Amendment Cost Recap —December 2001 Exhibit A

Exhibit A				City of Gainesville	
	Budget to	Expended Date or Budget	Increase in costs	General Gov't	GRU
Task Description					
9	0.800	15,631	5,822	1,456	4,367
1.1 Document Review, Study Plan	9,809	274,476	109,748		109,748
1.2 Additional Testing	164,728 0	194,280	194,280	8,694	185,586
1.2.1 Additional Wells and Borings	0	46,181	46,181	46,181	
1.2.2 Rinker Phase I and II & Supp'al		29,091	29,091	29,091	
1.2.3 MCB Oil Co Phase I & II	0	10,000	10,000	10,000	
1.2.5 MCB Oil Co Legal Support	0			4,208	4,208
1.3 GIS/Database	11,051	19,466		.,200	20.
2.1 Site Evaluation	4,838	4,747	500000		5,000
2.2 Risk Assessment	28,390	33,390	n Saran I	2,500	7,500
2.3 Feasibility Study	35,870	45,870	1,000	2,500	, 15.5.5
2.4 Storm Water Management Analysis	28,280	25,430			"
2.5 Conceptual Park Atts	71,159	34,510		7,215	
2.5.1 Depot Alignment Shift	0	7,215	7,215	7,213	
SUBTOTAL PHASE I	\$354,125				
				2	
	32,930) 0		
3.1 Preliminary Remedial Design	102,030		0 0		
3.2 Preliminary Park Design				1	
SUBTOTAL PHASE II	\$154,500	2			
4.1 RAP/Park Design	34,481		0 0		
C	13,110		0 (
4.2 Response to Comments SUBTOTAL PHASE III	\$47,591	\$	50 \$0	9 1	
302101112			(
n In andial Design	13,960)	0	0	
5.1 Final Remedial Design	67,170		•	0	10.8%
5.2 Final Park Design	46,530		30 10,00	6,000	4,00
6 Project Meetings/Management	19,53			0	
7 Deliverables	26,39		41.00	9	1,19
8 Offsite Work			-		
SUBTOTAL PHASE IN			07 11,90	5,954	5,95
Labor adjustment for 2 years					1
7777 Local Travel/Communications	\$17,38	35 \$25,0	67 7,68	3,041	J,6.
TOTA	L \$727,64	48	\$456,5	40	
IOIA	٠, ١,٠٠٠	-	tot		8
				tota	
				ont Total	\$456,5

Depot Park Draft Amendment explanation for Cost Recap - December 2001

Refer to Exhibit A

Task	Explanation
1.1	\$5,822 — Expenses over the original budget allocation (\$9,809) occurred between Feb. 2000 and Nov. 2000. The additional time was necessary to review the existing documents that would assist in developing the supplemental and second supplemental site investigation work plans.
1.2	\$109,748 – This expense was necessary due the deep contamination found in SB-101 through SB-104A during the October field work. In order to avoid schedule delays the information was taken to ACEPD and FDEP and this additional work was jointly agreed on as necessary to define the horizontal and lateral extent of the impacted soils and groundwater. The deep contamination boring series was performed in Dec. 2000 and Jan. 2001. The results are included in the Supplemental Site Investigation Report, September 2001. (SSIS-9/01)
1.2.1	\$194,280 – This new task was deemed necessary after comments from the Sprout Task Force, FDEP and ACEPD were received on the SSIS – 9/01. An additional work plan was developed, reviewed and approved by oversight agencies. Field work occurred during Oct. and Nov. 2001. The report is being prepared and is anticipated to be complete in March, 2002
1.2.2	\$46,181 – This new task is necessary to negotiations with Rinker for leasing the former CSXT land they occupy with their concrete production operation. The information will assist greatly with quantifying the extent of clean up required on the site that will be the responsibility of Rinker.
1.2.3	\$29,091 – This new task is necessary if the City acquires the MCB Oil Company property by eminent domain. Cost recovery may be necessary if other contaminants than petroleum are on the site.
1.2.5	\$10,000 - Task and estimated budget included to support work on establishing extent of other (non-petroleum) contamination on the property.
1.3	\$8,415 - This work element increased due the additional field data gathered in 1.2., 1.2.1 and 1.2.3 and which needed to be included in the reports on those activities.
2.2	\$5,000 – This increase in budget is judged necessary to the address 'Comments' received on the Risk Assessment.
2.3	\$10,000 – This increase over the original budget is judged necessary to complete the Feasibility Study. The additional results from 1.2, and 1.2.1 required options and related costs be developed for handling the site remediation. This work was not anticipated in the original scope of work.
2.5.1	\$7,215 – This expense was necessary so that the Concept Plans for the Park are developed anticipating the widening of Depot Avenue when construction funding is available for this segment of the road. It shows the Depot Building relocated about 30 feet south.
6	\$10,000 – This is an increase in the budget judged necessary to get close to project approval. This increases the meeting time from 80 hours to 100 hours.
8	\$1,199 – Additional costs were incurred to answer ACEPD comments on the report.
Labor Adjustment	\$11,910 - Consultant labor remaining on the amount to about \$192,000 based on year 2000 labor rates. Two rate increases amounting to 6.2% are judged to merit adjustment at this time. This increase will be distributed between General Government and GRU portions of the contract 50/50.
7777	\$7,700 – Additional labor in the items noted above increase this item of the contract by virtue of the provision that compensates the consultant for this expense at the rate of 4% of labor. This increase will be distributed between General Government and GRU portions of the contract 50/50.