TECHNICAL & WRITTEN RECAP PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: 1

DATE: <u>3/26/2015</u>

	FIRM NAMES	TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (0-100)	WRITTEN PROPOSAL (0- 100)	TOTAL POINTS	RANKING
1	Oelrich Construction, Inc.	67	77	144	1.
2	Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	56	51	107	2
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: 1

DATE: <u>3/26/2015</u>

FIRM NAME: Charles Perry Partners, Inc.

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Ability	55	44	Resumes of key staff support this type of work? Resumes list mostly continuing contracts and do not give insight into roadway construction experience. (15/25)
			Firm done this type of work in the past (19/20)
		P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	Exp with this kind of work for the City or State. (10/10)
o Capability of Meeting Time and Budget	45	12	Thorough description of scheduling practices (6/7.5) Specific schedule for this project (0/15) Thorough description of estimating and procurement practices (6/7.5) Specific cost analysis for this project (0/15)
TOTA			
	100	56	

WRITTEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: 1

DATE: <u>3/26/2015</u>

FIRM NAME: Charles Perry Partners, Inc.

WRITTEN PROPOSAL (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Project Understanding/Experience	30	11	Did not referenced design (2/19/15 City Commission Meeting & Option 4B) (0/10), culvert replacement possibility (0/4), or Solar walk (0/3). 2 listed streetscape projects are similar (11/13).
o Project Approach	30	10	Preconstruction tasks, Procurement, Quality Control discussed. Maintenance of Traffic and Erosion & Sedimentation Control lacking. Overall, very generic with little project specific details given. (10/30).
o Project Manager	15	8	20 years experience (5/5). Relevant project experience: CRA & other continuing contracts (3/10). No specific projects listed.
o Project Team	15	12	Relevant project experience: NW 5th Ave Streetscape, SW 3rd St Streetscape (12/15).
o Project Schedule	5	5	Strong scheduling approach. Also uses a 2 week look ahead. (5/5).
o Project Organization	5	5	Proposal includes all relevant sections, concise. (5/5)
TOTAL			
	100	51	

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: 1

DATE: <u>3/26/2015</u>

FIRM NAME: Oelrich Construction, Inc.

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Ability	55	45	Resumes of key staff support this type of work (20/25)
			Firm done this type of work in the past (15/20)
			Exp with this kind of work for the City or State. (10/10)
o Capability of Meeting Time and Budget	45	22	Thorough description of scheduling practices (6/7.5) Specific schedule for this project (5/15) Thorough description of estimating and procurement practices (6/7.5) Specific cost analysis for this project (5/15)
TOTAL			
	100	67	

WRITTEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: 1

DATE: <u>3/26/2015</u>

FIRM NAME: Oelrich Construction, Inc.

WRITTEN PROPOSAL (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Project Understanding/Experience	30	25	Referenced 2/19/15 City Commission Meeting & Option 4B (10/10), culvert replacement possibility (4/4), Solar walk (3/3). Most relevant project exp is SW 9th St which is new conc road construction (8/13)
o Project Approach	30	20	Preconstruction tasks, Procurement, Maintenance of Traffic, Erosion & Sediment Control, Quality Control discussed but somewhat generic (20/30).
o Project Manager	15	12	15 years experience (4/5). Relevant project experience: SW 3rd Ave Streetscape, SW (NW?) 5th Ave Streetscape (8/10).
o Project Team	15	10	Relevant project experience: NW 17th St Streetscape, NW 9th Street (10/15).
o Project Schedule	5	5	Referenced "consistently maintaining the project schedule". Also uses a 2 week look ahead. (5/5).
o Project Organization	5	5	Proposal includes all relevant sections, concise. (5/5)
TOTAL			
	100	77	

	CITY OF GAINESVILLE				
	GENERAL GOVERNMENT PURCHASING DIVISION				
BID#:	PWDA-150041-DS			194	
BID #: BID NAME:	CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction		<i></i>	***************************************	
DUE DATE:	3/25/2015			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DUE DATE.	3/23/2013				A Tarket Addition of the Control of
Evaluator #:					All of the second
		Oetrich	CPP1	ATTERIOR OF THE STREET, STREET	
	,	PAGE # of	PAGE # of	PAGE # of	PAGE # of
PAGE of Bid	MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS (Must/Shall)	Bidder A	Bidder B	Bidder C	Bidder D
<u>Document</u>		Proposal Proposal	Proposal	Proposal Proposal	Proposal
	The prime consultant's firm shall demonstrate that it has experience in the				
4	above/below tasks. The prime consultant's firm shall have at least five (5) years	5	3		
	experience in this type of work.				
					11/2
	The prime consultant's firm shall demonstrate personnel and equipment support		4 -		
16	necessary for the completion of the requested construction management at risk	7	H		
000 AP IIII-15 A	services in a timely and efficient manner.				
~~		V = age 1 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	The state of the s		, ,
	The prime consultant's firm shall provide proof of insurance in a form acceptable to the City, which gives the City 30 days written notice (except the City will		- 		
6	accept ten (10) days written notice for non-payment prior to cancellation or a	27	25		
	material change in coverage, in the amounts as noted below				
	manufacture change in coverage, in the amounts as noted below				
	The prime consultant's firm shall demonstrate that it complies with all applicable	ļ	green	7/24/1A/A/A/A/A/A	
7	State and Federal professional licensing laws.	26	23		
	By submitting the Statement of Qualifications, the prime consultant's firm				
0 115	certifies that it has fully read and understands the RFQ and has full knowledge of	1 11/10	41.7		
9 and 15	general scope, nature, and quality of work to be performed, the general	NA	NA		·
	requirements of the services to be provided, and the conditions under which the	1	6'		
	services are to be performed.				