0406598

SITE PLAN EVALUATION SHEET

DEVELOPMENT PLAN & SUBDIVISION REVIEW EVALUATION CURRENT PLANNING ROOM 16, OLD LIBRARY

222 East University Avenue 334-5023

Petition No. 155PDV-04PB

Review Date: 11/12/2004

Review For: Trimark Corporation

Plan Reviewed: 11/12/2004

Project Name: Trimark Corporation

Causseaux & Ellington, Inc., agent for Trimark Corporation.

Rezoning from RH-2 to PD to allow Residential, Offices and

Commercial. Located at 1231 SW 3rd Avenue.

Review Type:

Preliminary Final Amend.

Project Planner:

Lawrence Calderon

RECOMMENDATIONS/REQUIREMENTS/COMMENT

- 1. The maps provided with PD rezoning, does not show how the existing developed site will be used.
- 2. A PD Layout plan is required
- 3. A Development Plan Report is required in order to process the request for a PD. The document submitted does not include any reference to a development plan report. Staff recommends that some pages of the existing booklet be used to develop such a report.
- 4. No dimensions are provided on the maps to provide an indication of size and scale of the proposed development.
- 5. Please indicate how the subject parcel is removed from the existing development and how the existing development satisfies density requirements and other conditions of a previously approved development plan. A statement is made but no data is provided. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant to ensure that the remaining development meet development standards.
- 6. The property ownership appears correct on the Tax Record but the ownership on the application should be consistent. Please clarify the subject property as it relates to address and legal description.
- 7. The PD process requires the following: Sec. 30-214
 - a. Existing conditions Map Not provided
 - b. A PD Layout Plan Not provided
 - c. Other documents, referenced but not provided

It would be convenient to have a map at a more appropriate scale.

A survey of the subject property would very helpful. The exact boundaries of the subject property in relationship to current rights-of-way limits should be designated. No dimensions are provided.

SITE PLAN EVALUATION SHEET

DEVELOPMENT PLAN & SUBDIVISION REVIEW EVALUATION CURRENT PLANNING ROOM 16, OLD LIBRARY

222 East University Avenue 334-5023

9. Prior to adopting the final language of the PD, the documents must be consistent and free of information, which will not be included in the ordinance or applicable to the development. Please ensure that any such information is clarified and removed.

10. Please provide plans at the scale required by the PD ordinance, No PD layout plan is

provided.

11. It appears that the only criterion, which cannot be met, is the amount of allowable Non-residential square footage. That being the case, are there any development standards that will be modified? Please provide a break-down of the various elements of the proposed development.

12. The project addresses public space, where would those spaces be created.

13. The project also addresses treatment of streetscape, it would be helpful to review how those streetscapes weave into the requirements of the University Heights Overlay plan.

14. The plan discusses the option of creating a transitional area, how does this fit into the proposal submitted and how would it work in relationship to the adjacent project/s.

- 15. It is stated in the plans that setback requirements will follow the guidelines set forth by the University Heights Special Area plan. Which type does the plan wish to pattern?
- 16. No open space information is provided. Will the site be fully developed with impervious materials.
- 17. It appears that an area is designated for parking on the east side of the subject parcel. How will this function.
- 18. Given the known width of the alley, it is not show how parking, driveway and access will be accommodated. Will the adjacent property be included, if so, what kind of modifications will be proposed? The submitted document discusses treatment of the alley; it does not appear that there is adequate space available to achieve what is proposed. Any property used for public purposes must be dedicated to the city of necessary easements must be provided.
- 19. How does emergency and service vehicles access the facilities.
- 20. Considering the recent conflicts with solid waste disposal, it would be prudent to consider that element early in the process.
- 21. Could not relate the concept of private drives with the development as proposed; where will the speed humps, traffic calming mechanisms and other features be located?
- 22. How will parking orientation in relationship to the alley, movement of vehicles and impacts to adjacent properties be addressed.
- 23. Please be aware that this petition is contingent on approval of the application amending the Special Area Plan.

CONCURRENCY REVIEW PLANNING DIVISION - (352) 334-5022

Sheet 1 of 1

Detition 155DDV 04DD	Date Received 11/2/04	X Preliminary
Petition 155PDV-04PB		<u>A</u> Final
	her Review Date 11/5/04	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Project Name Gator Esta		Amendment
Location 1231 SW		Special Use
1 3 11	usseaux & Ellington	X_Planned Dev.
Reviewed by Onelia La	zzari 🐧 🚶	Design Plat
	(40	Concept
Approvable	(subject to below) y) Concept (Comments only) UIREMENTS/COMMENTS	Insufficient Information
 3. An error still exists in the trip generation shown in both the PD and PUD Reports and on the layout plan sheets (both PD and PUD). Please correct as follows: a. For ITE Category 814 (Specialty Retail Center), for the p.m. peak hour trip generation calculations, please use the p.m. peak hour of adjacent street traffic and make appropriate corrections and resubmit information. Currently in the documents, when the totals are added for p.m. peak hour, p.m. peak hour of adjacent street traffic for Specialty Retail is not used to compute the total (p.m. peak hour of the generator is used). Please correct (including in the net result after the modal split reduction is included). Also, please put these corrections on an 8 1/2 x 11 sheet and submit so that it can be attached to the Application for Concurrency Certification. 2. A condition of approval is that the PD shall not have any drive-through uses. 		

SITE PLAN EVALUATION SHEET

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 334-5072 M.S. 58

Petition No. 155PDV-04 PB Review Date: 10/21/04 Review For: Technical Review Committee Plan Reviewed: 10/21/2004 Description, Agent & Location: Gator Estates Causseaux & Ellington 1231 SW 3 rd Ave.	Review Type: <u>Planned Development</u> Project Planner: <u>Lawrence Calderon</u>			
APPROVED (as submitted) APPROVED (subject to below)	DISAPPROVED			
 □ Alachua County Environmental Review Required □ Alachua County Environmental Review Not Required □ 100 Yr. critical duration storm event must be analyzed. □ SJRWMD stormwater permit is required. □ Treatment volume must be recovered within 72 Hrs. (F.S. of 2) □ Approved for Concurrency 	Rick Meller V.E. Development Review Engineer			
REVISIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS:				
 Access will not be permitted onto SW 13th Street. All access must occur along SW 3rd and 4th Ave's and should be proposed as far east as possible. 				
2. All trash pick-up proposals must be approved by the City's Solid Waste Manager.				
	·			
	:			
·				

SITE PLAN EVALUATION SHEET GAINESVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Petition Number: 155PDV-04 PB Review Date: November 3, 2004

Site Visit Date: September 16, 2004, 1125 Hours

Description: Gator Estates **Location:** 1231 SW 3rd Ave.

Review For: Final Staff Meeting, Review #2

Planner: Lawrence Calderon Reviewed By: Sgt. Jeff Reese

Recommendations and Comments

- 1. No comments related to rezoning request.
- 2. The Police Department needs to review and comment on the following, prior to final approval for construction:
 - a. Area lighting with a photometric plan
 - b. Alarm systems
 - c. Access control and fencing
 - d. Building markings and numbering
 - e. Other comments related to security, natural surveillance, visibility, and lighting. The scope of comments will depend on building design, layout, and surrounding conditions.

The purpose of this review is to provide security recommendations. This report is advisory only and is not intended to identify all weaknesses or to warrant the adequacy of all present and future security measures whether or not recommended.



DEVELOPMENT REVIEW EVALUATION GAINESVILLE REGIONAL UTILITIES

Ellen Underwood, New Development Coordinator PO Box 147117, Gainesville, FI 32614 Voice (352) 393-1644 - Fax (352) 334-3480

Nov 8, 2004

3 Petition 155PDV-04 PB

Causseaux & Ellington, Inc., agent for Trimark Corporation. Rezone property from RH-2 (residential high density, 8-100 du/acre) to PD (planned development) for a mixed use development of multi-family residential and office use. **Gator Estates**. Located at 1231 Southwest 3rd Avenue. Related to Petitions 154LUC-04 PB and 179ZON-04 PB. (Planner, Lawrence Calderon)

Conceptional Comments	○ Conditions/Comments
Approved as submitted	Olnsufficient information to approve

New Services GRU has no objections to the proposed mixed use rezonning of this property. Contact Terry Hartley at 393-1459 to schedule a project meeting with each GRU area, the planner, arborist, cabel TV & Bell South when you are ready. The utility space allocations need to be approved before final.

Water
Sanitary
Sewer
Electric
Gas
Real
Estate

SITE PLAN EVALUATION SHEET

BUILDING INSPECTION DEPARTMENT REVIEW

Petition No. 154LUC-04PB & 155PDV-04PBReview Date: 11/8/04 Review For :Plan Board Plan Reviewed: 11/8/200 Description, Agent & Location: Causseaux & Ellington, Inc., Gator Estates, 1231 SW 3 Ave.	Review Type: <u>Planned Development</u> Project Planner: <u>Lawrence Calderon</u>
☐ APPROVABLE SUBJECT TO COMMENTS ☐ DISAI	PPROVED CONCEPT
This site plan has been reviewed for compliance with Chapter 5 of the Standard Building Code & for accessible routes of the Florida Accessibility Code for Building Construction. Complete code compliance plan review will be performed at Building Permitting.	Comments By: Brenda S. Hrickland Brenda G. Strickland Plans Examiner
REVISIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS:	
The Building Department has no problem with the proposed land use and z preliminary/final site plan will be submitted for review.	coning change knowing that a
	·