each facing Northeast 10th Avenue. North of the existing single-family units is a vacant, undeveloped area which stretches approximately 88 feet northwards to the north property boundary. The property owner intends to create a lot in that area, plus three others to match the footprint of the three existing single-family structures.

The RSF-3 Zoning District allows one single-family dwelling per lot. In order to obtain additional dwelling units, lots must be created based on allowable density. The subject property has an allowable density of 5.8 dwelling units per acre, which will allow a total of 3.654 dwelling units. Although that would round up to 4 allowable units, the existing placement and orientation of the existing structures would not allow the creation of lots that conform to the standards of the RSF-3 Zoning District. To accomplish the objective, the property owner is requesting a PD zoning which has the built-in flexibility to allow the creation of lots based on

City Plan Board Petition 22PDV-05 PB May 19, 2005

the as built standards. Item VII, in the Planned Development Report, shows how the intended lots and development standards compare with the requirements of the RSF-3 Zoning District.

Analysis:

This planned development request includes a PD layout plan showing proposed lots, a development plan report and supporting documentation. The applicant intends to file for the appropriate subdivision review, after approval of the Planned Development ordinance. In reviewing the proposed Planned Development, staff considered the following criteria:

Conformance with comprehensive plan

The existing development is residential and is developed at a density, which is consistent with the comprehensive plan. Although the current pattern and configuration of lost are not compatible, the final implementation of the proposed planned development will render the final development consistent and in conformance with the land development regulations and the comprehensive plan. Other aspects of the proposed development such as environmental, traffic, parking, pedestrian movement, open space, and access will be either maintained or improved, consistent with the comprehensive plan requirements.

Concurrency

A concurrency review has been conducted on the development. A determination has been made that the adjacent road network has adequate capacity to accommodate the traffic, which will be generated by the development. A preliminary or final certificate of concurrency will be considered during the subdivision review process.

Internal compatibility

In terms of uses, the development is internally compatible in that the use is residential with related accessory support uses and structures. Lot configuration, size and orientation will be designed during subdivision review to meet ordinance requirements. Lots are planned to run in a north/south direction coincident with the three existing single-family units with one lot oriented in an east-west direction with frontage on Northeast 2nd Street.

<u>Condition 1</u>. Except for the development standards included in this document, subdivision and development of the property shall be in accordance with the Land Development Code and the RSF-3 zoning district of the land development regulations.

<u>Condition 2</u>. The proposed development shall comply with the requirements of the Historic Preservation District in which it is located.

External compatibility

The existing and proposed development of the subject property is residential. Developments to the north, east and south are zoned and developed as single-family residential. Immediately west, across Northeast 2nd Street is a multi-family development. The existing development, which consists of three single-family units, has existed compatibly for many years with existing surrounding development. The additional unit is expected to maintain overall compatibility.

City Plan Board Petition 22PDV-05 PB May 19, 2005

Intensity of development & useable open spaces, plazas and recreation areas.

The land use on the subject property is Single-Family (up to 8 units per acre). The zoning is RSF-3, Single-family residential, 5.8 dwelling units per acre. The property is currently developed with 3 units, less than the allowable density of 3.67 units. Based on current procedures, four units would be allowed. In order to avoid any future questions of interpretation the applicant is requesting a density modification to 6.4 dwelling units per acre, which will yield 4 dwelling units. This density is within the allowable land use density.

The property is bounded on the south by the right-of-way of Northeast 10th Avenue, which includes a 95-foot wide conservation/recreation area, which provides accessible open space. It is also within close proximity to a city park, with a variety of active recreational facilities.

<u>Condition 3.</u> The maximum allowable density on the subject property shall be 6.4 dwelling units per acre.

Environmental constraints

There are no significant environmental factors directly related to rezoning and development of the site. The application shows no wetlands or wetland buffers which will be impacted by the development. South of the property runs a creek but it is well separated by existing rights-of-way and the newly created lots are not expected to significantly impact the creek.

<u>Condition 4.</u> Development on the site shall proceed in a manner that does not allow silt run-off to the creek system to the south.

External and Internal transportation access

The development is bounded on its south and west boundaries by existing streets capable of providing adequate access to existing and created lots. The three existing dwelling units front on Northeast 10th Avenue, which offers direct vehicular and pedestrian access to the development. The two easternmost buildings currently share a common access driveway off Northeast 10th Avenue. No official driveway currently exists for the building on the corner of Northeast 2nd and Northeast 10th Avenue. The fourth lot is intended to have access of Northeast 2nd Street. In keeping with the general access management policy of reducing the number of access points on development, staff has determined that the newly created lot 4, should create an access driveway that provides access to lot 1. That driveway should be aligned with the proposed driveway for the new development on the west side of Northeast 2nd Street. Access points for fire, emergency, utility and loading services can be attained from the two adjoining streets.

Off-street parking

Parking and bicycle parking is intended to be provided in accordance with the requirements for single-family residential developments.

City Plan Board Petition 22PDV-05 PB May 19, 2005

Sidewalks, trails and bikeways

The development is in an area, which strongly encourages pedestrian movement. It is therefore expected that sidewalks will be provided where they do not currently exist. Adequate space shall be provided or secured for placement of the sidewalks along Northeast 2nd Street.

<u>Condition 5.</u> Treatment of streetscape, sidewalks design and pedestrian circulation shall be consistent with the intent of the Historic District.

<u>Condition 6</u>. In areas where existing sidewalks are deficient or deteriorated, the development shall repair or provide sidewalks to meet code requirements.

Public facilities

Public facilities are available within close proximity to the development site.

Unified control

Documents provided with the application indicate unified control of the property

Development time limits

The development is proposed as a single phase.

<u>Condition 7</u>. The PD shall be valid for a period of two (2) years. During that period the property must obtain subdivision approval to create the lots allowed under this Planned Development. Once the lots are created and recorded, the Planned Development shall be considered implemented. Where no conflicts exist the created lots shall continue to exist and be subject to the adopted planned development ordinance and applicable Land Development Code.

<u>Condition 8.</u> A one-time, one-year extension of the valid dates of the Planned Development may be approved by the city commission.

Bonds

This section is not applicable at this time, during subdivision review, any required bonding will be addressed.

Respectfully submitted,

Rolph Hilliand

Ralph Hilliard

Planning Manager

Community Development

LDC:ldc

		The state of the s	•	EN 620 EN 621 EN	CP	E A	PS MD	AGR CON	12 =	. ≤	CCD	<u> </u>	ВТ	BA	PD	우	OR RH2	RH1	RMC 0	RMF7	RMF6	<u>₹</u> 7.	RMF5	RSF4	RSF3	RSF1			
	City Filling	Division line between two zoning districts	Special Area Plan	Historic Preservation/Conservation District	Corporate Park	Airport Facility Educational Services	Medical Services Public Services and Operations	Agriculture Conservation	General Industrial	Warehousing and Wholesaling	Central City District	Mixed Use Low Intensity (10-30 du/acre)	Tourist-Oriented Business	Automotive-Oriented Business	Planned Development	General Office	Residential High Density (8-100 du/acre) Office Residential (up to 20 du/acre)	Residential High Density (8-43 du/acre)	Residential Mixed Use (up to 75 du/acre)	Multiple-Family Medium Density Residential (8-21 du/acre)	Multiple-Family Medium Density Residential (8-1)	Mobile Home Residential (12 du/acre)	Residential Low Density (12 du/acre)	Single-Family Residential (8 du/acre)	Single-Family Residential (5.8 du/acre)	Single-Family Residential (3.5 du/acre)		Zoning Districts	
Control assessment of the control of		consideration	Area under petition	-							dendris visit den									du/acre)	(8-15 du/acre)								<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
		M				MU1								BA MO		102	1	MU1		102		031	0 10	036	<u></u>	MI 11	1108	MU1	
			912	OR		- 144- A		<u>'</u>				102		N	ĪE.	2	!NE		l		1020 REI				11	00	1109	MC1	11
	NE 9TH AVE	206 214		RSF2 RSF2 RSF3	RSF2	RSF2	WEST BLVD			-	EAST BLVD.	2014						RUF3	T		102		10:	37 D C T 2	104 RSF3	3	1105 0 0	1107	0000
2	E RSF3	300	RSF3 6		RSF3 ₽)	<i>'</i>		224 ZE		RSF3		101	ST	-			102	:6		RSF3 10			RSF3 104	11 105	
ZONING		1 1				747	7		300		RSF3)) 1			RSF3	101 707 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			10 RSF3	21	RSF3	10	039 Z Z Z Z	Dona			RSF	RSH3	RSF3

s No Scale

Joe Montalto, Jr., P.E., agent for Mark & Mary Barrow, Trustee

From RSF3 to PD

3851

22PDV-05PB

Petition Request

Map(s)

Petition Number

Name

CITY OF GAINESVILLE PLANNING DIVISION DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

Petition Number: 22PDV-05PB

By: Lawrence D. Calderon

\$16/05

City Plan Board: Rezone property from RSF-3, Single-family Residential, 5.3 du/ac to Planned Development to allow creation of four single-family lots.

Review For: Joe Montalto, Jr. P.E.,

Plan Reviewed: 5/6/2005

Joe Montalto, Jr. P.E., agent for Mark and Mary Barrow, Trustee for the Barrow Family. Rezone property from RSF-3, Single-family Residential, 5.3du/ac to Planned Development to allow one additional lot and a total of 4 single-family Residential dwelling units. Located at 204, 210, 216 NE 10th Avenue.

I. Department Comments

1. Planning:

Approved with conditions

2. Public Works &

Traffic Engineering:

Approved as submitted

3. G.R.U & Gas:

Approved as submitted

4. Police:

Approved as submitted

5. Fire: -

Approved as submitted

6. **Building:** -

Approved as submitted

7. Arborist: -

Approved with conditions

8. Other:- ACDEP -

Approved as submitted

9. Other: Airport:

No comments

10. Concurrency Review:

Approved as submitted

II. Overall Recommendation

Staff recommends Approval of Petition 22PDV-05PB

with staff conditions.

SITE PLAN EVALUATION SHEET

DEVELOPMENT PLAN & SUBDIVISION REVIEW EVALUATION CURRENT PLANNING ROOM 16, OLD LIBRARY

222 East University Avenue 334-5023

Petition No. 22PDB-05PB Review Date: 5/20/2005

Review For: Joe Montalto, Jr. P.E., Plan Reviewed: 5/10/2005

Joe Montalto, Jr. P.E., agent for Mark and Mary Barrow, Trustee for

the Barrow Family. Rezone property from RSF-3, Single-family

Residential, 5.3du/ac to Planned Development to allow one additional lot and a total of 4 single-family Residential dwelling units. Located

at 204, 210, 216 NE 10th Avenue.

Review Type:

Preliminary Final Amend.

Project Planner:

awrence Calderon

RECOMMENDATIONS/REQUIREMENTS/COMMENT

- 1. There is a note stating that all structures within 300 feet are residential. Please correct, it appears that there is an office/multi-family development to the west of the subject property.
- Setbacks and development standards for created lots shall be in accordance with the RSF-3 Zoning District.
- 3. Staff would require compliance with the street buffer requirements along 2nd and 10th.
- 4. Access to the lots fronting NE 10th Avenue shall be as existing, one independent and one shared driveway.
- 5. Once the PD is approved, creation of the four lots will be through the Minor Subdivision process.
- 6. This process requires a current survey, reflecting placement of existing structures.
- 7. Sidewalks, if not existing will be required along NE 2nd Street and NE 10th Avenue.
- 8. Subdivision review is required for creation of the four lots. The application may be submitted concurrently with the PD or after approval of the PD.
- 9. Please ensure that the PD Report is consistent with the PD Layout Plan and associated documents.
- 10. Provisions shall be made to ensure that construction activities are conducted off the public rights-of-way.
- 11. Parking shall be in accordance with the Land Development Code.

SITE PLAN EVALUATION SHEET

BUILDING INSPECTION DEPARTMENT REVIEW

Review Type: Planned Development Petition No. 22PDV-05PB Review Date: 2/11/05 Review For :Plan Board Plan Reviewed: 2/11/200 Description, Agent & Location: Joe Montalto, Jr., P.E., Mark Barrow Project Planner: <u>Lawrence Calderon</u> Trustee, 204, 210, 216 NW 10 Ave. DISAPPROVED CONCEPT APPROVABLE **APPROVABLE** SUBJECT TO COMMENTS This site plan has been reviewed for compliance with Chapter 5 of Comments By: the Standard Building Code & for accessible routes of the Florida Accessibility Code for Building Construction. Complete code compliance plan review will be performed at Building Brenda G. Strickland Plans Examiner Permitting. **REVISIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS:** The horizontal measurements from the proposed property line shall be to the closest vertical wall of the 1. existing structure.

SITE PLAN EVALUATION SHEET

Urban Forestry Inspector 334-2171 – Sta. 27

	······································							
Petition: 22PDV-05 PB Review date: 2/17/05	Review: Rezone to PD Planner: Lawrence							
Review For: Technical Review Committee								
Agent: Joe Montalto for Mark & Mary Barrow located 20 210, & 216 NE 10 th Avenue.	4,							
210, & 210 NE 10 Avenue.								
APPROVED APPROVED	DISAPPROVED							
(as submitted) (with conditions)								
Tree Survey Required	Comments by:							
Landscape Plan Required								
Irrigation system required	Earline Luhrman							
\overline{X} Attention to conditions (revisions/recommendations)	Urban Forestry Inspector							
Requirements	lorge charles & small shruhs)							
 Street Buffers (large shade trees, understory trees, Indicate all regulated trees. Regulated trees are 8" 	in diameter 41/2 feet from the root							
base and all heritage trees are 20" in diameter.	The diameter of the record of							
3. Landscaping Notes								
4. Planting Detail								
5. Barricade Detail								
6. Plant Material Schedule7. Indicate trees to be removed with an "X".								
7. Indicate trees to be removed with an 22.								
Irrigation System Section 30-251 b c								
An irrigation system, or a readily available water system was supplied for all landscaped areas.	vithin a distance of 100 feet, shall be							
Santian 20 251 (7) h								
Section 30-251 (7) h For all new development, or redevelopment of the existing property, the applicant needs to remove all invasive nonnative plant species from the property prior to issuance of the								
certificate of occupancy.								
	•							
Impact on the Urban Forest will be determined at a later da	ate.							
AAAPWEV VAN VAN WAVENING TO THE TOTAL TOTA								