Proposed Factor Weights for Binding Responses to GRU Biomass RFP No. 2007-135

March 13, 2008

Category / Factor	Description of Measure	Factor Weight
(1) Environmental: Environmental Attributes Consistent with the Gainesville Community		
(d) Environmental Emissions	Air emission rates (lb/mmBtu and lb/net MWh) for SO2, NOx, Hg, PM, CO, VOC, Pb, and greenhouse gases.	10.00
(g) Project Commitment to Sustainable Forest Resource Management	Qualitative assessment of proposed processes and procedures to meet Sustainable Forest Resource Management requirements.	8.00
(m) By-product/Waste Production and Disposition	Volumes per net MWh and recyclability.	8.00
(h) Project Site Requirements	Water consumption per net MWh, acreage per MW, and traffic management plan.	5.00
Category Total		31.00
(2) Economics: Cost Effective Renewable Capacity and/or Energy Benefits		
(a) Project All-in Production Cost	Detailed pro-forma of GRU's price to purchase energy considering indices to be applied, adjusted for City of Gainesville property tax revenues, compared to market purchases of natural gas-fired energy. Carbon tax benefits will be included in staff's evaluation.	25.00
(b) Project Variable Production Costs	Considered under Factor (a) above.	0.00
(f) Anticipated Project In-Service Date and/or Energy Delivery	Flexibility and options based on proposed project plan.	4.00
(n) Local Economic Impact	Property tax revenues for the community and job creation.	5.00
Category Total		34.00
(3) Risk & Reliability: Enhanced and Reliable Energy Supply		
(k) Proposed Contractual Terms and Conditions	Assignment of financial and operating risk based on proposer's preferred financial structure, terms of buyout options, and optionality for adjusting commitments over time.	10.00
(c) Technology Readiness and Project Reliability	Evaluation of facility based on projected annual outage hours, annual availability factor, annual capacity factor, and net annual MWh output.	5.00
(e) Fuel Requirements and Sources	Reliability and flexibility of fuel supply and commitment to recycling MSW.	5.00
(i) Project Size and Design	Qualitative assessment of proposed facility design, redundancy, ability to manage fuel delivery fluctuations, and ability to successfully operate and maintain the facility over its useful life.	5.00
(j) Experience and Resources of Project Developer/Sponsor	Qualitative assessment of developer's ability to successfully execute the project based on the experience and track record of proposed development team.	5.00
(I) Proposer's Financial Strength	Quality of the financial resources backing the project development.	5.00
Category Total		35.00
Grand Total		100.00
		100.00

Note: Each of the above Factors will be given a raw numerical score from 1 - 5.