11/3/11 FROM MJR New Item

City of Gainesville Office of the City Attorney

Marion J. Radson ♦ City Attorney

◆Board Certified City, County
 & Local Government Law



Thomas B. Arden
Ronald D. Combs
Shayla McNeill*
Stephanie M. Marchman
Daniel M. Nee ♦
Nicolle M. Shalley
Elizabeth A. Waratuke ♦

October 27, 2011

Ms. Susan M. Seigle, Esq. Dell Graham 203 NE 1st Street Gainesville, Florida 32601

Sent via facsimile (352)376-7415

RE:

City of Gainesville v. School Board of Alachua County

Case No.: 2010-CA-5432

Dear Ms. Seigle:

Thank you for taking the time to talk with me this morning. As we discussed, the City Commission, at last week's joint School Board – City Commission meeting, instructed the City Attorney's Office to work with the School Board's counsel to seek a continuance in the ongoing litigation that is scheduled for trial in February 2012. The City Commission expressed hope that the two local governments are making strides in reaching an amicable resolution to the dispute, and a desire to afford more time and less litigation resources to reach that goal.

I understand that you require the express authorization from the School Board before taking a position on any proposed continuance. I further understand that you are awaiting a letter from the City detailing some of the ideas discussed at the joint meeting, and upon receipt of such, you expect the School Board to conduct a shade session followed by a public meeting to decide whether to join in a motion for continuance. Unfortunately, that sequence of events may take several weeks considering the applicable notice requirements and inevitable scheduling difficulties. Therefore, I think it is incumbent upon this office to seek guidance from the City Commission at its November 3rd meeting as to whether the City wishes to file a motion for continuance without regard to the School Board's position. Of course, we all realize that a continuance in the trial schedule is entirely within the discretion of the Court, but also recognize that an unopposed or joint motion will be much more persuasive, especially if it is accompanied with hope for a negotiated settlement.

Again, it was a pleasure speaking with you today. As always, feel free to contact me on this or any other matter.

Regards,

City of Gainesville – City Attorney's Office

Daniel M. Nee



City of Gainesville

Craig Lowe, Mayor

November 1, 2011

Honorable Barbara J. Sharpe, Chair School Board of Alachua County 620 East University Avenue Gainesville, FL 32601

VIA HAND DELIVERY

RE: City of Gainesville's Stormwater Management Utility

Dear Ms. Sharpe:

This letter is a follow-up to the Joint City of Gainesville/School Board of Alachua County meeting held on Monday, October 24. As I indicated at the meeting the following is a written record of the offer that I provided verbally at the meeting:

The City of Gainesville is prepared to offer the School Board the option of construction of stormwater treatment facilities on School Board property. The City would in turn provide a credit to the School Board based on the quantity of stormwater treated, whether the stormwater was originated off School Board property or not. The City of Gainesville is also offering to work with the School Board to use the balance of past due fees from the stormwater Utility to develop a stormwater management project that would benefit one or more school properties such as the deep open ditches we discussed at our October 24 meeting. In return the School Board would agree to pay the City's stormwater Utility fee going forward.

The City also offers to the School Board the establishment of educational programs to further the academic achievement of Gainesville children. Alternatively, the City is prepared to discuss further the idea of crediting the School Board a percentage of the SMU fee in return for acknowledgement of certain educational programs that the School Board may offer towards educating students about the importance of stormwater management and protection of our natural surface waters.

As you recall the City Commission took action at the Joint meeting directing City staff to work with School Board legal staff to jointly request a continuance of the court date and extend discovery proceedings in order to allow negotiations to continue.

On behalf of the City Commission I trust that the School Board will provide similar direction to their Attorney so that we may have adequate time to have continued negotiations toward resolution of this matter outside of legal proceedings.

I am also attaching a copy of the most updated assessment of school properties based on the 2010 survey with revised ERU calculations for each school property.

Sincerely.

Craig Sowe Mayor

xc: SBAC Members Daniel Boyd, Superintendent Russ Blackburn, City Manager Marion J. Radson, City Attorney

	H. Bishop Middle	J.J. Finley Elem	Oscar Servin Center	SBAC Admin. Annex	Stephen Foster Elem	Westwood	Sidney Lanier	Duval Elem.	Glenn Springs Elem.	New Horizons	Loften Center	Williams Elem.	Fearnside Family Ser	Rawlings Elem.	Traff. Safety Center	Terwilliger Elem.	Metcalfe	Lincoln Middle	GHS	A. Quinn Jones	Talbot Elem.	Littlewood Elem.	SBAC Kirby Smith	Norton Elem	School	2010 Site Visit Schedule	
	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Schedule Priority	it Schedule	
	9	O 1	0	0	4	15	12	0	0	0	0	0	43	2	0	52	25	9	ω	0	78	71	55.4	52	Ret. Credit % I	1996 SN	
	354,849	93,932	12,865	177,732	149,962	223,929	210,840	99,432	120,728	0	207,819	75,476	96,656	125,113	130,200	222,154	229,833	411,135	457,624	93,386	213,444	232,929	133,445	270,172	Imp. Area ft ² ERU Bill Ret. Credit%	1996 SMU Calculations	
1614.7	141.0	38.7	7.6	77.3	62.8	83.3	83.3	51.1	52.5	0.0	110.7	34.2	23.8	53.1	56.6	46.2	74.9	163.0	193.1	42.0	78.0	29.6	55.4	56.5			
	7	4	0	4	7	28	46	14	9	>100	52	15	94	55	11	41	8	10	10	26	23	35	40	37	et. Credit%	2010	
	358215.0	156154.0	11355.0	264794.0	205843.0	273224.0	246273.0	170263.0	209312.0	99060.0	270557.0	163717.0	109414.0	191172.0	142556.0	230900.0	241252.0	395741.0	511876.0	101832.0	244768.0	235220.0	128926.0	273939.0		SMU Calculations	
		67.07																								sno	
	0.0	557961.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	171795.9	129404.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Nat. Ret.		
	0.0	4251.0	0.0	0.0	3943.0	3516.0	0.0	6794.0	3730.0	0.0	6070.0	882.0	0.0	4250.0	0.0	7650.0	9090.0	3522.0	0.0	1664.0	4241.0	0.0	0.0	2674.0	Structure		

REMOVED
SBAC Citizens Field
Alternative Educ. Ctr.

Notes

	358,215.0	154,453.6	11,355.0	264,794.0	204,265.8	271,817.6	246,273.0	167,545.4	207,820.0	99,060.0	268,129.0	163,364.2	109,414.0	189,472.0	142,556.0	227,840.0	237,616.0	394,332.2	511,876.0	101,166.4	240,527	N/A	N/A	271265	Rev_lmp
1550.01	144.9	0	4.9	108.1	81.8	83	57.3	62.2	81.7	0	53.4	60.3	0	0	0	57.7	93.9	153.8	200.9	32	80.1	65.61	54.5	73.9	Rev_ERU
	Original calcs from 1996 site survey	Original calcs from site plan	Original calcs from site plan	Original calcs from field measurement	Original calcs from map planimeter	Original calcs from 1996 site survey	Original calcs from field measurements	Original calcs from map planimeter	Original calcs from map planimeter	N/A	Original calcs from 1990 Field Measurements	Original calcs from map planimeter	Shared retention w/ Rawlings	Shared natural retention w/ TSC & retention pond w/ FSC	Shared natural retention w/ Rawlings	Original calcs from 1996 site survey	Original calcs from FISH Map	Site visit completed	Site visit completed	Site visit completed	Site visit completed				