Summary of Evaluations-Written and Oral Presentation Request for Statement of Qualifications for Professional Architectural Services for Minor Projects Community Redevelopment Agency CRAX-90024-FB

8-Dec-08

Final Rankings: Written Proposal and Oral Presentation

	Written Proposal	Oral	Agency Combined
	Total Score	Total Score	Total Score
Brame Architects	255	196	451
DAG Architects	283	298	581
4M Design Group	257	286	543
Urban Studio	273	281	554

Review Oral Ranking and Proposal Rankings table for details.

RFQ for Professional Architecture Professional Services for Minor Projects **Community Redevelopment Agency**

Summary-Written Evaluation CRAX-90024-FB November 24, 2008

	Lyons	Rank	Bennett	Rank	Huard	Rank	Total	Rank	Firm Rank
FIRM NAME	Score		Score	871 6 814 8	Score		Points		
Urban Studio Architects	93	2	91	2	89	ω	273	7	2
Jay Reeves & Associates	81	6	85	7	70	7		20	7
4M Design Group	87	4	88	5	82	4			4
Bentley Architects	80	7 3	79	8	49	9			8
DAG Architects	95		92	1	96			ω	
Brame Architects	86	5	89	4	80	5		14	5
Ricardo Carallino Assoc.	78	8	69	11	48	10	195	29	9
Lord - Aeck - Sargent	90	ယ	90	3	91	2	271	8	ω
Donahue Architecture	76	9	75	9	42	11		29	9
Fisher Koppenhafer	80	7	87	6	75	6	242	19 📳	တ
Skinner Vignola	81	б	70	10	58	- 8	209	24	8

Note: Each firms total scores were derived from the following four criteria:

Recommend Inviting the top $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ firms to make oral presentations	Criteria: 1. Quality of Project Personnel 2. Quality of Firms 3. Project Understanding and Approach 4. Past Performance 5. Location
oral presentations	Total Points
	Criteria Weight 35% 20% 10% 30% 10% 10%

Anthony Lyons

Date

Statement of Qualifications for Professional Architectural Services for Minor Projects

Summary-Oral Presentations
CRAX-90024-FB
December 8, 2008

	Lyons	S	Huard-Fisher	isher	Bennett	nett	TOTAL	LP	Firm Rank
FIRM NAME	Score	Rank	Rank Score	Rank	Rank Score	Rank			
Brame Architects	65	4	55	4	76	4	196		
DAG Architects	96		95		98	_	298		
4M Design Studio	96	1	93	2	97	2	286		
Urban Studion	96		89	3	96	3	281		
		ļ 							

Note: Each firms total scores were derived from the following four criteria:

Total Points

5. Location

Project understanding and approach
 Past Performance

1. Quality of Project Personnel 2. Quality of the firm

Criteria:

100	5	- 30	10	20	. 35	K