

## CITY OF GAINESVILLE PLANNING DIVISION SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

Petition Number: 99SUB-05 DB Reviewed by: Gene G. Francis

Development Review Board Meeting: July 14, 2005 Project Name/Description: Hampton Lane Subdivision

#### I. Department Comments

1.	Planning -	Approvable	with c	onditions.
2.	Public Works -			<b>"</b>
3.	Gainesville Regional Utilities -			"
4.	Police -	Approvable	as sub	mitted
5.	Fire -	"	.,44	•
6.	Building -	, n	44	"
7.	Arborist -	Approvable	with c	onditions.
8.	ACDEP -	Approvable	as sub	mitted.
9.	Concurrency -	Approvable	with c	onditions

II. Overall Recommendation

The design plat is approvable with conditions.

# DEVELOPMENT PLAN & SUBDIVISION REVIEW EVALUATION CURRENT PLANNING ROOM 158, THOMAS CENTER "B"

Date Plan Rec'd: 07/01/05 Preview

Project Agent: Causseaux & Ellington
☐ DISAPPROVED
Comments By:
Gene G. Francis Planner

#### RECOMMENDATIONS/REQUIREMENTS/COMMENTS

2.7 Sue lac

Petition No. 99SUR-05DR

The petitioner is proposing to subdivide a 3.29 acre parcel into 9 single-family lots. The property is zoned RSF-1 (3.5 du/ac), contains an abandoned single-family residence and a partially completed, two-story, garage/residential dwelling. Both of the existing dwellings are to be razed and debris properly disposed of long with an existing septic tank and field. The petitioner was not required to hold a neighborhood meeting because the project included 10 or less proposed residential dwellings. During the review process, however, planning staff requested that the petitioner consider meeting with some of the abutting neighbors, even though a meeting was not required. The petitioner has agreed to install several 6-foot high wood fences in the area of the retention basins and preserve a 5-foot wide buffer of natural vegetation next to the basins. The petitioner has also indicated that none of the existing trees will be removed from any of the lots except for several that have been identified as being dangerous and in poor condition and have been approved for removal by City staff.

### The Design Plat is approvable with the following conditions and recommendations:

- 1. Planning staff recommends that the proposed fences be pressure treated and <u>built-in-place</u> instead of installing pre-assembled members.
- 2. The petitioner has indicated that all rights-of-ways, Public Utility Easements (PUE), and Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF) must be cleared of vegetation. Planning staff would like to know whether all drainage easements (stormwater pipes) have to be totally cleared of vegetation? I would hope not.
- 3. The petitioner should understand that the only building setback lines that should be placed on the "Final Plat", are those that do not meet the normal setbacks identified in the LDC for a particular zoning district such as those front building setbacks identified on the proposed cul-de-sac.

The petitioner must indicate on the "Final Plat" that Lots # 1 & 9 shall not have direct access to NW 16<sup>th</sup> evenue.

### DEVELOPMENT PLAN & SUBDIVISION REVIEW EVALUATION **CURRENT PLANNING ROOM 158, THOMAS CENTER "B"**

306 Northeast 6th Avenue 334-5023

- 5. As part of their construction plans, the petitioner must provide a landscape plan that indicates street shade trees that are placed every 50 feet on both sides of the right-of-way. In addition, the landscape should clearly illustrate (3) well landscaped stormwater retention basins.
- 6. Planning staff failed to comment on the lack of a 5-foot wide sidewalk being installed along the full length of the subdivision right-of-way. Planning staff is definitely calling for sidewalks to be installed and they should be indicated prior to the Design Plat going to the City Commission for their approval.
- 7. Prior to the design plat going to the City Commission, the petitioner should get with the Public Works representative to determine and indicate on the design plat what the new street number will be.
- 8. The subdivision name, on the location map, should be up-dated to the new Hampton Lane name.

9. Bound up existing residence

# CONCURRENCY REVIEW PLANNING DIVISION - (352) 334-5022

Sheet 1 of 1

			Sheet 1 of 1
Petition	99SUB-05DB	Date Received 7/1/05	X Preliminary
X DRB	PBOther	Review Date <u>7/7/05</u>	Final
Project Nam	e Fincher Sub	division	Amendment
Location	2502 NW 10		Special Use
Agent/Applic		sseaux & Ellington	Planned Dev.
			X Design Plat
Reviewed by	<u>Onelia Lazz</u>	an A	
			Concept
X Approval	ole	Approvable	Insufficient
(as submitt	ted)	(subject to below)	Information
PD Conce	ept (Comments only)	Concept (Comments only	7)
		REMENTS/COMMENTS	
For informati	onal purposes only:		
1. At final	plat stage, please sub	omit an Application for a Certifica	ate of Final Concurrency.
approva		ocated in Zone B of the TCEA, product sign a TCEA Agreement for	

Francis, Gene G.

From: Veilleux, John

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2005 11:57 AM

To: Francis, Gene G.

Subject: Hampton Lane Comments - Public works

#### Public Works Comments:

- 1. These basins appear small and tight to provide practical access at the rear of basins 1 & 2?
- 2. Please provide details of your flood route concept. This has become a very important issue as of late. We are now requiring a detailed concept at this stage.
- 3. See item #2.
- 4. I understand it is conceptual. We are just trying to clarify the existing system components vs. new system components.
- 5. Does this mean there is no access between basins?
- 6. Is this all the info you have on it?
- 7. Ok
- 8. Ok

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT 334-5072 M.S. 58

Review For: Technical Review Committee Plan Reviewed: 6/22/05  Description, Agent & Location: Fincher Subdivision  Amy Fincher Ritch 2502 NW 16 <sup>th</sup> Ave.	Review Type:  Subdivision Project Planner:  Lawrence Calderon
APPROVED (as submitted)  APPROVED (subject to below)	DISAPPROVED
Alachua County Environmental Review Required Alachua County Environmental Review Not Required 100 Yr. critical duration storm event must be analyzed. SJRWMD stormwater permit is required. Treatment volume must be recovered within 72 Hrs. (F.S. of 2) Approved for Concurrency	Comments By:  John Veilleux P.E.  Development Review Engineer
REVISIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS:	
1. Maintenance access required to drainage structures.	
2. Provide flood routing for all basins.	
3. plain discharge onto adjacent private property?	
4. Provide more detail for existing (and proposed) drainage structures shown	1.
5. What is the structure between basins SMF 2 & 3?	
Explain separate landlocked parcel in NW corner of site?	
Show radius of curve in roadway.	
. Explain access plan for the median in NW 16th Avenue?	
	·
	1



## DEVELOPMENT REVIEW EVALUATION GAINESVILLE REGIONAL UTILITIES

Ellen Underwood, New Development Coordinator PO Box 147117, Gainesville, Fl 32614 Voice (352) 393-1644 - Fax (352) 334-3480

Jul 11, 2005

9 Petition 99SUB-05 DB
Causseaux & Ellington, PE, agent for Amy Fincher Ritch. Design plat review for 9 lots on 3.29
acres MOL. Fincher Subdivision. Zoned: RSF-1 (single-family residential, 3.5 du/acre).
Located at 2502 NW 16th Avenue. (Planner, Gene Francis)

○ Conceptional Comments	Conditions/Comments
O Approved as submitted	Insufficient information to approve

New Services Before plat approval, a separate construction permit to install utilities will be required. Please submit a Plan Review Application along with 3 sets of plans. A plan review is required to determine the utility space allocations and the public utilities easements that are needed on the plat.

Water
Sanitary
Sewer
Electric
Gas
Real
Estate

Urban Forestry Inspector 334-2171 – Sta. 27

Petition: 99SUB-05 DB Review date: 7/7/05 Review For: Technical Review Committee Agent: Causseaux & Ellington for Hampton Lane Subdivision located at 2502 NW 16 <sup>th</sup> Avenue.	Planner: Gene
APPROVED APPROVED D  (as submitted) (with conditions)	ISAPPROVED
Trec Survey Required Landscape Plan Required Irrigation system required X Attention to conditions (revisions/recommendations)	Earline Luhrman Urban Forestry Inspector
General Notes  Add this note. This project will be in compliance with street trees in new subdivisions (Section 30-261), street management areas (30.251 (2) b).	landscaping requirements for et buffers (30-353) and stormwater
Existing Magnolia Trees  There are several heritage and regulated Magnolia tree trees would be a wise decision for the Urban Forest.	es on site and protecting these
No impact on the Urban Forest at this time.	
	·

