

TECHNICAL & WRITTEN RECAP PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: Veilleux

DATE: 3-27-15

Signature: 

	FIRM NAMES	TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (0-100)	WRITTEN PROPOSAL (0- 100)	TOTAL POINTS	RANKING
1	Oelrich Construction	76	69	145	1
2	Charles Perry Partners (CPPI)	71	48	119	2
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: Veilleux

DATE: 3-27-15

FIRM NAME: CPPI

Signature: _____



TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Ability	55	38	Staff is competent in this work (20 pts max)? CPPI has over 10 years experience in this type of work and hold numerous certifications; Score 15 pts.
			Company has performed work of this type (20 pts max)? Yes, CPPI has worked on CRA roadway streetscape projects similar to this; Score 15 pts.
			Staff can deal with local issues (10 pts max)? Yes, CPPI has some experienced working with City staff and project stakeholders. Score 5 pts.
			Company has unique abilities (5 pts max)? CPPI is most experienced in vertical construction and only notes a couple of roadway jobs with CRA. Score 3 pts.
o Capability of Meeting Time and Budget	45	33	Staff can effectively manage cost estimating and procurement (15 pts)? CPPI has completed only a couple of road jobs but has described a solid process for estimated costs and contracting. Score 10 pts.
			Staff can effectively manage subcontractors, coordination and scheduling (15 pts)? CPPI prequalifies their subs through an established process. Score 13 pts.
			Company has established change management practices (15 pts)? CPPI appears to have a solid approach to planning work but does not detail proces for dealing with the impact of changes. Score 10 pts.
TOTAL			
	100	71	

WRITTEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: Veilleux

DATE: 3-27-15

FIRM NAME: CPPI

Signature: _____



WRITTEN PROPOSAL (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Project Understanding/Experience	30	10	CPPI does not reference the local issues and provides little detail about this project. They have worked on a couple similar projects.
o Project Approach	30	10	CPPI discusses their approach and the stakeholders in generic terms only.
o Project Manager	15	10	The CPPI PM appears experienced, qualified and capable. He does not have experience on roadway jobs.
o Project Team	15	10	The CPPI team is experienced in CM but not much experience in roadway. The Superintendent has worked on 1 of the CRA's previous roadway jobs.
o Project Schedule	5	4	CPPI discusses detailed scheduling with CPM software, look aheads, recovery schedules and fast tracking. No mention of the NW 8th Ave project.
o Project Organization	5	4	CPPI provided an organized proposal for working on similar projects. They did not provide any detail on the NW 8th Ave project.
TOTAL			
	100	48	

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: Veilleux

DATE: 3-27-15

FIRM NAME: Oelrich

Signature: _____



TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Ability	55	42	Staff is competent in this work (20 pts max)? Oelrich has over 10 years experience in this type of work and hold numerous certifications; Score 15 pts.
			Company has performed work of this type (20 pts max)? Yes, Oelrich has worked on City projects and other roadway projects similar to this; Score 15 pts.
			Staff can deal with local issues (10 pts max)? Yes, Oelrich has successfully worked with City staff and many stakeholders associated with the project. Score 7 pts.
			Company has unique abilities (5 pts max)? Oelrich has a lot of experience in vertical construction and and is getting more experienced in roadway project with the City. They have completed multiple jobs recently with the City. Score 5 pts.
o Capability of Meeting Time and Budget	45	34	Staff can effectively manage cost estimating and procurement (15 pts)? Oelrich has described a thorough approach using data obtained from previous jobs and other resources. Score 12 pts.
			Staff can effectively manage subcontractors, coordination and scheduling (15 pts)? Oelrich uses a standard method to prequalify subs and maintains coordination through frequent meetings & documentation. Score 10 pts.
			Company has established change management practices (15 pts)? Oelrich discusses specific approach to working job and quality control. They do not discuss how to work through changes that arise during the work. Score 12 pts.
TOTAL			
	100	76	

WRITTEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction

RFP/RFQ#: PWDA-150041-DS

EVALUATOR: Veilleux

DATE: 3-27-15

FIRM NAME: Oelrich

Signature: _____



WRITTEN PROPOSAL (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Project Understanding/Experience	30	20	Oelrich references the NW 8th Ave project and some of the local issues. They have also worked on similar projects.
o Project Approach	30	15	Oelrich discusses a solid approach with some reference to the NW 8th Ave project.
o Project Manager	15	13	The Oelrich PM is experienced and capable. He has worked on a couple of similar projects with the City.
o Project Team	15	13	The Oelrich team is experienced and capable in working on similar projects.
o Project Schedule	5	3	Oelrich describes a general approach to scheduling only.
o Project Organization	5	5	Oelrich provided an organized approach proposal for working on similar projects and references the NW 8th Ave project throughout.
TOTAL			
	100	69	

CITY OF GAINESVILLE					
GENERAL GOVERNMENT PURCHASING DIVISION					
BID #:	PWDA-150041-DS				
BID NAME:	CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction				
DUE DATE:	3/25/2015				
Evaluator #:	JOHN VEJNICK				
		DELRICH	CPSS		
<u>PAGE of Bid Document</u>	<u>MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS (Must/Shall)</u>	<u>PAGE # of Bidder A Proposal</u>	<u>PAGE # of Bidder B Proposal</u>	<u>PAGE # of Bidder C Proposal</u>	<u>PAGE # of Bidder D Proposal</u>
4	The prime consultant's firm shall demonstrate that it has experience in the above/below tasks. The prime consultant's firm shall have at least five (5) years experience in this type of work.	5	3		
16	The prime consultant's firm shall demonstrate personnel and equipment support necessary for the completion of the requested construction management at risk services in a timely and efficient manner.	7/15	4/13		
6	The prime consultant's firm shall provide proof of insurance in a form acceptable to the City, which gives the City 30 days written notice (except the City will accept ten (10) days written notice for non-payment prior to cancellation or a material change in coverage, in the amounts as noted below...	27	25		
7	The prime consultant's firm shall demonstrate that it complies with all applicable State and Federal professional licensing laws.	26	23		
9 and 15	By submitting the Statement of Qualifications, the prime consultant's firm certifies that it has fully read and understands the RFQ and has full knowledge of general scope, nature, and quality of work to be performed, the general requirements of the services to be provided, and the conditions under which the services are to be performed.	5	3		