

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT:

PLANNING DIVISION PO Box 490, Station 11 Gainesville, FL 32627-0490 P: (352) 334-5022 F: (352) 334-2648

# CITY PLAN BOARD SPECIAL MEETING ACTION AGENDA

February 19, 2013 6:30 PM City Hall Auditorium 200 E. University Ave

Members Present	Members Absent	Staff Present
Crystal Goodison (Chair)	P	Ralph Hilliard
Bob Ackerman (Vice-Chair)	P	Onelia Lazzari
Erin Condon	P	Scott Wright
Stephanie Sims	P	Debbie Leistner
Amisha Sharma	P	
Danika Oliverio	P	
Seth Lane	P	
Leanetta McNealy (School Board representative)	A	

_		Participation and Continued	

II. Approval of Agenda (Note: order of business subject to change)

Motion By: Erin Condon	Seconded By: Bob Ackerman
<b>Moved To:</b> Approve the agenda to hear item 2 first and then Items 1 and 3.	Upon Vote: 6-0

BOARD MEMBERS

Chair: Crystal Goodison Vice Chair: Robert Ackerman

Danika Oliverio, Erin Condon, Amisha Sharma, Stephanie Sims, Seth Lane, Leanetta McNealy (school board representative)
Staff Liaison: Dean Mimms

Roll Call

City Plan Board February 19, 2013 Special Meeting (Continued)

III.	Approval	of Minutes:	N/A
------	----------	-------------	-----

Motion By:	Seconded By:

### IV. Requests to Address the Board

### V. Old Business

## VI. New Business

1.	Petition PB-12-148 CPA
	Planning Division staff recommends
	approval of Potition DD 12 140 CDA

Causseaux, Hewett and Walpole, Inc., agent for S. Clark Butler Properties Corp. et al, and Robert Doan and Roy Lambert Jr., agents for Regency Windmeadows Limited Partnership. Amend the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Element Policy 4.3.6 for the Butler Plaza Planned Use District. Related to PB-12-149 LUC & PB-12-150 PDV.

Appendix A Proposed amendments to FLU Policy 4.3.6

Exhibit A-1 Staff proposed amendments to FLUE Policy 4.3.6

Exhibit A-2 Causseaux, Hewett & Walpole Justification Report (pp 1-2) and proposed amendments to FLUE Policy 4.3.6 (pp. 3-14)

Exhibit A-3 Summary of differences between staff and applicant proposed amendments

Appendix B Maps:

Exhibit B-1 Aerial Map

Exhibit B-2 Adopted Future Land Use Map (to be deleted)

Exhibit B-3 Adopted PUD Future Land Use Overlay (to be deleted)

Exhibit B-4 Butler Development Underlying Land Use

Exhibit B-5 Butler Development PUD Future Land Use Overlay

Appendix C

Exhibit C-1 Petition Application

Motion By: Bob Ackerman	Seconded By: Danika Oliverio
<b>Moved To:</b> Approve with staff conditions including the post Plan Board packet changes and modifying condition 4.3.6.e.3. to read: Off-street parking in this subarea shall be regulated in the PD Ordinance and shall include provisions that off-street parking shall not be located in front of buildings that front on SW 62 <sup>nd</sup> Boulevard extension, SW 38 <sup>th</sup> Street or SW 24 <sup>th</sup> Avenue.	Upon Vote: 4-2

2. Petition PB-12-149 LUC
Planning Division staff recommends
approval of Petition PB-12-149 LUC.

Causseaux, Hewett and Walpole, Inc., agent for Mary Jane Fredrickson, Trustee et al. Amend the City of Gainesville Future Land Use Map from Commercial (C) and Business Industrial (BI) to Planned Use District (PUD). Located at 3654, 3730 & 3820 SW Archer Road and 3310 & 3318 SW 40th Terrace. Related to PB-12-148 CPA & PB-12-150 PDV.

Staff Report

Appendix A Maps: Exhibits A-1 thru A-4 Appendix B Comprehensive Plan GOPs

Appendix C Application and Supplemental Documents

Appendix D Causseaux, Hewett and Walpole Justification Report

Motion By: Bob Ackerman	Seconded By: Amisha Sharma
Moved To: Approve the petition.	Upon Vote: 6-0

3. <u>Petition PB-12-150 PDV</u>
Planning Division staff recommends
approval of Petition PB-12-150 PDV.

Causseaux, Hewett and Walpole, Inc., agent for S. Clark Butler Properties Corp. et al, and Robert Doan and Roy Lambert Jr., agents for Regency Windmeadows Limited Partnership. Planned Development zoning for a mixed use/commercial development. Zoned: PD (Planned Development District). Located between SW Archer Road and SW 24th Avenue, and between SW 40th Blvd. and SW 34th Street. Related to PB-12-148 CPA & PB-12-149 LUC.

Staff Report

City Plan Board February 19, 2013 Special Meeting (Continued)

Appendix A	Application and Supplemental Documents
Appendix B	Neighborhood Workshop Information
Appendix C	Planned Development Report
Appendix D	Proposed PD Layout Map
Appendix E	Existing PD Layout Map
Appendix F	Street Sections
Appendix G	Proposed Sidewalk Plan
Appendix H	Technical Review Committee Comments

Motion By: Bob Ackerman	Seconded By: Erin Condon
Moved To: Approve the petition with staff conditions including new Condition 14, and modifying other conditions as follows to read:	Upon Vote: 6-0
Condition 1:  "For the purpose of ensuring redevelopment of Subarea 2A [Subarea 3] into a Town Center the following thresholds are established. All new Development and redevelopment of existing buildings within Subarea 2A [Subarea 3] must meet Town Center design standards as described in the PD Report. No more than 550,000 square feet of combined development may be constructed within Subareas 1A and 1B until a minimum of 100,000 50,000 square feet of new development has been constructed or is under construction within Subarea 2A [Subarea 3]."	
Condition 3:  "Within Subareas 1B and 2A [Subareas 2 and 3], any single-use tenant, single-story retail building shall be limited to 100,000 150,000 square feet."	
Condition 4.f: "Provision of an appropriate number of drive-through lanes based on the operating conditions of the impacted public streets and operational and safety concerns at the site, not to exceed four total lanes per use (or one lane per use by right and up to 4 lanes by Special Use Permit within Subarea 2A [Subarea 3]);"	
Revise Condition 5 to be consistent with the the PUD, to restrict parking in the front of buildings on SW 24 <sup>th</sup> Avenue.	
The Plan Board also advised staff to review the glazing	

requirements with the applicant.

[CLARIFICATION NOTE: Subsequent to the February 19, 2013 Plan Board meeting, the Butler Development Subareas were renumbered as Subareas 1, 2, 3, and 4. Subarea 1A became Subarea 1; Subarea 1B became Subarea 2; Subarea 2A became Subarea 3; and Subarea 2B became Subarea 4.]

VII. Information Item: N/A

### VIII. Board Member Comments

### IX. Adjournment

For further information, please call 334-5022.

If any person decides to appeal a decision of this body with respect to any matter considered at the above-referenced meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings, and for such purposes it may be necessary to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. Persons with disabilities who require assistance to participate in the meeting are requested to notify the Equal Opportunity Department at 334-5051 (TDD 334-2069) at least 48 hours prior to the meeting date.

Chair, City Plan Board

Crystal Goodison

Staff Liaison, City Plan Board

Dean Mimms, AICP

STARKE

3

City Plan Board Special Meeting

VOLUME I

Pages 1-96

6:00 p.m.

Tuesday, February 19, 2013

City Hall Auditorium 200 East University Avenue Gainesville, Florida 32601

Julia E. Griffin Notary Public, State of Florida at Large

12 13

14

1.5 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GAINESVILLE

DATE:

TIME:

PLACE:

REPORTER:

DAVID A. THERIAQUE, ESQUIRE

Theriaque & Spain 433 North Magnolia Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32308

GERRY DEDENBACH, ESQUIRE CHW, Inc. 132 Northwest 76th Drive

Gainesville, Florida 32607

APPEARANCES:

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. DCALA LAKE CITY

MS. LAZZARI: Yes, that's correct, Madam Chair. Thank you. We are requesting that Item 2. which is Petition PB dash 12 dash 149LMC be heard first. And then former item number one, which is Petition PB dash 12 dash 148CPA be heard second. And then Number 3 will stay the same.

It is Staff's intent that when we get to PB12 dash 148CPA, which is the Comprehensive Plan text change that we will read that into the record and read in PD12 dash 150BBV, which is the PD at the same time such that comments can be heard on both from members of the Butler team and from members of the public.

CHAIR: Thank you. Do I have a motion to approve the agenda as stated by Ms. Lazzari?

MS. CONDON: So moved.

MR. ACKERMAN: So moved.

CHAIR: I have a motion and a second. All those in favor say aye.

(Board members voted)

CHAIR: Any opposed?

MS. LAZZARI: May I ask who seconded?

CHAIR: Bob did, Mr. Ackerman. Do you have motion to approve the meeting? Actually we don't

have any minutes. Are there any requests to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

THEREUPON, the following meeting was had: CHAIR: We'll go ahead and call this February 19th special meeting of the City Planning Board to order. Ms. Lazzari, would you please call the roll.

MS. LAZZARI: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. Crystal Goodison.

CHAIR: Here.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

2

3

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

1.6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LAZZARI: Bob Ackerman.

MR. ACKERMAN: Here.

MS. LAZZARI: Erin Condon.

MS. CONDON: Here.

MS. LAZZARI: Stephanie Sims. Amisha Sharma.

MS. SHARMA: Here.

MS. LAZZARI: Danika Oliverio.

MS. OLIVERIO: Here.

MS. LAZZARI: Seth Lane.

MR. LANE: Here.

MS. LAZZARI: And Ms. McNulty is not attending.

CHAIR: Thank you.

MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, you have a quorum.

CHAIR: Before we move on to approve the

24 agenda, I believe the Staff is requesting a modification to the agenda? 25

> SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE STARKE

address the Board on issues that are not on our agenda tonight? Seeing none, we'll move on to new business.

Ms. Lazarri would you please read Item 1 into the record.

MS. LAZZARI: Petition PB dash 12 dash 149LUC.

Causseaux, Hewett, and Walpole, Inc., agent for Mary Jane Fredrickson, Trustee, et al amend the City of Gainesville future land use map from Commercial C and Business Industrial BI to Planned Use district. Located at 3654, 3730, and 3820 Southwest Archer Road, and 3310 and 3318 Southwest 40th Terrace. Related to PB dash 12 dash 148CPA and PB dash 12 dash 150PDV.

And, Madam Chair, if I may make one other announcement. We have a sign in sheet and a pencil. If -- when speakers come up, we would ask that they sign the paper.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, Scott Wright with the planning and development services department. And starting out with a relatively simple item tonight, this is a small scale land use amendment to add approximately 3.2 acres to the existing Butler Plaza PUD.

121107E

And just to show you on this aerial here, it's kind of hard to see, but there is a black outline showing the entire boundary of the PUD and PD that we're talking about tonight.

And then shown in red here are the parcels that we're talking about on this small scale land use amendment, again, totaling 3.2 acres. There are two parcels here on the North side of Southwest 33rd Place then there are several outparcels along Archer Road. And the existing land use designations are business industrial on these two parcels, and then commercial on the parcels out by Archer Road.

Again, you can see the existing PUD here, all this area and then some of the other surrounding land use designations are UB2 here is more commercial here to the south and mixed use low across Archer Road as well. And then, actually, that should say, not existing land use designations, but underlaying land use designations.

What this is, is actually something we don't always talk about, but the PUD is actually an overlay land use, so we have to maintain an underlaying land use as well and so that's what

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

this map is showing.

q

If the PD were to go away, these would be the land uses that would be on the property, that would exist on the property. These two, you've got a business industrial land use shown, which is consistent with what's adjacent to what's next to it. And then the ones on Archer Road, you've got commercial which is consistent with what's along Archer.

Just a few pictures of those actual properties. These are the ones out on the north side of Southwest 33rd Place, and today those are actually residential lots essentially. Tuffy Automotive is one of those outparcels on Archer Road that's located at the intersection of what would be Southwest 62nd Boulevard here, hopefully, at some point in the future and then Archer Road.

Another outparcel -- actually, this one is, I think, currently being redeveloped. This was a recent proposal that came before the Planning Board, I think, probably within the last six months to redevelop this parcel. And then the last parcel here is just part of a surface parking lot that's along Archer Road.

So these are the properties that we're

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

talking about adding to the PUD. Staff is recommending approval of the small scale land use amendment. We find it to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. And, as a practical matter, we think it will lead to a better overall design by incorporating these parcels that were kind of outlying parcels. That concludes our presentation. I can answer any questions.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Wright. Does the Board have any questions for Staff?

MR. LANE: Madam Chair, I would state that I will recuse myself from voting on this issue due to a professional relationship with Regency
Windmeadows and the partnership, but I will be discussing the matter, I will not be voting.

CHAIR: Thank you. No more questions from the Board? Are there any members of the public that want to comment on this petition? Okay.

Seeing none, I bring it back to the Board. Can I get a motion?

 $\label{eq:mr.decompach:} \mbox{MR. DEDENBACH:} \quad \mbox{I have some questions,} \\ \mbox{please.}$ 

CHAIR: Mr. Dedenbach, sorry.

MR. DEDENBACH: Thank you, Madam Chair. If Bill could change the slides here. So I'm going to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

introduce ourselves tonight, my name is Gerry
Dedenbach for the record. I'm the director of
planning and GIS Services at Causseaux, Hewett, and
Walpole. We are representing the Applicant in
this. And maybe Scott can change the Powerpoint,
please since these items are interrelated as
Ms. Lazarri stated at the beginning of the meeting.

Tonight before you, you have small scale land use amendment. I just heard a motion made to approve that. We appreciate that. It's really an innocuous position here, 3.2 acres that will be brought into the overall development.

But kind of to lead the segway for the evening here, if you want to go ahead and take your vote, that's fine. We wanted to do a brief introduction and talk about this for just a second and hopefully give you a little picture of why we're here in the big picture.

So just to add in there, neighborhood workshops were legally held for the small scale, the large scale, and the rezoning that's before you tonight. I think we had a total of two folks there. Jerry Painter, former commissioner Jim Painter's brother was there. He said I hope you can get this started and I hope you need lots of

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

concrete and masonry to build this project. And then we had a young gentlemen whose parents own property up on 20th Avenue up at 43rd by Squirrel Run Park there.

A

1 R

As you know, this is a long process that we've been involved in. We held our neighborhood workshops back in October, and now this here -- we are here before you with those three items.

As a bit of introduction, Ms. Butler is here, the president of Butler Enterprises. She has just a couple comments that she would like to give at the introduction of the evening about why we're here and what we're looking to do.

MS. BUTLER: Good evening, Deborah Butler with Butler Enterprises. Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you.

My first message to you is that we are ready to go. We have assembled a world class team, Robert Gibbs of Gibbs Planning Group, who incidentally is a pioneer member of the Congress of New Urbanism. Everett Eatcher, CMH Architects, Birmingham, Alabama. CHW, of course. Fish Kind, economic consultants. RM Resources. Rebecca Maccardini, who incidentally is the first female president of the International

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

121107E

Council of Shopping Centers. Renaissance Planning Group.

And I've listened to the City and the meetings with commissioners and talking to staff. We have done our homework. But Gibbs advised us quite some time ago, over a year ago to visit various town centers throughout the country. He gave me quite a laundry list. This is just part of my look book. And I said, Gerry, there is all these wonderful pictures. He said, Deborah, don't do that to the Flanning Board, please.

It's exciting the places I'we visited and the ideas we have. And I've walked these town centers with many of my employees, Robert Gibbs, Everett, and it's been an exciting process.

And you've got some -- that was one of my favorites, Highland Park Village, 1931 and it's still phenomenal today. Gerry is going to refer to a new building we're building on Archer Road in front of the Trader Joes.

Thanks to Everett, we stole quite a few of the architectural elements from Highland Park Village and we will be very excited to bring that forward. Okay.

Some of the -- in addition to that, we

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

. .

visited Easton Town Center, a very famous town center. Robert Gibbs did the merchandising planning for that town center.

We visited Phillips Place in Charlotte, the Village of Rochester Hills, which Robert Gibbs designed. This is very important to me because it's in the suburbs and it was a former mall that was redeveloped into a town center. And it has wonderful elements, also, we want to utilize.

Destin Commons, Eastern Shore Town Center in the Summit, which Everett Hatcher designed. St. Johns Town Center, which is just a phenomenal, very successful town center.

The city manager, several years ago when we first started this process, sat down with me and showed me some pictures that he said that the City liked and some of the concepts.

I walked King Street in Charleston with Bob Gibbs, who was -- advised the City -- who was hired by the City of Charleston to help revitalize King Street and it is -- my favorite storefront. And this has Ben Silver, it's fabulous.

Then we also walked Third Street in Naples.

Again, Robert Gibbs was hired by the City of Naples
to help revitalize Third Street. I also took Bob

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

Gibbs' course at Harvard, Retail Planning and Design Principals.

Incidentally, during the past couple of years that Bob has been advising me, he wrote a book that has been published, Urban Retail Planning and Development. It brought tears to my eyes in January. He gave me the book. I'm acknowledged in it, along with 20 others, and all the other big guys out there, so I'm very proud of that.

In walking these town centers, we've learned that there is a balance between the large format discount stores and big anchors, some of the local, the grocery, boutique, and the live tall retailers. To make a successful overall development, you have to have a balance to insure long time economic success.

With Butler Plaza, we are redeveloping as we are developing. We are already a proven success. For example, Trader Joe's, it's the third -- the one in Butler Plaza is the third Trader Joe's in the State of Florida.

When I was starting out with my dad creating Butler Plaza on Archer Road, you don't know how many times a retailer would say you're not by the mall? Oh, I'm not going there. You're not

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

я

Jacksonville, you're not Orlando, you're not Tampa, you're not Miami, so on and so forth. We're going there first, you're five or ten years off the radar.

В

Guess what, folks, Gainesville and Butler
Plaza got Trader Joes. It was a partnership. And
600 shoppers in the very first hour that they
opened. 60 brand new jobs. They employed also
local artists to do murals on the wall.

Just -- you know, that -- I really feel like that was the stamp of approval in the retail world. We also think Fish Kind empirically measured our economic impact. We're going to be bring in over 3,300 permanent jobs, 500 construction jobs a year, which will expand at some point to 1,500, \$96 million dollars in wages. \$359 million in new construction. The fish are ready. The fish have been wanting to jump in the boat for years.

I don't know how many years retail has been saying when are you going to expand, when is it going to happen. We have a proven track record. What I'm asking tonight, let this opportunity for our community move forward. Please eliminate the roadblocks. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Butler.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

depressed economy with an inventory of buildings,

both on the commercial and residential side.

So we've got sort of a kind of cyclical feed that's occuring right now and we're seeing that here with the other advancements that's occuring in Gainesville. So we appreciate the opportunity to be here. And one of the key factors of why we're here tonight with these amendments is to get more achievable performance standards in the plant.

We've retained six pieces of land that are
3.2 acres and we want to take and translate that
into the overall development. The town center, the
power center, and the regional centers are
interconnected economic and retail entities and
they bring a service component to the community.

The economics have shown us that town centers comprise of about 20 to 25 percent of an overall shopping area. In Deborah's slides, one of the key things that was noted there in the North Florida business report is we're asking for a spatial change in this.

Before the town center was located sort of central. With our plan that we are revising tonight, we are bringing the town center to start on Archer Road in the area that you all would

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

MR. DEDENBACH: Thank you, Deborah. And in preparation for tonight, I had spoken to Sam

Caberra at Fish Kind and Associates because the report was done when we got our original approvals. What you have before you tonight is a project that received approvals about a year ago in January 2012.

So I wanted to get some correction on where the economics are for today. One of the important things that Stan explained to me, and Deborah just paraphrased that well, the fish are ready to jump, let's get the boat going.

Because of the way the recession hit the United States, Florida was a little bit late in getting some of those elements. Therefore, we still have a bit of a depressed housing market here.

Prior to the recession hitting, Florida was an attractive place for people to want to relocate from both the north and the south. With us lagging a little behind in the economy, what Fish Kind has noted was that as prosperity is coming back, Florida has kind of two attraction factors. People want to be here because of the climate and the opportunity. And now we have a little bit of a

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

recognize because of the existing development in there kind of bookended by like, the bank and CVS and Chewy's. We want to bring the town center right up and start it on Archer Road and carry that design into the development itself.

Looking at that and some of the work that
Deborah had highlighted that Bob Gibbs has done,
This Rochester, the Villages at Rochester,
Michigan, here. You see that there are anchors and
then there are small stores here, the boutique type
stores. You're going to see some planning tonight
that talks about that.

This is Phillips Place in the Carolinas.

There is a blend between large scale anchors and town center type elements and that's going to be depicted here tonight.

None of the standing agreements that we have with the City of Gainesville are going to change in this. In fact, we are sticking implicitly to those. Prior to second and final reading of the zoning that created the overall planned development Butler Enterprises executed a transportation concurrency exception area, or TCEA agreement, with the City of Gainesville.

That is a standing agreement that will move

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

development. And these items before you tonight

are here because they're necessary revisions for

motion tonight, 3.2 acres will come in. It will

We are not asking for any increases

entitlements that are approved in the overall

planned use district and planned development will

As you saw on the land use map, the PUD will

apply to these six parcels that are before you.

overlay them. And as Deborah stated during her

probably not one of the most stellar examples of

architecture in Gainesville, the metal building and

PD today, but through the excellent design work of

CMH architects and Everett Hatcher who is our team

architect, already this plan has been approved by

building that used to exist on Archer Road. We're

the City and this new architecture is under

construction right now replacing that metal

This is outside the planned use district and

slides and you saw in Scott's slides, this is

whatsoever in entitlements. The existing

We have 264 acres. Hopefully with your

forward on these properties that you bring into the plan through your motion, as well as our commitment to transit.

Э

4

Š

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Butler Enterprises has agreed to build a major regional transit system bus station with a park and ride area with the shopping center expansion. And to frame this for you, this is I-75, this is 20th Avenue, 62nd coming down from Newberry Road, 20th Avenue, Archer Road, and 34th Street.

Butler is Alachua County and Gainesville's first and most successful transit oriented development. That core will have a transit transfer station with a 50 space park and ride lot.

And our partnership with the City of Gainesville and Regional Transit System as they move forward with their bus rapid transit study will bring that bus traffic transit right through the core of this and onto the University of Florida and Shands and VA, further underscoring the success of Gainesville and Alachua County's first real transit oriented development because we're going to strengthen that relationship.

That agreement was also signed prior to execution of the documents affecting the planned

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

2

3

4

5

6

construction

take us up to 267 acres.

15 16 17

23 24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

В

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

coming back already and we've already begun on

the floor traders.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

19

these areas, so we would appreciate your support in bringing this in.

We have a world class team here tonight to deliver this project to completion, and we're ready to start building. Thank you very much for your support in your motion.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Dedenbach. I'll go ahead and reask if there is any members of the public that wish to comment on this petition before Seeing none, I'll carry -- oh, I'm sorry please step forward. If you would state your name and sign in.

MR. BOWDEN: Good evening, my name is Glenel Bowden. The District I work for is Congresswoman Corrine Brown. The congresswoman asked me to come tonight to voice her support for this particular project.

And the basis for that support is the economic development and the jobs that it would create. The Congresswoman had been in D.C. now for 20 years serving on the transportation committee for the entire 20 years.

She would have an opportunity this year to change, but she decided that her support for transportation is important for what it means to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

the overall community. She decided to stay on that particular committee. She's a ranking member, as I said, for 20 years.

But when she talked to Deborah and to other people about this project, you ought to just see how excited she is about it, not because she just loves to shop. She excited about it because she know the possibility of the jobs, you know, from construction all the way to the retail aspect of it.

And she represents politically East Gainesville, but when she talk about Gainesville, she never make the separation. She say I represent Gainesville. And she represent Gainesville. She talk about University of Florida. She talk about Butler Plaza. She talk about East Gainesville, all of it in one breath.

She wanted me to come tonight to let you know that she will be doing all she can from the D.C. perspective, to provide whatever support that's needed from her in terms of the infrastructure, assistance that may be needed to support this project and will use her influence in talking to her colleagues.

One thing that she wanted me to say to you is

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it's easy in D.C. to lobby for something when the project is on its way. And when people can say, okay, what's happening with Butler Plaza, she says, well, we still got it going through some things.

ä

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

Ô

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So she wants to urge as much as possible -as Deborah was saying that to move the ship -- the fish is ready to jump into the boat. Or my daddy used to tell me that, son, when you want to plant . crop, you got to hitch up the mule first and then you do out and do it.

So the mule is hitched and the crop is ready to be plowed. So on behalf of Congresswoman Brown. I want to voice her support for this project. Deborah is a friend, but most importantly it's the jobs, it's the economic impact is why she asked me to come here tonight and voice her support. Thank you so much.

CHAIR: Thank you very much.

MR. GLAZER: Good evening, I'll be very brief. My name is Mitch Glazer and I am the current chair for the Alachua County Chamber of Commerce -- or Gainesville Chamber of Commerce. Excuse me.

We have been following this process for a

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

CHAIR: Ms. Sharma seconds the motion. All

those in favor of the motion say aye. (Board members voted)

CHAIR: Any opposed? The motion passes. Mr. Hilliard, would you please read the next item into the record, or the next two.

MR. HILLIARD: Next item is Petition PB12148CPA Causseaux, Hewett, and Walpole, Inc., agent for S. Clark Butler Properties Corporation, et al and Robert Doan and Roy Lambert, Jr., agents for Regency Windmeadows Limited Partnership. Amend the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan future land use element Policy 4.3.6 for the Butler Plaza Planned Use District related to PB12149LCS and PD12150PDV.

And, Madam Chair, I would also going to read into the record Petition PB12150PDV. Causseaux, Hewett, and Walpole, Inc., agent for S. Clark Butler Properties, Incorporated. And Robert Doan and Roy Lambert, Jr. agents for Regency Windmeadows Limited Partnership. Planned development zoning for a mixed use commericial development zoned PD planned development district located between Southwest Archer Road and Southwest 24th Avenue and between Southwest 40th Boulevard and Southwest 34rd

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES. INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

number of years. We have spoken many times, are also in support of this project. It could not have been said any better than the previous speaker, so I'll keep it at that. But we are very supportive. Thank you.

CHAIR: Any other members of the public? Okay. Seeing none, I will bring it back to the Board. Our motion.

MR, ACKERMAN: Madam Chair, I'm prepared to make a motion approving the Petition PD12149LUC based on the testimony that's been presented today and the Staff report, including that the subject party be included within the Butler Plaza PUD and will be governed by the conditions set forth in 0909537, which is established land use categories and policies set forth in 3.6 for the future land use elements for the Butler Plaza PUD prior to the City Commission and hearing. Staff recommends that the justification report prepared by the Applicant be updated to reflect Staff comments.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Ackerman. We have a motion. Do we have a second?

MS. SHARMA: I can --

CHAIR: Either of you want to claim it? MS. SHARMA; I'll claim it.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

Street related to PB12148CPA and PB12149LUC.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Hilliard. Before you start, Ms. Lazzari, I think Mr. Lane has a disclosure.

MR. LANE: Yes. Again, I would like to recuse myself from voting on this issue due to a professional relationship with Regency Windmeadows Partnership, which is party to the petition being heard.

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lane. Ms. Lazzari, please proceed.

MS. LAZZARI; Good evening, Madam Chair, and Planning Board. I am Onelia Lazzari, planning and development services.

This petition actually accomplished two things. First of all, it revises maps in the future land use map series related to the Butler Development or with Butler PUD. And it revises policy and subpolicies within the future land use element, specifically Policy 4.3.6.

I believe that Mr. Hilliard is handing out some items. I want to point out this particular one, which is some changes that Planning Staff has made and agreed to based on coordination meetings that have been held subsequent to your Planning

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

B

ģ

### 121107E

briefly.

We had a coordination meeting with the
Florida Department of Transportation. And that

Board packets going out. And let me describe those

Florida Department of Transportation. And that included the Applicant, the City Public Works Staff, the City Planning Staff, and -- when I say the Applicant, both the Butler and the Regency Windmeadows applicants were represented.

Then we have had further coordination meetings with the Applicant, and also with the County Staff's Planning and Public Works. And I see the County Planning Staff here and our City Public Works Staff is represented this evening, also, if you have any questions of them.

So let me begin. Again, we have these two different changes that are being represented. This just gives us the location of the Butler Plaza PUD. And this locater map includes the addition of those six parcels that you just acted on in your prior petition.

I wanted to very briefly discuss what a planned use district or PUD is. It is an overlay land use. And the underlying land use category on the property remains or is what is stated in an ordinance. And should the PUD fail to come to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

fruition, the underlying land use goes back. PUD's allow for unique innovative land use proposals.

And I want you to keep that phrase in mind. Unique and innovative land use proposals.

It allows a mix of uses and it must address density, intensity, permitted uses, access by car, foot, transit, and bicycle, and a PD or planned development zoning is required to implement it. And so we'll kind of go through some of these issues related to this being a PUD and what has to be done. As I indicated to you, we have to have an underlying land use.

And this map which will be adopted will bring in this area, which is one -- or two of the parcels of the six that you added. And then this parcel, these two and this one. And so this map -- the former map that was in the Comp Plan needs to be deleted and this one to replace it, so that will be one of your actions.

And then this is the PUD overlay map and it shows the subzones or subareas within it. And it's a little difficult to see, but this is Subarea 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B. And we have actually asked, and the Applicant has agreed, that these eventually be numbered to 1, 2, 3, 4. Because trying to keep the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

1A's and 2B's straight is taxing. So we think for clarity that that will make it easier.

I wanted to try to summarize the policy changes that are in the planned use district amendment. There is no change in the permitted uses for the associated square footage. And, remember, that a PUD had to have a list of the uses and it had to have the density and intensity. And there is no change in permitted uses or the square footages that's being requested. There is no change being requested in the maximum number of average daily trips, which is set in the policy at 37,591, including the existing 12,224 trips at the site now.

Mr. Dedenbach alluded to the fact that the town center is being relocated. Formerly the town center was in the 1P area, and it's now being moved to the Southwest 34rd Street Archer Road area.

It increased the number of subareas from three to four. There are changes in policy language for several of the subpolicies, and we provided a complete summary of those in Pages 3 to 5 of your Staff report. And if you wish me to discuss any of those very specifically, I am happy to answer questions either now or at the and of re-

presentation about those.

Having reviewed the proposal by the Applicant, the Planning Staff proposed changes to their proposal. And I want to, again, repeat that unique and innovative requirement of PUD's. And the changes -- many of them that Staff recommended were to maintain the integrity, intent, and substance of the adopted PUD that we have now which met the requirements of a unique and innovative planned use district.

Some of the minor changes were that we, as a Staff, reorganized the subpolicies and put them in subarea order because we were having difficulty following them. We added additional subpolicies that apply to all subareas and summarized them and put them under the generic, sort of all subarea subpolicy of 4.3.6C. We added back the requirement that the PD that regulates this development be consistent with the City's transportation mobility policies. And that is Number 5 in the list of items about our changes. We added back requirements for maneuvering lanes that match old requirements that were set for private access streets.

We also require that the PD include criteria

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

be required as part of the redevelopment of that

The original proposal from the Applicant

reduces the open space requirement in all subareas

20 percent open space requirement for all subareas

except the town center, which we understand will be

a more intensely developed area and that will have

development in any of the subareas that develop as

town centers which may happen, they would be

allowed that 10 percent open space requirement,

also. And I did want to point out that the open

space definition in the PUD includes hard scape

areas like plazas and open air malls, things like

that such that we accept that in this more urban

form of development that there may be hard scape

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization

approved plan for Southwest 62nd Boulevard and

specifically referenced it. And then we made

several changes to the requirements on Southwest

We added back in language about the MTPO or

STARKE

32

Further, if there are other areas within the

to 10 percent. And Staff put back in the

the 10 percent requirement.

type open space areas.

for drive thru facilities because those had been removed from the Applicant's original submittal. We require that the PD include criteria for the design of gas stations fronting public or private streets, and we included a requirement that the PD include design criteria for structured parking that fronts public streets. And, again, all of these things were in the prior FUD or PD, and we felt that this maintains that integrity of the unique and innovative PUD.

Further changes, we added a general requirement to all subareas that loading docks and outdoor uses be buffered from adjacent uses outside the Butler development. We added what the design standards in Subarea 1B should include. We added in that shade trees were required on all public and private streets. A requirement that the PD establish thresholds for redevelopment. And I believe that Mr. Wright's presentation will cover that requirement. But that was added to the PUD that that be established.

We added back in the streetscape plan requirement for Subarea 2B. And if you might recall from the map, that is an existing developed area of Butler Plaza and that streetscape plan will

going to be reflected in this sort of post-material

Let me stop here before I discuss those

post-packet changes and ask if any Planning Board

members have any questions at this point. Thank

question. What you've just handed us supersedes

MS. LAZZARI: Exhibit 1A is the Staff

proposal, and these are changes to Exhibit A dash

one that are subsequent to you getting your packet

MS. LAZZARI: And Mr. Hilliard has handed

those out. I'll just briefly cover them so that we have them on the record. The first change is to

subpolicy 4.3.6A, and it merely is changing that we

had subareas 1, 2, 3, 4, and it needed to be 1A,

1B, 2A, 2B and for now -- later we will probably

change that to the 1, 2, 3, 4, but that's just a

CHAIR: Ms. Lazzari, we do have one more

the proposal that's in our packet; is that

MR. ACKERMAN: I'm sorzy. I did have one

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

that -- post-Planning Board packet material.

vou. If we can turn now to the --

that Staff can fully agree to.

MR. ACKERMAN: Okav.

31

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 6 7

10 11

accurate?

minor change.

question.

8

12 13 14

15

16 17

18 19 20

21 22

23 24 25

> SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

2

3

4

6

area.

20 21 22

23 24

25

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30th Avenue, and even further changes that are

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

what exactly is going on just to clarify it.

asking the question.

MS. CONDON: If you look at the bulleted list that's in the Staff report, it's about halfway down the second page. And it says changes the open space requirement from 20 percent to 10 percent in

MS. LAZZARI: That is a summary of what the Applicant was proposing. And what we were counter proposing was that it be 20 percent for all subareas except the area where the town center is. And if there are areas that are developed -- other areas outside of 2A that are developed as town centers, that it would also allow them to go to that 10 percent, recognizing that town centers are more intense use, more urban, and we accept that form.

MS. LAZZARI: If I can ask you all to refer to your document again. The next change is to

GAINESVILLE LAKE CITY STARKE

MS. CONDON: I have one question. about changing the open space requirement back to 20 percent, but that's the opposite of what it says in the Staff report. I'm just trying to figure out

MR. ACKERMAN: That's actually why I was

CHAIR: Thank you.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

Policy 4.3.6E3. And this language changes the off street parking requirement in Subarea 1B. And it basically states now that there be no parking in front of buildings on what we consider to be the three primary streets in this development, Southwest 62nd Boulevard extension, Southwest 24th Avenue, and Southwest 38th Street. So that's that requirement.

1.3

The third requirement is a very minor -third change is to 4.3.6Hl. A very minor language
change that indicates that there may be multiple
owners within this PUD. And, in fact, there
already are multiple owners within the PUD, and
that the legally enforceable documents for the LID
or low impact development be allowed to be
approvals, plural, and not just a single approval.

The next change is to Policy 4.3.6H3. And, again, it is a minor language change. We struck the term MTPO, approved cross section. We struck cross section and changed that word to plans, which is consistent with what our Public Works Department has agreed to.

Policy 4.3.6.h. 9, Roman numeral II, the changes here were due to concerns from the Florida Department of Transportation about when -- about a

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

threshold of when things would happen. Because before, it used to say the first certificate of occupancy. And that was one of the key changes that we think the Applicant came to us for is that they are trying to redevelop some of the parcels that are in the existing Butler Plaza area. There have been to date no net new trips generated by that redevelopment and yet, the first certificate of occupancy would kick in a requirement for the left turn southbound lane off the interstate.

And we have worked out, I believe, a very successful compromise with the FDOT that it would be first certificate of occupancy in Subarea 1A or 1B, which are sort of the vacant land area or a threshold that would be reached by 500 net new trips that would be associated with new square footage being added in the redevelopment areas.

And then the last change -- and, again, this is Number 13, it's 4.3.6h. 13 concerns the Southwest 30th Avenue right of way. And the basic changes are that we have tied this to being a PD requirement with several conditions concerning when the dedication of land will occur.

And with that, the Staff is recommending that you approve Petition PB12 dash 148CPA as

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

recommended by Staff in Exhibit A dash one with the changes that we have handed out to you this evening in this supplemental document. Again, I am happy

to answer any questions, and City Public Works is here, also, if you have any questions of them.

CHAIR: Thank you. Ms. Lazzari. Does the

Board have any questions for Ms. Lazzari? Seeing none, would the Petitioner like to give a

presentation?

MR. DEDENBACH: Thank you again, Madam Chair.

Gerry Dedenbach. And if Scott can change the
presentations.

Well, I guess the easy way to say this is that this isn't going to be very difficult tonight I hope. I think it's pretty straightforward and we're going to talk about a fair amount of things. But, really, you know, to preface this, we got just a couple things that we want to talk about with the large scale land use amendment, the language, and a couple things in the PD.

You've got Staff who have done a wonderful job coordinating a very complex problem. And our office and our team, you know, architects, engineers planners, urban designers, landscape architects, the group trying to figure out how to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

make this project come to fruition, that's what this is really about.

When we started this process, some of you will recall that I was called late in the game and helped and assisted during the final approvals of the original land use and zoning. Several months ago, Robert and I sat down. And his comments was, if you ever did a PUD like that or a PD, we'd fire you immediately. How in the world am I going to engineer this. Because that's what we're here about tonight.

Ms. Butler has spent decades with her father and millions of dollars to bring this to fruition and we are this close tonight. We've got a few things that have to happen, and we've learned an immense amount since the approvals that were obtained for this project.

In summary, thank you for your last vote.

You just added 3.2 acres and no new entitlements,
but we're taking this in to make this a better
project. We will have here tonight some updates to
the Comprehensive Plan policies to facilitate a
development plan and promote redevelopment in a
much more holistic and expedient manner and so that
Robert who is not 40 years old won't get any gray

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

### 121107E

The overall site as Staff, as showed you -- I apologize this is little bit blurry on your wall here -- is 267 acres. There are primarily four areas of land here. We're going to talk about them today as Areas 1A and 1B, 2A and 2B. But think of it this way, please, this is a destination area. This is a hybrid area, and the town center begins

hair trying to do this.

on Archer Road.

In order to do project of this scale, we wanted to talk about one of the key issues that was addressed during the large scale land use amendment, and especially the planned development when this went through a year ago. It's very important to note if you're looking at urbanized areas — for those of you who don't practice landscape architect planning everyday, a good way to look at connectivity or a community's network is through a figure ground type study.

This is Washington D.C. This sort of patchwork that you see here is the street network and the grid that connects that and makes that a vibrant place. Over the last year, Deborah has traveled the country with her team of experts with Bob Gibbs kind of at the helm of things, look at

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

this, add this to your look book, this is an impassioning design. She's picked all those items up and brought them back to this plan. Now it's our job to deliver that plan and make that a reality.

This interconnected network that you see in great places like Boston or Paris gives you an idea of the variety of mode choices that you have in an area. You can walk, you can bicycle, you can take transit, you can drive a car. Trucks can service the businesses in there. And if we look at it that way --

Paris is huge. Let's take a little chunk of land four miles across. That's four miles of Paris right there. In Gainesville and in Alachua County, four miles goes from the Sorrento neighborhood on 24th Avenue west of 175, all the way across 175 20th Avenue, 34th Street, parts of the campus to pretty much where the old Gatorwood Apartments and the Bartram are here, right by the Shands and the VA. This figure ground that we prepared here showed you the limited connectivity in southwest Gainesville compared to other parts of town.

You've got 175, you've got Archer Road, you've got 34th Street, 20th Avenue and 62nd which

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

dead ends by Cabana Beach. There are some key roads in here that were developed largely because of the donation and the dedication of the Butler family. 24th Avenue, the right of way for 62nd and 42nd, Butler Boulevard, Bradford Boulevard, Windmeadows Boulevard, 24th Avenue, portions of Archer Road here.

But if you look at all the little gray specks in there which represent residential units and retail units, commercial units and offices, we don't have an awful lot of connectivity that exists. We have a lot of parking lots serving residential areas. We have a lot of parking lots service commercial areas.

Let's zoom in on that a little bit and kind of take a closer view of the area. This is

1.5 miles across Paris, which is a good comparison for the area we're talking, about a mile and a half across. You have in this urban form here several things that happen. You have rectilineal blocks that form. You have squares that the community revolves around. You have craggy blocks, as

Mr. Gibbs calls them, that have uneven sides and odd geometry. But they make a part of the city space and the urban network. You also have

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

triangles or pieces of land are bounded by sort of arterial connections and minor streets and alleys and maneuvering lanes. And then you have open spaces that create that network of mode choice, land types, and varying scales of activity.

So let me take you back to Gainesville again. From 34th street to 62nd Boulevard is a mile. And to zoom in on that today, what you see is 24th Avenue that bounds the project on the north side, 62nd on the west side, Windmeadows Boulevard through the project, Archer Road, 34th street, and then an extension here of what is 40th coming up along the interstate. Today it looks like this.

With the plan for our town center, we'll have a plan that actually transforms that area to looking like this, through a connected network of public streets, private streets, maneuvering lanes and pedestrian walkways, all of which can contain pedestrian features, bicycle features, transit features, and, obviously, connectivity for vehicles cars, minivans, delivery trucks and so forth.

But to go from what is currently out there today to this, requires your approval and for us to move on and actually construct this project.

We will create those same type areas,

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

rectilinear blocks, squares, craggy blocks with uneven sides because there is existing businesses that we're backing up to. I think this is the international headquarters of Glazer Realty right there. We'll have some triangular blocks that are created where streets are reconnected and we'll have open space along the north, open space long the core. And then it will have a functional network, one that doesn't exist today.

В

That same sort of reasoning and those elements that we're talking about come from Deborah's travels around the country. This an excerpt of the Easton Town Center in Columbus, Ohio. And we spoke earlier that you have large anchor tenants that hold down portions of a development plan. What may work on one face in a traditional type connection — and this is the parking lot here, and this is a large anchor tenant where a traditional — you see minivans and SUV's and shoppers with bags in their hands, they come in and out. That's this face.

On another side of that building, it might have a face that looks like this, that addresses a main type street, that has parallel parking on it, that has a sidewalk condition with crosswalks and a

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

area here of retail tenants, then you have some large anchors. It's bounded with an interstate highway to one side, very similar to our acenario. Major state roads and local county type connectors, but they all feed into a network. And that's the same style of performance standard that we are looking for.

When you look more closely at a portion of that development, you see that anchor that I just talked about, but then you see how it plays into that main street here. As written today, the condition precludes our ability to do this and this is a national success. We would like to have the ability to have things such as landscape islands, berms, architectural elements, screening walls and knee walls to be able to line parking areas to create that urban space and give us some more flexibility so that where we have parking that is on the front of a building, it is an acceptable allowed use.

Because what you get is that down on the street level. In order to get to that level of performance, you have to have that flexibility. There are shops on the front side of this building and they face that parking lot. To get that, we

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

whole different architectural style. That flexibility that we're here to ask for tonight is based on these principles.

It's very important because as we talked about while you might have a large anchor, what else you have in that same development plan are areas like this where the flexibility allows the creation of those smaller, more intimate spaces and the boutique stores, and yet you might have some junior anchors in there that all work together.

So one of the conditions that we'll talk about later is where parking can exist. Because I can say this, we cannot live with the restriction that makes parking only viable on the sides of buildings or behind the buildings. It doesn't work in proven town centers. Because if you look here, yes, this is at the side, but this field of parking here is right across the street from storefronts. And we believe that there is a way to do this right and we'll talk about that.

I'm going to give a different view of Easton Town Center to help show that perspective from another angle. It's a very similar type development here in the United States. This Bing aerial that you see here shows you've got a large

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

need to have a little flexibility.

Mr. Gibbs has given us an immeasurable amount of knowledge on this project. This is downtown Charleston. And you can see this is a one way street and the urban elements that live along that street. All the elements that we are proposing, public streets, private streets, and maneuvering lanes, help form that grid. You take the principles that we have here and that we've learned through this process, the repetition, the varying heights, the colors, the articulation, the glazing, the awnings, and you add those together into a modern form like they've done here in Jacksonville with St. Johns Town Center, and you look at how that form comes together to form an urban space like this. These example images are what we are going to do here.

And the code that prohibits this or enables this is what we're talking about. We want to have some flexibility. That's what this is really about so the engineering can be concluded and the construction can start.

Fortunately, as I stated, we don't have a lot of difference with what the Staff is stating but we need just a couple minor changes. These standards

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

5

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that we are bringing forward work in concert with the urban village and the urban mixed use land use and zoning that's to the north of us on the north side of 20th and 24th Avenue there. So we really feel that this is a catalyst that will speed up development in that area that's been planned for decades.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those elements -- this is Rochester Hills in Michigan, this is a project that Bob has worked on. You see how the parallel parking here is a component as the design helps shield that space in the pedestrian realm along that streetscape. We want to have the ability to do that, but in areas, where necessary, place angled parking on the streets, too.

All of these are allowed in the City's code and there is a flexibility that needs to be brought forward in doing that. And it's these details that are most important to getting this right. So parking can exist in many different forms, and it's important to be able to do it and do it right.

In underscoring that all these street elements create the grid, looking at this town center in West Florida, Pier Park, you see here where they took a long exposure, but you can see

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

47

things -- one of the points I wanted to make is when you create a town center environment, you have to realize that these tenants have to have so many parking spaces for them to be viable. And you can create just main street that most days will provide enough parking spaces for them. But when you get into the Christmas season and stuff like that, they can't live, they'll go bankrupt. They've got to have some places where bigger parking will feed to their store. And so we want to create this urban environment, but we also want these tenants to be successful. So that's part of what we're struccling with here.

On all the stuff that I'm going to show you -- and most of the projects I'm going to show you are projects that my firm has done. It's real important to establish themes so that it looks like the projects are built with an overriding idea. A project that might have been built in a certain place in a certain time with certain local materials and colors and that sort of thing. And what we've talked about -- and after looking at all these projects, we're really talking about an Mediterranean southern vernacular that we want this project to be in the end.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE STARKE

where the travel is occurring because the taillights and the headlights -- but the parallel parking here is helping to shield the pedestrian realm along the facades of these buildings and actually helps reinforce that urban space, and, again, here down the sides of this street.

These features help define the main street and these are the principles upon which we are building tonight. This inspiration comes forward from looking at historic patterns and wanting to replicate those patterns, whether they be pedestrian crosswalks, vistas, differences in articulation and glazing, flag and blade type signs and elements, those are the elements that are going to come forward in this plan here.

With that, I would like to introduce Everett Ratcher, the principle of CMH Architects who has been Deborah's lead architect on this project so he can walk you through some of this inspiration that has really fueled Deborah's passion for this project.

MR. RATCHER: Thank you, Gerry. It's good to be with you guys tonight. This is an exciting project for us and Deborah has worn us out looking at places, I'm telling you. But one of the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. OCALA

GAINESVILLE LAKE CITY

The material joists are really important. see -- we don't want to put anything but stone masonry in a situation where people can touch it, feel it. We may use stucco and other materials up above where you can't reach it. Like, for instance, this would be a hard surface stone here. This might could be stucco up at the top. Let me show you some other examples.

All of these retailers, these national retailers have what we'll call a prototype. And they've developed this prototype over many years and they know that these prototypes work to sell their goods and services. And so what we want to do is work with them to incorporate those prototypes, but we want those prototypes to look like they fit in our development.

And the -- creating the sense of place is an interplay between these prototypes that have their -- I mean, a Gap store looks different than a J Crew. I mean, all of those things give vibrancy because of their uniqueness. But at the same time, we want to put them into a context where it looks like we've really planned everything that's there.

So it's the amount of glazing that we're talking about on these designs is really important

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE STARKE

and it needs to be proportional to the scale of all our architecture. And all of the variety of tenants creates that village feel.

This happens to be The Summit in Louisville, Kentucky. Again, underscoring that uniqueness of materials is not only created by what the tenant is bringing to the project, but by using -- utilizing different colors, materials, blade signs, awnings, you know, all those things that you see in places like Charleston that Gerry mentioned. And all that recalls a vibrant downtown usage.

This slide over on the right is an avenue project that Cousins developed. And you will see how the combination of blade signs, awnings, light fixtures, planters, all that works together to form that unique space. Glazing is really important. You can see this -- these shoppers window shopping along the street. And the ability for us to create all -- to count all the glazed surfaces is really important to provide that. And we need to be able to count all the foyers, the openings that are on the street.

And you see at night that that's really important to the experience when you get the light coming from those show windows onto the

glazing on the front of that. But on some of these

tenants, because of their prototype, that -- like,

Rocket Mall of Georgia. And this is the Parisian.

which is now Belk at The Summit in Birmingham. And

you'll note that here that these Nordstrom Rack has

a requirement for merchandising along their front.

If you say you got to have windows all along here,

they have the same problems that, you know -- they

This Parisian store is a two level store and

they can't -- again, that prototype won't work.

just can't merchandise if they have to put in

windows. I mean, how many stores have you seen

that have windows along the shopping -- in the shopping areas, particularly these department

on these boxes right here. This is Nordstrom,

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. FILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

GAINESVILLE OCALA

51

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 10

15 16 17

18 19 20

21 22 23

24

25

stores.

here instead of having a blank wall, you can see that we've got a lot of landscaping and we've created this wall that meanders along the side. It's not a dead area. We've got interesting uplighting. We've got this trellis work down here. We've got some faux display windows. We've got glazing in these entrances so that we're really breaking the scale of this down. That's really

And so what we've done is we've broken down

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. /ILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE GAINESVILLE

121107E

streetscape. Lighting is really important on all of these centers because a lot of the shopping, as you well know, particularly in the peak times from Thanksgiving to Christmas are going to occur in the evening hours.

And, you know, this shot of Charleston with the second floor and the scale of those buildings can also be created, as we've done here, with lighting. And that's faux windows on the second -that's not really a second floor. But what we're trying to create is spaces where we have a combination of one level and two level buildings and it begins to recall those places like downtown Charleston.

This is Avenue West Cobb, again it's a faux second level. You can see the uses of hard scape -- I flipped too soon here. Fountains, note the pavers, the light poles, again, look at the trees. We want to save as many of the trees that we've got existing as we can. And this shot was taken within five years of this project being completed and you can see the maturity of the trees that we've got.

Again, the use of pavers, some of our tenants would have -- that's probably 75 percent glass

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC VILLE OCALA LAKE CI LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE STARKE

what we want to be able to bring to this project. 2 Another way is in this slide right here. 3 you've got tenants like restaurants that have 4 kitchens. You can't put windows in a kitchen in a 5 restaurant, but you don't want a blank wall either

So what we're talking about doing here, these are faux windows. These shutters have nothing behind them. But I think you can look at this with the

awnings and the faux shutters and the architectural

detailing and go, you know, that looks pretty good. And what we want to do is to be able to

incorporate some of those things so that we don't say -- I absolutely positively got to have glazing. We want to make sure that we can do some of these kind of things to still have the quality of

development that we all want. Gerry, I'm going to

turn it back to you.

MR. DEDENBACH: Thank you. So Everett has been able to show some of the wealth of the examples that he's done around the country, and some of the things we want to bring to this plan. Now we want to talk to you specifically about the

land use change and what we're looking for here.

As a bit of a background, this project, for those of you who haven't been on the Planning Board

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY 52

6 7 8

2

3

5

10

11

12

2.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

STARKE

Ŧ

4

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

ģ.

5

¢

7

8

ġ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and following this forever, it began as a DRI or a Development of Regional Impact. And Deborah was vetted through the State, the County, and the City. And in the process of that DRI where she got her approvals from the State on, yes, your traffic is fine, all the -- you know, all that detailed related to the trips and the generation and distribution. That was all vetted. We're not here to talk about that tonight.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

7

θ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But what happened during that process was Gainesville is a dense urban land area or Dula, the DRI process went away. So years into the process of doing the DRI, that wasn't the planning mechanism to be used any longer. Without the DRI, the project moved forward as a land large scale land use amendment. But, again, the rules were a little bit different then and you processed large scale land use amendments. And if you got those approved during that general nine month process, which actually was much longer -- they had been at this for an awful long time -- you did the land use and then you came back and did the zoning.

And, understandably because they were fragmented processes, the planned use district, that large scale amendment, was very heavily front

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

loaded. There were a lot of elements that were contained in there that you wouldn't do today. Because today in the State of Florida, land use and zoning can come to you at the same time, just like we're doing tonight. So you can see that one for one match between the land use element and how it's implemented in the zoning. You can see through that and see exactly how the policies will be implemented through the code. With the land use being the densities and intensities and what and the zoning being how you do it, that's why we're here tonight because we're making some clean up effort on that.

I'll underscore it again because it's really important. I want to get this message across. We're not asking for anymore intensity, density, or overall entitlements. Everything that's already approved stays in place. Tonight's request is about amendments to the spatial form so that we can move forward and construct this project. And in so, what we're going to be doing is updating the PUD's boundary by bringing in the 3.2 acres you did in the small scale amendment. We're going to be establishing development guidelines and principles that are consistent with the City's Comprehensive

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

55

Plan, goals, objectives, and policies. And they guide the future development as well as the redevelopment of the existing areas

And then, lastly, because the land use amendment or that planned use district was so heavily front loaded, we'll kind of scrubbing that document and moving those zoning related items over into the PD. That's what we'll talk about at the end of the evening, that third component of tonight's agenda

So as Staff showed you -- get to the fun part now, seeing some pictures -- the proposed future land use map that I'm flashing back and forth here will take the parcels from the small scale and add them into the overall development. That's the 267 acres.

We've asked about the maneuvering lanes because they are a necessary component to the development type. We believe that they can be designed to enhance the pedestrian comfort and safety. They also give access to parking areas and must be allowed so that we can promote pedestrian comfort and safety in the overall development. The condition today as proctored by Staff looks to limit the access of the maneuvering lanes on one of

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CI GAINESVILLE LAKE CITY STARKE

their sides. So as you saw a second ago, in Summit -- excuse me, in the Easton Town Center, those lanes that kind of wrap around the existing parking lots, we want to have the flexibility to use mechanisms such as berms, knee walls, garden walls, landscaping to kind of shield that zone for the pedestrian, and also give that discreet area of space where someone can operate a vehicle. Because people are going to drive here. That is a fact and we know that. So we need to have some flexibility there and not prescribe a limit on how that one side or the other is penetrated as you go into parking aisles.

Fuel sales is a component of what we talked about and what Staff gave you in the plan. It's a very touchy subject in Gainesville and we realize that. But, again, we have potential tenants and we have to have the flexibility to allow for those national examples and those models to come here but then localize them some.

It is impossible for us to place buildings in front of fueling positions. But what we can do is we can, in the planned development, define criteria of how those fueling positions can be screened from view from the public but still allow you the way

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

4

5

6

7

A

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

finding while you're driving, maybe you got off the interstate to come here or maybe you're coming home from work, the hospital, on the way to your house in Haile or out to the Student Village area. You've got to be able to see where to drive. So we believe there is a happy medium that we can come to, and we'll propose that in the PD later. Because what we would like that to do, since it is more of a zoning relating item, is design a piece of text that will allow for the proper screening of those areas.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

5

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The off street parking area that we described when we showed the Easton Town Center, and especially in the area that is 1B on the map, that's immediately north of the existing Butler Plaza. We want to use those same mechanisms and that same environmental graphics package that Everett and his team of architects, and we will develop to create that continuity between the development. So off street parking areas have to be visible, but yet we can screen the effects of some of those like the headlights and some of the massing of those areas by landscaping and walls and berms around there.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

In fact, in the existing approved document,

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

we have a condition that allows for us to do that. And as Everett showed you the slides of those trees just five years into the process, I hope that we can get to a place where something like, alternatively, landscaping three to four feet high within 12 months of planting may be used if it adequately defines that urban space. So we're going to be asking for that tonight.

The condition that is proffered before you says that off street parking in the subarea shall be located at the side or rear of buildings and away from, you know, the view. We need to strike that portion of that and encourage that that area needs to be and shall be screened to enhance pedestrian comfort and safety. So we need a little flexibility in that realm.

That carries over into area 2A, also, which is where the town center begins. Because those parking areas that will be formed in that gridded area will front streets. You'll pull off of streets into parking areas and then get out and start to walk the main street experience. And, similarly, those mechanisms of hard scaping and soft scaping and using those landscape architectural and architectural techniques are

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

59

critical to the flexibility of this design effort.

So similarly in 4.3.6 F 2 Roman number VIII, we need to have that flexibility inserted there. That same reasoning -- and I brought this slide back just to show, again, how that is important to us -- is because you can have conditions where you will have parking where it sits sort of isolated, if you will, adjacent to the side of a large anchor, but also then fronting smaller more finely grained or boutique type stores.

So to get that condition to work, we need to have the flexibility to landscape it, to screen it, to berm it, to wall it in, but let it be an allowed design type. And, again, that example that we show you here is so similar in design form if you kind of take and pull the lens back a bit, you know, we're next to an interstate highway. We have state roads that bound the development. We have to have the ability to have landscaping framing parking areas and allowing maneuvering lanes that work in and out of the development to be a critical component of the overall design theme. It's an essential element for the flexibility to design this project, engineer it, and build it. Again, we're this close.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

MR. ACKERMAN: Would you go back to that slide? Would you show me a maneuvering lane and what it makes it different than a street?

MR. DEDENBACH: I certainly can. But if, Bob, you want to talk about that? We've got a variety. These would be more of your streets along the outside, and then through the development form here. This is kind of a main street feature here. Your maneuvering lanes might be these frontal areas here that go between a field of parking and then a building face. It's a hierarchical area.

As redevelopment occurs over time, you have the ability to have those lanes turn into streets. You know, if this turned into another development form here or if these areas were land banked and turned over to something else, you might take what would be a maneuvering lane, maybe this, and turn it into a street when that turns over.

So we kind of look at this as an organic progression. Many of these things -- and Deborah uses the phrasing very frequently -- these are kind of the bones of urbanism. You're providing that spatial holding spot or that place holder so that as redevelopment occurs -- and it always does -that you have the space available to transform into

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

64

2 3

5

8

10 11 12

13 14 15

16

17 18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

5 6

7 q

10 11 12

13 14 15

16 17 10

19 20

21 22 23

24 25

MR. ACKERMAN: So from your perspective, if we took the present day Butler Plaza, all those kind of stop and go lames between parking lots would constitute maneuvering lanes today?

MR. DEDENBACH: Several of them would.

MR. ACKERMAN: You know, the stuff when you go between --

MR. DEDENBACH: But I would caveat that, though, in the standards that we've created in this, our maneuvering lanes are above what's out there today. Today you've got a rather sort of generic set of parking lots with drive aisles. Our maneuvering lanes that we are proposing are a different higher standard than that.

MR. HATCHER: Can I add one thing to that? I think the difference between what's there now is one big asphalt parking lot. And I think the difference that we're coming to is if you will see how that at Easton is broken up -- and there are drive aisles, there are definitions of a grid happening. That's what we're proposing. That's what we're after. And we're trying to break down that big sea of asphalt that was appropriate at the time, but no longer appropriate. So I --

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. ILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE GAINESVILLE

63

has to be designed as a street with curb, gutter, sidewalk to look like this. And we're concerned about doing that because we don't know in the long range whether the street's going to be there or whether it's going to move 10 feet in the other direction. Because these are placeholders for -that's a placeholder for a future city block.

And so we -- I introduced the term maneuvering lane to distinguish technically how it's built. And, technically, this is a temporary placeholder. And we feel it would be irresponsible to build that to a full street standard. When -the City's protected because there will be a maximum parameter and design guidelines for that when that's a block. But we may someday make this into a little town square and put the street on both sides of it. And then if we were to build all that today, it would be a waste. So it's a very important technical difference.

We will have streets throughout the town center someday, but we don't want to build the street until we have the building facing it and touching it so that we know it can be a permanent fixture.

MR. ACKERMAN: So, fundamentally, maneuvering

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

MR. ACKERMAN: So ultimately what you're saving is the maneuvering lanes, as you're proposing, would have at least one side of it would have shops or something on it, and potentially the other side would be a parking area, berm, screen, something like that? Is that accurate.

MR. HATCHER: Not always. If this -- that could be a drive -- a maneuvering lane right there.

MR. ACKERMAN: Okav.

MR. HATCHER: In other words -- you see what I'm saving? Rere is the main road and I've got to be able to turn in here. If I'm coming back out of the center. I've got to be able to stack enough cars here so that I can maneuver. So I may not have buildings on either side. So in that particular case, it would be very, very difficult to have a building on either side. But, you know, here would be a maneuvering lane, that could be a maneuvering lane, but this would be our streets, our main streets, as would these.

MR. ACKERMAN: And your disagreement or objection currently to the Staff's proposal on maneuvering lanes is what?

MR. GIBBS: This is Bob Gibbs. As I understand it, if we call this a street, then it

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

lanes are going to be transitional passageways as this development is built out over 10, 20 years?

MR. GIBBS: That's right, they're temporary placeholders. But they're built in such a way that they can handle cars and pedestrians in a safe way. But they're built differently than a street. And your ordinance, as I understand it, requires when we use the term street that it kicks in a whole another design level, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, the way the crown is designed, all of that. Which is fine when we have -- when we actually know where the building is.

But some of these -- like this is Easton in Ohio -- you know, this may be a temporary placeholder for a decade. And when they finally build it, they may have to move that street or that maneuvering lane around a little bit.

MR. ACKERMAN: Thank you, sir.

CHAIR: I'd like to go back to Bob's question, about being what the difference between Staff and the Applicant wants in regards to the maneuvering lanes.

MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair and Planning Board Members, I would like to make a comment or two on The policy that we're referring to is in

Maneuvering lanes shall be defined as vehicular use area that connect off street parking areas and provide sidewalks and landscaping on at least one side. And then what we understood the sort of Applicant's issue with this was the second sentence which states vehicular access from maneuvering lanes to parking areas shall be limited on at least one side to enhance pedestrian comfort and safety.

So I want to go back to this example that was provided. And if this is a maneuvering lane, the maneuvering vehicular access to this maneuvering lane is totally limited. It's limited on both sides here. You can't — the vehicles that are here can't cross this until they get up to this area. So when we're talking about vehicular access on these things, we're only requiring that it be limited. And the Comp Plan language does not place a specific number, you know, of feet or anything else on that. It just says shall be limited so that we can enhance that pedestrian comfort and safety.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

done in a manner where the pedestrian has a

priority there by having that feature. But that

maneuvering lane is essential to be accessed on

MR. ACKERMAN: And your contention is that

the Staff position currently prohibits that? And

MR. DEDENBACH: It says that it shall be

MS. LAZZARI: By limited, we don't mean

crosswalks across these. But it's limited so that

it's not every drive aisle has access on one side

such that you have protection for the pedestrian

that includes longer stretches of sidewalk where

the pedestrian is safe, and then some crosswalks.

can talk about it more in the PD and we can't close

MR. DEDENBACH: It's a fine tuning point. We

MR. GIBBS: I would just like to ask Staff,

Again, limited access, not prohibited access.

prohibited. It says limited. And we want to

clearly point out that we recognize that there

would be certain areas where there might be

both sides.

is that --

limited on one side.

the door on it here.

prohibited?

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARK

is this what you're saying would be allowed or

### 121107E

So I want to make that clear to the Board what our issue is, that when you have areas, for example, where cars can cross across these areas, that really endangers the pedestrian. But when you have situations where on one side at least that vehicular access is limited such that it — the end of every drive aisle you're not, you know, on one side at least crossing with automobiles, that creates a comfort and safety for the pedestrian. So I wanted to clarify what the Staff requirement was in the Comprehensive Plan.

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lazzari.

MR. DEDENHACH: And so to kind of incapsulate that, I don't think we fundamentally disagree on the issues of pedestrian safety and comfort. But that same example, is if you look at this here, this is a maneuvering lane and it actually has access on both sides. And as you get to the west side of this, which kind of a portico here, but this maneuvering lane that moves along the front of the Macy's here, you see it has access on the right side, it's accessed on the left side, you go down further it's accessed on the right side it's accessed on the left side. That's what we need to be able to do. It can be done safely. It can be

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

MS. LAZZARI: Yes, that would be allowed because on one side the access is limited.

MR. GIBBS: Okav. All right.

MR. DEDENBACH: Okay.

MR. ACKERMAN: My assumption is when you're talking about limited, you're trying to minimize the 200 yard stretch or 500 foot stretch and it doesn't have 15 curb cuts in it. Okay. But it could obviously have one or two?

MS. LAZZARI: Yes, sir, that's what we mean by limited. And then the planned development ordinance would probably specify yards or feet or whatever or the number of points along there. But, again, we're using the word limited. We are expressly not using the word prohibited. And we are expressly understanding that there may be crosswalks, just as there are in a downtown area where you cross a block.

MR. ACKERMAN: Okay. I don't mean to beat that as a dead horse.

MR. DEDENBACH: It's a fine detail. And it needs some attention, Madam Chair, so that we understand. The best way to do it is to see pictures and understand that these are the placeholders and these are the bones for urbanism.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 B

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

72

forward before about low impact design. While there will be a master storm water plan that will encompass the entire development, because of the nature of a development of this size with multiple owners and tenancy that we can't predict tonight, some land may be sold, some may be leased, some may be ground leased, the best thing that we need to do here is instead of having a master LID agreement change our wording to prior to final development plan approvals, plural, in Subareas 1A and 1B. Legally enforceable documents shall establish a responsible entity that will permanently provide for proper maintenance of the LID functional landscape for each individual development plan approval.

We discussed this with Staff and Public Works Staff Rick Melzer was there and kind of understands this. We'll have a master storm water plan, but the low impact design techniques that work on one parcel may not be the same that work on another parcel. So individual agreements need to be allowed in this process. Because we can't produce today what we're going do in the future but we need to have flexibility to allow the agreements that

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

71

need to clarify on that.

ø 10

11

3

5

6

7

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 17 18

19 20 21

22 23 24

25

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA STARKE

clear idea that it will be done safely, but

flexibility is really key.

You heard of a comment that Staff had brought

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

We need to be able to screen the parking lots

use a variety of hard scape and soft scape

mechanisms to insure that provides a safe

pedestrian experience as well as a clearly

navigable vehicle experience. We need to push the

requirement for screening and allow fuel sales to

occur by standards that we'll talk about in the PD

Again, those are more of a zoning thing,

they need to go into the zoning document. Clearly,

when we're talking about the maneuvering lanes, you

need to make sure that's outlined so that we have a

Again, to preface this whole conversation

tonight and make sure that those are in there.

they're not really as much land use. That's why

know, I think we've kind of clarified that. We

are legally binding to be proctored over time. It's pretty straightforward.

The 62nd Boulevard extension, this is that main road that connects from 42nd down to Archer Road and ultimately will connect from Newberry Road to Archer Road. Currently, there are some design plans from the MTPO that outline that corridor. The important component of that is that we get all the multimodal elements in there and we are in agreement with Staff on that.

One of the things we noted, interestingly -since being an former MPTO employee -- the picture that they adopted doesn't agree with the cross section that the same company designed. But that's not what is important. What's important is that we get the roadway in there for vehicles, for transit, for bicycles and pedestrians.

And so Staff has made that change tonight that they proctored here by talking about containing the MTPO plan instead of that exact cross section so we are focused on the elements and we have agreement with Staff on that.

Okay. So that's it. That's all we're really here to talk about with the land use amendment tonight. Just a couple little elements, it's a

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

So if you have any questions, I'm here, obviously Robert, our project engineer, Everett, our project architect, Bob Gibbs, the project guru and massive knowledge that I can never imagine having. But we're all here to help you understand what we're doing tonight here thank you very much.

Mr. Hilliard?

MR. HILLIARD: Yes, Mr. Dedenbach, before you sit down, I think one of the things the Board needs to be really clear about what proposed changes to which policy you're asking for so they can at least mark their copies to know what is being requested.

MR. DEDENBACH: Okay. I think Mr. Hilliard and Madam Chair through Mr. Hilliard, the Staff sheet that's before you outlines and Onelia did a great job of showing those exact ones and I'll back up real quickly.

GAINESVILLE STARKE

when we sat down six or seven months ago and looked at this, Robert scratched his head, he said how are we going to build to some of these requirements. We're asking for some very minor amendments, if you will, to change the language and add a little flexibility so that the creativity can be delivered and that this project can move to construction.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Dedenbach.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

è

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

76

MR. ACKERMAN: Mr. Dedenbach, we have multiple Staff sheets.

MR. DEDENBACH: Okay. I think the one that was handed out tonight -- if I had Onelia's wonderful early slide, it gets to the exact numerology of them. I think the first one would be 4.3.6, C as in Charley six, 4.3.C.6, C as in Charley, 10, 4.3.6.E.3.

MR. HILLIARD: Mr. Dedenbach, let's stop slow down go back to the other one. All right. So on Ms. Onelia's sheet -- on the sheet that was handed out to you, this one at the meeting, that's the first one. Ms. Lazzari modified it, but Mr. Dedenbach is asking for modification to that policy. So that's the first one that's on this sheet. Okav.

MS. SHARMA: Just to clarify that before we move on, so what we have is off street parking shall not be located in front of buildings that front on Southwest 62nd Boulevard, extension Southwest 38th Street, or Southwest 24th Avenue, and that modification of the side and rear buildings is also not acceptable to the Applicant; is that correct?

MR. DEDENBACH: That's correct. We need to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

Mr. Chair -- Madam Chair to Mr. Ackerman. We got

so many letters and numbers here, you're going to

have to give me one faux pas for the evening, Madam

MR. HILLIARD: I think you can move on.

CHAIR: Please proceed with the summary of

MR. ACKERMAN: Sorry, I'm still struggling

with this. One second. The theory behind this,

appears to be some transitional construction and

parking lots. Because, obviously, Butler Plaza is

completely built down and now we're trying to fix

it. And so you would like to have some of those

large parking areas continued -- and then on some

of those named streets, but you want to landscape

them instead so that they're somewhat less visible?

Madam Chair, another way I would state that is that

on some of the new areas that we develop in there,

that those placeholders that you heard Mr. Gibbs

infrastructure will be added there and parking

areas which may become placeholders for buildings

MR. DEDENBACH: That would be correct. And,

Square, if I use that as an example, of what

again, is that, at least looking at the Easton Town

75

2 3 5

Chair.

changes.

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 7

13

18 19 20

22 23 24

25

21

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

talk about, they will be improved and

be able to screen those areas so that if we have off street parking, it can be between the building and the street.

MS. SHARMA: Okay. Thank you.

MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, if I may clarify, it may be in front of buildings under the Staff's proposal with the exception of buildings that front along 38th Street, Southwest 24th Avenue, and Southwest 62nd Boulevard. So if there are other streets or maneuvering lanes that are created in that area, there could be parking in front of the buildings. But we have a particular concern about those primary streets and the way they look and the form in that area. And this policy -- subpolicy applied to Subarea 1B only. I wanted to make that clear, also.

MR. ACKERMAN: So, Mr. Dedenbach, it sounds like you got what you wanted from them except for those three named streets.

MR. DEDENBACH: Correct. There will be places --

MR. ACKERMAN: But that's accurate. So what you want is to also extend them to those three named streets?

MR. DEDENBACH: That would be correct,

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

MR. ACKERMAN: It is presently prohibited for you to screen them, or is it presently prohibited for you to have them at all?

MR. DEDENBACH: The way it's written, the condition would prohibit in the future -- because we are talking about some future development here, too -- is it would force the parking to only be at the sides or the rear of the buildings. And I can think of examples where you would have parking in front of buildings and you would want to put a screening element in there. We're trying to offer something that will lessen, perhaps, the appearance of a parking lot where you have a building across from that. Because that's a condition that we anticipate occurring.

MR. ACKERMAN: Okay. I'm sorry for seeming dense. But the issue here is not that you can screen the parking lot, the issue is whether you can have the parking lot at all?

MR. DEDENBACH: That is correct, Mr. Ackerman -- Madam Chair. Thank you.

> CHAIR: Ms. Lazzari, did you have --MS. LAZZARI: Yes, I did just want to clarify

> > STARKE

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE

will be there. It's important for us to be able to screen them until they transition into streets.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

Θ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

again that the prohibition in Subarea 1B or the requirement and that it is in front of buildings. It's not saying that you can't have parking fronting these streets, but it needs to be on the side of these buildings. And that's the way this is specifically written, that we don't want that sea of parking, you know, wide because that's the old school parking lot. And we're trying to bring ourselves up to -- again, I want to talk about unique and innovative planned use district -- to have elements of that unique and innovative design.

2

3

5

6

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DEDENBACE: And we agree with that, that we want to do unique and innovative designs, too. That's why flexibility is key for things that are placeholders in the urban fabric.

CHAIR: Thank you. Ms. Condon, did you have a question?

MS. CONDON: I understand the need for placeholders and that not everything is going to be developed at one. But if we look back at the picture of the Easton complex, I'm concerned that it just looked like a shopping mall that instead of one big building, it's several building split apart. I think that's probably what some of the other board members are concerned about, too, is

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

79

with elements. You can see how in there, you know, they used landscaping along their edges and they used soft scaping and hard scaping here. We need to be able to do a similar pattern as that to kind of box those blocks in if you will.

MS. CONDON: I guess my concern is you have these main roads going around the outside of the development and it's all parking lots on that main road. Those are going to be the first roads that you encounter as you try to drive into the shopping center. If you're driving just around the giant parking lot, it's essentially the same as driving to the Caks Mall and driving around the mall. It's just split into different buildings. I'm afraid that if development progresses that way that it's going to delay the development that we hope to have in that area,

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Condon. Ms. Oliverio? MS. OLIVERIO: I want to hear them. I'm looking forward to an answer.

MR. HATCHER: To the Oaks Mall analogy, the thing that you can't do with the Oaks Mall -- my firm designed Oaks Mall many years ago. And, you know, retail evolves over years. The thing that you can't do at Oaks Mall, you can only go around

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

that, if we have a placeholder that is for decades is a parking lot surrounding lots of buildings, we're really -- I don't see that as something that's consistent with what we're looking to develop in the end.

And I'm just -- I'm worried that if we allow the parking to front buildings like that, that we'll end up with a strip of parking lots around the town center itself. I don't think that's what we want on main roads through that area.

MR. DEDENBACH: Okay. And, Madam Chair, let me just show that again that here you have a condition where you have an anchor tenet and parking is to the side and rear of that building in one way. Because, really, it's got entrances on all sides, but you do have this. There are stores here and parking would front those stores. And so this is a condition that must occur, just like over here where you have these buildings with storefronts here, that fronts the parking. The way the condition is written today, we couldn't do

So, Madam Chair, we need to be able to say that, you know, parking is not prohibited where it goes, it needs to be done sensitively, safely, and

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

it, you can't go through it, you can't drive down the middle of the mall. And then the important thing that we're saying here is that we're creating a grid within the project and trying to accommodate those prototypical tenants that if I go to a Macy's and I say, hey, guys, we're not going to give you any parking on your front door, how would you like to come into our development. Ain't going to happen. And so, you know, what we're trying to do is create the main street along Archer Avenue that's got all the things that we all want, but we're trying to also give us an opportunity of creating a grid to accommodate some of the larger tenants in 1A and 1B, right?

And so that's what this is about. If you say, okay, we can only have parking on the sides and the rear, then you've essentially eliminated anybody bringing their prototype here. I mean, it's as simple as that.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Everett. We've got lots of questions.

MR. DEDENBACH: Yeah, I brought this slide up because Bob wanted to kind of address how that grid works and address that question.

MR. GIBBS: This is a very important issue.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE во

concentrated in one part of your city rather than

for these retailers. They want to be in

from one to the other.

shotgun all over the city because you have a demand

Gainesville. You've got the third Trader Joe's in

accommodate them here at this intersection, at this

And the growth can be compact. If we have to

corner, so people can come here and they can walk

force them to build something that they've never

175 and go somewhere else and you will have the

practice is let's accommodate whatever the formats

are today, even if it has to be not in the most desirable way. But let's make sure the A part is

as good as it can technically be. That's what I

show that. The compromise, if it is a compromised

parking to be along here on the north side of the

site. And we're -- they're currently right here

area, are for the large format retail with the

If we could just go to Easton, I just want to

beginning of more sprawl. The basis of our

think we demonstrated with Easton.

built anywhere, they're likely to go up 175 or down

the entire state. And our position is, let's

We are in agreement with you that over the long period of time we would like this to be 100 percent a walkable town, a city. But there hasn't been one built on day one exactly as we'd like it to be. And we are proposing that what is along Butler now, that size and that scale become our town center. As we all -- like we saw in Easton and other places, and those large anchors be moved to the north. Those are long term placeholders.

But there hasn't been a center built out of the hundreds of centers that have been built in which on day one every area was a street. There still are some retailers that we need to bring people to the town center, but those retailers need more conventional parking layouts.

We call those the B side. Those retailers which surround Easton -- Easton is surrounded with large format anchor retailers, Target, Lowe's, Home Depot, Wal-Mart, Kohl's, they're all there surrounding the center with very conventional parking layouts. That's true with all of the centers that have been built. We want the pull of those tenants to come here.

And I think as a regional planning goal, it's better for all of us to have these retailers

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18 19

24 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

along Archer Road. And we're just proposing moving them from Archer Road, making that into a walkable SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

STARKE

83

2 3 4

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 А

9 10 11

12

13 14 15

16

17 18 19

20 21 22

23 24 25

town center, and then relocating them up to the north side of the property.

MR. ACKERMAN: But fundamentally -- pardon me, Madam Chair -- what you're doing is saying we're going to redevelop the current Butler Plaza into the town center -

MR. DEDENBACH: Yes.

MR. ACKERMAN: -- and the traditional big box in a big parking lot would just be behind it.

MR. DEDENBACH: Right, as a placeholder. That's exactly what we heard. You know, we are approved -- the approval right now is to keep this large format conventional retail along Archer Road and for us to be build a walkable town center on the north. That's how it's approved. We clearly heard during those -- I heard it firsthand -- is that we were asked could we reverse it, could we clean up what's along Archer Road and make it look better. And that's what we're doing. We completely threw out the plan and started over Ms. Butler bought parcels along Archer Road to do what you asked her to do.

And if there is -- if compromise is probably the wrong word. But we still want to have these anchor driving retailers here, but we're putting

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

them on the north side so they won't be visible along Archer Road today. Instead you're going to have a fabulous town center, a very walkable town center along Archer Road.

But if -- this is the Easton -- this is a very crude early sample where they had their walkable town center here, but it's surrounded with very large format conventional retailers and there is one bank there. This is the way that every center has been built that we know of. If you know of one where all of these built a new prototype, I would like to see it. They just don't exist. Someday these will be redeveloped. But for right now, these retailers bring people to this location on a regular basis. And the small shops and restaurants that we want can only be sustainable because they're surrounded by anchors.

And I would argue that even if you don't accept that, that it's far better to have all of these retail concentrated in one area rather than spread out over 10 or 20 miles. That's what we're trying to do, we're trying to make it a compact destination and for long term city building.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Gibbs. I would like to move on to a couple more questions.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

В

MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, I do want to make one or two clarifications on this particular issue. First of all, I want to point out that very specifically in Subarea 1A we state large scale retail uses in the subarea may have parking facilities located outside the front of these retail uses. So in Subarea 1A, I believe that we are in full agreement that, yes, we do want the large scale retail uses located in that area. We do want to keep them in a compact area.

East of I75 in an area that is extraordinarily well served by transit and will be better served after this development builds the transit transfer center and the park and ride lot approximate to that area. So the Staff is very much accepting in agreement in Subarea 1A.

However, we did recognize that Subarea 1B is close to the urban village. And on those very nice roads, Southwest 24th Avenue, which is a beautifully built road, the new Southwest 62nd Boulevard extension, which will have the bus rapid transit running along it, then Southwest 38th Street, which will be a new public street that's built, that we want the buildings to front there. And, again, they can have parking on either side of

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

R7

that front 62nd Boulevard, 38th Street, and Southwest 24th Avenue. And, again, I want to make that — it abundantly clear that we're not saying you can't have parking out on the street if it's on either side of the building. The restriction is on being in front of the building. And, again, we wholeheartedly support having the large scale retail in that Subarea 1A for the reasons that I stated.

MS. OLIVERIO: Thank you. I just wanted to ask the Applicant again to clarify why that -- why 1A isn't enough of the large scale retail space -- sorry, Mr. Dedenbach.

MR. DEDENBACH: That's okay. It's okay because I think it's a little bit clearer in the PD when we talk about this or when we see the overall master plan. Because in the hierarchy of streets, there is 62nd, the new road, will be an arterial road that goes through there as Mr. Lazarri stated, it's a wide road, it's the road that will have bus rapid transit on it, it's the road that will have all the amenities. But it is larger scale road.

Crossing that is 38th and along the north side of the project is 24th Avenue, the newly constructed road with the roundabout at 38th. We

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

them, but not in front of the buildings. really need to emphasize that and clarify that. And just for a moment, if I can, if you can imagine this, the main road here as 62nd Boulevard, you have these vast areas of parking lots. That's not the image that we think we want for this new street. However, internal to the development where there might be maneuvering lanes, we do not place that restriction on the parking being in front of the building. So that's our explanation from CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lazzari. On to some other questions, Ms. Oliverio? MS. OLIVERIO: Thank you. I just want to clarify one thing. So it sounds like Staff is in agreement that parking can be allowed in front in 1A but not in 1B?

CHAIR: On the major streets?

MS. OLIVERIO: On the major street.

MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, yes. Already in the PUD document is this allowance for the large scale retail to have parking in front of the buildings that's Subarea 1A. Subarea 1B, we're only placing the restriction about the parking being in front of the buildings for those buildings

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

need to have the flexibility because, just like you see here, there may be anchors in 1B east of 62nd. And it's important on those that the flexibility is there. Because if we have a building, which we hope to have, it has to have the flexibility to have the parking in front of it. This -- it's a complicated thing because it's an issue of scale.

Now, I can't tell you off the top of my head how big this box is here that terminates this access and this vista here. But some of these larger boxes that frame -- I imagine this block started out about at this size. And originally there was probably parking between the street and this building, and then this building came in here like Mr. Gibbs was talking about. So while it's a placeholder, we have to have that opportunity and we can screen the parking and we can use various methods to downplay its visual factor.

But this type of arrangement has to be an option for us because as it progresses over time and as it densifies there, those areas will go away, I feel, over time.

MS. OLIVERIO: So, in other words, you would like to be able to have a large scale retail, for example, on both sides of 62nd, not just on the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

ġ

west side, which is 1A?

Ç

MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, it's not about the scale of the retail, it's about being able to orient the parking to the buildings there. It's not the scale of what we want to do there as much as it is the flexibility of the design options where the parking can go relative to the store irrespective of size. They may be small buildings, they may be small boutiques. But the parking has to not be limited to which primary face it can be.

MR. GIBBS: May I make one more statement?

This is where the term street and highway are very important. Because 62nd is more of a highway than a street. A street has on street parking and it's worthy of buildings fronting it. Because these stores have cars parking in front of them in which people can park and walk into the front of the store. And very often communities say put buildings along these highways, but we don't allow on street parking. And 62nd is designed without on street parking.

And if we put buildings up to that without any parking, which are all over the city. I can show you slides all over your city where you've done that -- those doors get locked. In fact, a

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

talking about a new -- we're putting in a new tenant there, so what would be the expected lifespan of that tenant as a placeholder?

MR. HATCHER: We did a project in Birmingham called The Summit. It was one of the first lifestyle centers in the United States. At the time it looked a lot like a strip center because the product was evolving. We think it's a lot nicer than anything that you would call a strip center. But what's happening is that it's got parking that opens onto a boulevard. And what's happening — and we've begun to grid that project and we've begun to put shops out in that parking lot. We've started to add parking structures to accommodate the added parking that we need.

That center opened in 1996 and we've been evolving that center the entire time from the time it opened until now. We've done six different phases on that center. So as the -- you seen retailers, they change all the time. You know, the Blockbusters of the world, as technology changes, they go out of business and some of the other retailers that you've seen go out of business and change. That's what we're talking about.

So it's not -- at the end of the day when we

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE lot of them in this city have taken off the door handles because people just don't use them. And it's really not fair to put a retailer, especially a large format retailer up to a highway without on street parking. And as much as we like to say 62nd Street, it's not technically a street without on street parking. Now, maybe someday it will be. Maybe someday we can take the outer lanes and restripe them into parking, maybe we'll have mass transit. And I hope that happens. But to put that burden on this kind of tenant is unfair. And even where it happens, it's not a very good result because those doors just don't get used.

CEAIR: Thank you, Mr. Gibbs. Ms. Sharma?

MS. SHARMA: Most of my questions were asked by Ms. Oliverio.

CHAIR: Do we want to move on?

MS. SHARMA: Just a very, very quick question. Just as a point of clarification, the word placeholder has been used a lot in this discussion. I just want to get a sense of when the Applicant is using that word, what is the timeframe? I know you can't predict the future, but do you have a guess or a general estimate of what that timeframe might be? Because we're

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

have mass transit and we don't need those cars, then we can build a downtown that has blocks and shops all the way to the outside. Right now, those tenants are dependent on their shoppers coming to them in a car. So, you know, that's what we're trying to do now. But we're trying to have the framework that we can develop a more urban solution long term.

MR. DEDENBACH: I think incapsulating Everett's comment there, they've been at it over about a 15 year period. What we're proposing here, we can't give you an exact timeframe. It is definitively market driven. We are looking for something here that will occur over a series of years. The infrastructure will be established, those placeholders will be in the ground, they will fill in.

Because you have to have a connected system, water, sewer, electric, roads, sidewalks, that will occur defining that grid and that space in there. It will mature over time as tenants locate to there and as leases expire and people move and rebrand themselves, it's an organic continuous process.

MR. GIBBS: In this case with Butler Plaza, though, they're building 62nd new. In order to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

В

afford to do that, they have to have real estate development on both sides of that street — or highway. They really can't build that and have grassy fields there waiting for development to come along. And these retailers pay for that road. That road — these retailers will eventually be replaced possibly with medium or high density housing, civic uses, other uses. We don't know. We are in the very beginning of a town or city building phase. And there hasn't been one built that I know of where on day one it opens all as a five story city or six story city. It's a building process.

В

I have seen some where these buildings, even Easton, they tore down some of their first buildings within four or five years and rebuilt them with higher density. I don't know what the growth rate is going to be in Gainesville, but it's a process. In order for us to get 62nd, it's going to be built to a very high standard. We have to develop the real estate on both sides. Someday 62nd may be narrowed down, we may have parking on both sides or maybe the use will change to a non-retail use. Maybe it will have residential or medium density residential or office or some other

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

### is done is, a Wal-Mart in a sea of parking.

MR. DEDENBACH: Yes, Madam Chair. The answer to that is, yes, they are bound by that articulation and that gridding. That figure ground that I showed you in there shows how those areas would be divided up in an example type format. So I realize this.

You know, we kind of get a little frustrated at times because we're trying to tell it to each of you so you get that picture. But those grids that are created by the public streets, the private streets, the maneuvering lanes, form that center there. And just like when Mr. Gibbs was up here, that may evolve into a block. That may break up and turn into a mini block there. So what we want do is lay that soft scape, the landscape architectural elements and the hard scape in and around those parking areas so we don't paint ourself into a corner and prohibit a retailer from saying, okay, I'm going to locate and transform this, cops, I can't do it there because the parking lot is between me and the street. If that puts it into a different light. I think you articulated that correctly, Mr. Ackerman. Thank you, Madam

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE you have a question?

MR. LANE: Yes. I've articulated this in my head five or six times pretty well and in ten minutes I had to think about it. Okay. I think this -- what Mr. Dedenbach indicated by restricting -- having this restriction on there, we're not only limiting where parking goes, also where retailers go. I feel that we might actually be painting ourselves in a corner where we're not

use and you could build the buildings up to it.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Gibbs. Mr. Lane, do

letting articulated facades that we want to have to be there until we change it. So I think that we might actually be painting ourselves in a corner that we don't really see right now.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Lane. Mr. Ackerman?

MR. ACKERMAN: Sorry, last question, the placeholder parking lots on the outside of the development, would they be subject to the block size? Would they be gridded into blocks? Because one of the issues I think we're all beating around is, you know, when you drive down Archer Road and in the, you know, five miles away you can see the Wal-Mart over a sea of parking. And I guess that's what we're afraid we're going to end up when this

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Dedenbach.

MR. ACKERMAN: Okay. I think we've beaten this to death.

MR. DEDENBACH: As a bit of agenda management, Mr. Hilliard got down a second ago and said I think we only really have two issues we're talking about here.

MR. HILLIARD: I think where we are, looking at this sheet, basically Number 3 is what you've been talking about for the longest. The Applicant agrees with the other changes that are on this sheet, so we don't have to debate those. But you still have to debate at some point the maneuvering lane and possibly talk about the gas station.

But I think it's a good point at this time that we go ahead and give the presentation from Staff on the PD so that way you have the whole picture when you come back and look at all of this.

CHAIR: I would agree with that. Before we move on, I just want to take 15 minute bathroom break. Then we'll come back in here and hear the presentation.

(A brief recess was taken.)
(End of Volume I.)

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

\$

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

scratch to implement that new PUD that's in the

to make. Obviously, the existing zoning on the

proposed PD, you're going to compare the existing

PD. Certainly if you have any questions for Staff

property is PD. So when you're comparing the

Comp Plan. That's kind of an important distinction

99

ź

7 8 9

11 12 13

15 16 17

18 19 20

25

as we're going along about the differences between the existing PD and proposed PD, we can honestly answer those. I'll try to point out those some things as well as we go along that might be different. CHAIR: Mr. Wright, as you go along, can you just make sure we know which of the attachments you might be referring to when you get into the nitty-gritty? MR. WRIGHT: Sure, I'll do that. We try not to make these things too complicated, sometimes it's the nature of the project. So the first thing I'll do actually then is tell you what all these attachments are just to get you generally oriented. We've got a Staff report, as usual, and then there are several attachments to that Staff report. The first is Appendix A, which is the application that was submitted. B, Appendix B is a neighborhood workshop information. That's pretty

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARK CHAIR: Okay. I'll go ahead and call this meeting back to order. If you could take a seat, please. Ms. Lazzari, I think you had a little announcement to make?

MS. LAZZARI: Yes, Madam Chair, thank you for the opportunity. We did want to announce since we're now already nearly at 9:00, that we have an opportunity for any items that need to be continued to a date certain of February 28th, which is the Plan Board meeting. Because that's a light agenda, there are only four items on that agenda, if we need that opportunity, we have that available.

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lazarri. I think we'll do our best to get through as much as we can. Mr. Wright?

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, Scott Wright again for the Planning Department. And I'm going to talk about our Planned Development now. And I should point out first that I just want to make it absolutely clear that this is a new planned development that's being proposed. Unlike the PUD which is an amendment to the existing PUD language in the Comp Plan, this is actually a new planned development.

You can think of it as if it's designed from

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

standard stuff for any application that we see. C is a PD report. And that includes a lot of the development standards that are being proposed by the Applicant.

A lot of what was included in the previous, or I should say existing PD ordinance, has actually been now included in that PD report. So most of the standards that would apply to this development are now in that PD report. That's important to understand. There is a new PD layout map that's being proposed. I also included as backup in Appendix E, the existing map so you have those to compare to one another.

Appendix F are street sections which determine the layout of the public streets that are being proposed. Appendix G is a proposed sidewalk plan. And I can explain more about that later on. And then, finally, Appendix H is the TRC comments. There are a lot of kind of more detailed comments there that we did not want to write new PD conditions for. Most of those comments deal with making adjustments to the PD layout map or the PD report and those are things that we feel pretty comfortable with trying to resolve between now and the City Commission, certainly between now and any

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

В

121107E

kind of ordinance we're writing.

Oh, yeah, then finally there is one more thing which has been added on late, which is correspondence from Celebration Point representatives. I'm sure you've heard a little bit about that. Basically that's all included as backup for this application.

CHAIR: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: So to start, here are the basics. I won't spend much time on what we're dealing with here. It's 267 acres. There is, obviously, existing development there. One thing to clarify I think -- and I think Onelia did this as well -- with the PUD, there are maximum development amounts allowed for each type of use in the PUD and the PD and those are listed here. That's not, however, the total development that's allowed for in the PUD and the PD. There is another, you know, small print limiting factor, which is the average daily trips of the entire development. I just wanted to make that clear, that all of these uses cannot necessarily be constructed under these entitlements if you want to call them that

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

And so this is the aerial of the site we

talked about this already. We, obviously, have
Archer Road, 34th Street, 24th Avenue, then
Southwest 42nd Street as it exists today. You can
see the existing commercial area here. This is,
obviously, the new potential redevelopment area
here. And then some surrounding uses, you see
Windmeadows apartment complex here, which is almost
kind of surrounded by the FD I should say.

And then just to look at the existing land use on the property -- and this doesn't yet incorporate these parcels that we talked about earlier tonight, are not yet incorporated into the PUD. But this light blue color here is the PUD to the North is UB2 land use that is applied to the urban village area.

To the west you see business industrial land use and then across the street you see this red area is commercial, and then some medium density residential there as well. And I'll zip through these. These are basically a few photographs of the area. I think everyone is pretty familiar with it, but there is outparcels on Archer Road that we all know about. There are shopping centers behind those outparcels and existing retail area. There is big boxes, AKA large scale retail, AKA

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

destination retail. There are some private streets that are constructed in the existing Butler Plaza area that serve the development and, essentially, connect Archer Road to Windmeadows Boulevard.

This is Windmeadows Boulevard, which runs through the north of the existing retail area. There is, obviously, transit service there, and you can see the Windmeadows Apartments in the background. This is currently the vacant mobile home park that's there. That would be a lot of the property that would be redeveloped as part of the new development area. Another look on 33rd Place there and some of the existing old residential sites there. There is hotels in the vicinity, there is some light industrial in the vicinity on 42nd Street.

This is looking down 24th Avenue which runs along the north end of the property. Then this is where 38th Street comes into 24th Avenue running here. And part of this proposal in terms of the public street grid would be to extend this 38th Street to the south and provide one of the major connecting roads through the development. Some of defunct single family residential off 24th Avenue, some more multifamily residential and then some

commercial that's closer to Southwest 34th Street.

That gives you a picture of the area and what we're looking at. And now I want to talk about kind of some of the fundamental aspects of the PD, some of the areas of focus that the conditions talk about that Staff is recommending and also that the TRC comments talk about.

So these are the seven areas I'm going to discuss here tonight. After hearing a lot of other people talk tonight, I started thinking that it's a lot more fun to create places than it is to regulate places. But, obviously, our job as the City Staff is to do just that, and your job as the City Planning Board is to figure out how to regulate those places. You know, a lot of times we know what the Petitioner wants to accomplish and we — of course, we want to believe him. But we also have to figure out how to write those in the standards. That's what I'm going to try to talk about now.

So I'm going to focus first on the PD layout map and the public streets and then go into the private street grid, which we've already spoken about some tonight. I'll talk about how to redevelop those existing retail areas along Archer

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

proposed.

Road. Like every PD, we're going to have a list of permitted uses and then also design standards as design standards are broken up according to the subareas. And then, finally, we'll talk about the timing of the infrastructure, which is something a little bit unique for this PD because there is a lot of public infrastructure that's being built and it's important that that infrastructure is built at the appropriate time to serve the development. That's what that is about. Then my least favorite topic is signage, so I'll go through that quickly at the end.

ø

q

The proposed PD layout map is shown here. Basically what is shown is the four subareas, which is slightly different than the three subareas that we had before -- we have now in the existing PD, then it shows the public street grid that's being proposed. So you got 2A here is where they've talked about including a town center, 2B which is other is the remaining part of the existing retail area, 1A which has been kind of discussed as a large scale retail area, then 1B which both Staff and the Petitioner has talked about as sort of a transitional area between the urban village to the north, sort of the larger, more auto oriented

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

Staff has recommended exploration of some other public streets in this development. The most important one I do want to at least bring up here is this extension of 42nd Street. What the PD shows today, the PD layout map that we've been given is an urban walkway which is a basically bicycle and pedestrian facility which would serve to continue 42nd Street to the north to 24th Avenue. And Staff feels pretty strongly that we'd be better served by an actual vehicular connection there. Part of that reason that would allow you to continue on 42nd to the north and ultimately into the urban village as the urban village redevelops and hopefully an extension of that street is built

This is just to underline the important of that Southwest 62nd Boulevard that's being built here is the Butler Plaza development, here is the section of that street that will be built with that development. Of course, 62nd Boulevard today runs from the Oaks Mall and North Florida Regional Medical south across -- south to 20th Avenue. And the ultimate long range plan is to have an alternative north, south connector which would be going from the Oaks Mall to Butler Plaza. That's a

in the urban village.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE the PD in a nutshell.

Street connectivity today looks like this.

There is going to be some -- I'm sorry -- and then
we've got -- so these are public streets, except
for Windmeadows. Then you've got a couple of
private streets that are -- we might call private
access streets before, now we're calling them maybe

retail that's going to happen in 1A and 2B.

maneuvering lanes, which connect through the development. And then the proposed new PD will, of course, build a section of Southwest 62nd Boulevard, which will be ultimately, hopefully, a

very important street in Gainesville.

Southwest 38th Street, which, as I pointed out earlier, will extend from its current terminus here and then run kind of to the west across the PD, ultimately connect to 40th Boulevard, which is here. That provides another important connection. And then a smaller street, which I think is called Southwest 30th Terrace, which would just provide another larger block here -- actually, two larger blocks between 62nd Boulevard and 42nd Street. That's the overall public street grid that's being

I did want to point out in TRC comments,

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

very important street from a regional aspect.

Again, looking at the PD layout map, there is another thing shown here, which is the street cross sections. You can't really read those I know, but hopefully in your packet you can read those cross sections and they relate directly to this document which was also included with the PD which shows you what those streets would actually be constructed like.

So here is the summary of Staff's comments on the PD layout map and the public street system.

Basically, we want the Archer Braid Trail and the transit transfer station to be shown on that PD layout map. We think those are essential project elements of this project. They relate to a lot of other planning that we need to go ahead and memorialize those locations on the map.

We want to identify all the street cross sections. All that means there is a couple of public streets that don't have cross sections assigned to them so we want to go ahead and take care of that.

I talked about some possible improvements to that public street system. One detail is that the right of way shown for Southwest 30th Street,

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

actually that should be Southwest 30th Avenue -- is shown as an 80 foot right of way, it should be 90 foot right of way. That's something that we've been talking about for a long time. And then some other details. There is a section here shown as an urban walkway. If that section of street, if you want to call it that, is actually built as an urban walkway, then we don't -- we don't want to call it an urban walkway unless it has building fronting it. That's not what's shown here, what's shown here is actually some storm water facilities to the side of that. We want to give that a different name. If it's just going to be a multiuse path, we want to just call it that.

That summarizes Staff's comments on the PD layout and the public streets. The reason why we're putting all this emphasis on the street grid here, in planning this, this is one of the most important decisions we can make is where streets go and where streets don't go and how they connect and so on and so forth. It's a very permanent decision. And I just have this picture of a 2,000 year old street in Rome to illustrate that.

Obviously, the buildings that were built here along this street, they're not there anymore but the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

street is there. You see this all over the world. You can see it on satellite photos of the Middle East where there is no city there anymore, but there is still a street that runs across the desert. So when we put streets on a piece of property, it's really important to get them in the right locations and get the appropriate connections.

So we talked about the public street system. The next layer of that in terms of street connectivity is the private street grid. This is the existing PD layout map. And what this shows are those public streets. It also shows the private streets connecting here. We understand from the Petitioner -- and I'm sure they're talk about this more -- that showing those private street grid on the map created issues for them in terms of flexibility in their development. So we're not seeing those on the current PD layout map.

And Staff is okay with that as long as we have a connectivity standards that's included both in the PUD and the PD. I think that's something that we worked towards with the Petitioner.

Ropefully we're in agreement on that standard

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

tonight.

ë

So, again, that's in terms of the private street grid. We've got three main questions we want to answer. One is we want that connectivity standard that's shown here, it's a 2,000 square foot max for all of the subareas except for Subarea 1A, which, again, is where the large scale retail is proposed to go. We're giving more flexibility there to account for the types and uses that are proposed there.

Secondly, we need to identify what kind of streets are actually going to contribute to that grid. Are they going to be streets or are they going to be parking lot driveways. That's obviously a complicated issue that we've already started talking about some tonight. Staff feels at least a minimum we need to get some cross sections shown in the PD that are going to identify what these streets actually look like for starters. And that they should include at least a sidewalk and street, trees on one side to provide for that pedestrian environment, and then also limit the actual access to those streets.

And then, finally, there is no requirement today in the PD for a main street, and we think

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

that's pretty important to include. We're not going to say how that needs to be included in the PD. We do think that somewhere within Subarea 2A there needs to be a requirement for that actual main street to be constructed. That's an essential component of creating a town center. This is just looking at St. John's Town Center, that's the main street here.

And you can see -- you've created a kind of an urban environment there around that main street. Looking at an aerial of St. Johns Town Center, that is that main street. The rest of the development is essentially what you expect to see in a regional shopping center. So we think it's really important to insure that the main street is constructed and that the town center is also constructed within Subarea 2A and that's something that's going to distinguish this development as a whole from, you know, just a regional shopping center.

And so this is about roughly where the town center is going to go and where the main street would go. We think it's pretty important to have some sort of guarantee that this area is going to redevelop. And so we've included a couple of conditions. I recommended a couple of conditions

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

4

5

6

7

Ħ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

116

in the PD, the first one basically says that when a certain amount of development has happened within the new development area, that's 550,000 square feet, that's a lot. That's actually an amount that was proposed by the Petitioner. They've told us that's the amount of development that needs to take place in order to essentially clear out that subarea 2A and allow it to redevelop. So we're taking their word on that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But we want to make sure that once that happens development doesn't just continue in the new area. We want to see redevelopment in the town center. So we've required a minimum amount. What we've proposed is 100,000 square feet. We can probably have discussion about what number is. But the important thing is that the town center does redevelop in a timely manner, so that's why that Condition 1 is being proposed.

And then Condition 2 just talks about establishing that street grid consistent with the PUD requirements within Subareas 2A and 2B. We have to find an appropriate threshold for when that should happen. Obviously, if you're redeveloping an outparcel and you can't start building a new street grid necessarily, but you're taking down a

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. /ILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE GAINESVILLE

large part of the shopping center, then that would be the appropriate time to identifying a new street grid. That's what we're getting at there.

Permanent uses, this is in every PD and PUD. There is an allowed uses table in the PD report that's on Page 7. Some of the uses are limited according to subarea. So I just want to point that out. And we just only have a few comments here really. We have some minor TRC comments about clarifying the names of some of those uses. We want to make sure that we identify the location of temporary automobile sales.

I don't know if you remember, but this was in the previous PD and this is an issue that we don't want to just allow these auto sales all over the entire PD. We want to allow them in certain locations because we don't really see them consistent with creating a pedestrian environment. And then, finally, we've included some design standards for drive thru uses and that's in condition four that Staff is recommending.

And I'm getting close to the end by the way. So another issue that we have in every PD is design standards. And, really, that's what makes a PD unique is that we're getting development right

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

for this property and we're also placing design standards for that development as well. And so this is basically a summary of the TRC comments and Staff conditions that go to design standards. And without going through all these, I kind of want to give an overview of Staff's impression of this development to explain why we think these design standards are necessary,

So as we kind of discussed, this area 1A and 2B, are sort of like a regional shopping center and we're going to see probably large scale retail there. And we're not asking for as much design requirements to be placed on that type of development in terms of parking location and glazing and build to lines.

However, in Subareas 1B, which is what we're seeing as transitional area to the urban village, and then 2A, which is, obviously, supposed to be the town center, we are asking for a higher standard of urban design. That's what you see reflected in Staff's comments.

The infrastructure timing basically on Pages 18 through 22, the PD report, there is language that talks about when public streets are going to be constructed, when the transit transfer station

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE STARKE

is going to be constructed, when certain sidewalks are going to be built within the existing retail area. Then another example is improvements to the I75 exit. This is something that FDOT has specifically asked for to be included in the PD.

And we just have a few minor issues here. One we've talked about bus bays being constructed on Windmeadows Boulevard, but there is not a requirement for that in that infrastructure plan. We want to include that in that infrastructure plan so we know when that would take place. Similarly, Public Works Staff has asked for sidewalks to be continued on Windmeadows Boulevard. We also want to put a requirement in the infrastructure plan for that.

And then finally -- I think you probably read about this in the paper, we want to add a new condition, Condition 14 to the PD this is not included in your Staff report so I'm going to show it to you now on the slide. That deals with the timing of the Southwest 30th Avenue right of way. And the reason why this is here is basically because there is a development that's happening in the County.

And through a County Commission meeting last

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE LAKE CITY STARKE

have more questions about this later, I'll be

have addressed some signage issues. They are

actually located in the PD report on Page 14.

place according to our land development code.

pretty minor concession for this development.

There are some different special types of signs

its scale. Those are primary development signs

which, of course, is fine.

elsewhere in the City.

These really are written the same way as they were

in the existing PD as they are in the existing PD.

Building signs in this development would just take

Monument signs, we allow for a larger

architectural border for monument signs. That's a

that are allowed for in this development based upon

which would be limited to three, and they would be

located at major entrances to the development. And

they could have commercial signs that would relate

Finally landmark identification features,

to interior areas of the development, which is a

little different than how we deal with signage

willing to answer them. Last but not least, we

week, some concerns were expressed about the timing of that development related to this development and how the Regional Transportation and Transit systems would be interacting as a result of these two separate developments happening. So that's something that we at least want to account for in this PD.

2

3

6

7

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

Э

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the way that we're doing that is through this condition, which would insure that a right of way for Southwest 30th Avenue, which I can show you a location of that in a second if you want -- would take place if the Butler project has not progressed to a certain point that would require that road to be built, then that right of way dedication would take place according to these requirements.

And, basically, at the Celebration Point development, has gotten to a point where they can show that they've progressed to this point in their planning and their design and met these criteria, then that right of way would be dedicated to the City at that time. That would allow for that coordination to be able to take place between City and County and provide for those regional connections.

I'm simplifying a complicated issue. If you

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA STARKE

2

3

ε

18

> 23 24 25

> > 3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaza Town Center. They're not commercial signage, but they would be a feature that identified the

which would basically say Butler Plaza or Butler

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

119

development as a whole. That's what makes signage unique for this PD.

In conclusion, your criteria for PD approval are listed here. They're in land development code Section 30216 and they're also covered in detail in the Staff report, and basically deal with conformance with the Comp Plan, of course. Internal and external compatibility with surrounding development, the intensity of the development, usable open spaces, environmental constraints, internal and external transportation access, and then finally providing for a range of transportation services. We've outlined in the report how this development meets all of this criteria.

So Staff is recommending approval of the Butler Plaza PD zoning. We've recommended PD conditions and other revisions recommended by Staff. What I mean by other revisions are those that are outlined in the TRC comments. I just want to make that clear. That concludes our presentation. And I know that the Petitioner is also here and probably wants to talk about the PD as well, but I can answer any questions.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE

OCALA

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Wright. Are there any

LAKE CITY

STARKE

questions from the Board for Mr. Wright before we

MS. SHARMA: I hate to say the word parking. But I have a question that is a little bit related to some of the discussion we had before the break. And I think the Applicant will probably discuss this more as well. But one of the questions that came up in my mind when you were distinguishing between the design standards in the different subareas. I realized I -- following our parking conversation, I am unclear about zone -- or Subarea 1B as compared to 1A.

And, you know, I understand that the town center is planned for Subarea 2A. And we talked about distinguishing between 1A and 1B as areas where we could have this placeholder big box retail. And, you know, just referring to the Staff report, in the design standards, one of the things that is talked about is having more urban design standards for Subarea 1A as the connection with the urban village. And so if -- I guess I'm just asking for some clarity on the vision for those upper areas, like what is going to be happening.

Petitioner is probably going to elaborate on

MR. WRIGHT: Sure. Mr. Chair, I'm sure the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE STARKE

move on? Ms. Sharma?

Would Petitioner would

this -- I mean Madam Chair. I'm sure the Petitioner is going to elaborate on this as well. but as I was starting to introduce a little bit earlier, this 1B is important because it does geographically provide for a transitional area between the urban village, which is supposed to be high density mixed use development. It's going to be walkable and it's going to have a grid of streets. So we want this to tie in well here,

2

3

4

5

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's be honest.

We want this to provide a transition to the more regional shopping center, the more traditional auto oriented shopping center. So in terms of standards, it should be kind of a hybrid between what you might see in the urban village and what you might see for, you know, large scale retail development. And we've tried to propose specific standards in the Staff report and in the TRC development.

CHAIR: Does that make sense, Ms. Sharma. what we were discussing before with the additional requirements were in 1B, the parking conflict?

MR. WRIGHT: Right, the parking issue that we discussed earlier.

MS. SHARMA: All right. I just want to make sure I had that all straight before we carried on.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

I supposed to do. And Pegeen was the mayor at that point in time. She said I understand that this is not going to happen overnight, but give us the bones of good urbanism. Give us the ground work of the grid and the underlying infrastructure so that as Gainesville densifies and as the urban village -- which today, you know, it's 20th Avenue.

But there are new roads happening out there. This is the catalyst that will grow that area. This needs to evolve over time. It's a dynamic element. And as Gainesville densifies, so will this project and that area on the west side of the campus. And we understand that there is not a market for a 100 percent town center. So what we bringing forward -- while Scott is 100 percent correct this is a new PD because when you have a PD you replace it with a PD. Mostly everything that's in the existing approved development is coming forward in this development with just a couple necessary minor changes.

And I can tell you in all honesty that what we spoke about before about the parking lots and the fuel sales, they are absolute necessities. I'm going to refer back to some of these slides here in

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

MR. DEDENBACH: Okay. if Scott could change the presentation please. Again, Gerry Dedembach. As a matter of clarification, we are -- in the zoning items now, so it's a quasi-judicial item. I'd be remiss to say that Mr. Theriaque would want me to say all the evidence that was presented earlier during the small scale land use amendment and during the course of the large scale by our expert engineer architect, urban planning

consultants and so forth as part of this

presentation to wrap this altogether.

CHAIR: Thank you.

like to make a presentation?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Now, the fun part this really gets to the detail. And I really have been trying my best throughout the week to tell Onelia that we're going to finish this tonight, we don't need to continue it. We have two issues in the land use. And we are substantively on the same page with everything that Mr. Wright has said with a couple minor tweaks for that. I want to underscore, again, why we're here. These are revisions that are necessary for construction.

Years ago when this project started with the City, Ms. Butler came to the City and said what am

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE

STARKE

a second to show you why they are absolutely important. If we don't get them, the project doesn't move forward,

Okay. I explained that the PUD, the land use was heavily front loaded and the purpose is to bring some of those items out and we're putting them into the blend form now in the zoning, so a lot of that's coming forward now. He didn't leave me off where I started, sorry for the mistiming here. I got to get to the break point. Scott, can you put it on the start point for the zoning? Yes, I believe 56. Thank you. Okay.

So the planned development rezoning. We're going to give a basic comparison of what we had and what we have today in our planned development and what we're looking for. The current approved PD on the left and the proposed PD on the right. Today 264 acres. With your approval and moving forward with the Commission, we're going to be having 267 acres. The previous map had numerous areas in it, subareas, existing retail one, existing retail two, existing retail three and so on. It was really a puzzle. We're simplifying this for the purposes of making a better transect type plan.

There was an incremental infrastructure plan.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

128

What we are proposing and what you'll see momentarily is a very performance based street standard plan. And as Staff stated, they want to have some sort of guarantee that there's going to be a town center. We got about a \$16 million dollar guarantee there's going to be a town center there and I'm going to show you how.

ž

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Previously what was agreed upon was a 2,000 lineal foot block perimeter. The new PT proposes the 2,000 foot maximum. And that is consistent with the urban village to north of that. We're not asking for a change in that. There were 11 different roadway sections in the adopted PD. It's too many, plain and simple. We need to have a more simple plan of public streets, private streets, and maneuvering lanes. And they can be of varying widths, but we can't live with 11 different cross sections and Staff is on board with that,

The town center was previously located to the north. We are bringing the town center and starting it on Archer Road. Okay. This accelerates the plan. It accelerates redevelopment. It accelerates economic growth and opportunity, and it capitalizes on that opportunity that we have by making this modification. Because

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE over the past year, as Deborah has described going around and looking at all these examples to see what works, it makes economic spatial and market sense to bring the town center up to the front of the development. And that's very key to this.

Previously, it was a long term timeline. You know, that town center was starting after a lot of things happened. Right now what we're proposing is, after tenants in the existing area -- if you think in that area from like, Chewy's and CVS and Wal-Mart and Bonefish and all, when they relocate, that clears the canvas for the town center to start. And some of those tenants will, obviously, locate in 1A. That's why it's Phase 1A. When that canvas clears, that town center starts.

The previous PD, when Robert looked at that as an engineer, it was very fragmented. What we're going to propose to you gives a very logical redevelopment pattern to accelerate this project and move it faster chronologically, which was a question that one of the board members asked tonight. And, again, these modifications -- I can't say it in any other different way -- they give us an organic response to evolving market demands that give tenants flexibility and they're

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

more suited to the new economy of what Gainesville is bringing on other portions of the urban landscape of Gainesville.

So here is our aerial map, 267 acres. I want to concentrate on the fact that this western area is largely industrial. Here is I75 and you have a more industrial type area against an interstate here. You have existing shopping along Archer Road, and our plan will place those additional properties in the PD and get rid of this very complicated, overly diagrammatic set of things where you can see almost a roundabout had to happen here. And this street had to have a bulb in it. That is far too literal because there will be a day when I won't be here, Scott won't be here, Ralph, Onelia, Robert, we need to have a plan that's more clearly articulating zones, not architectural lavout.

So what we're replacing this with -- because it's too literal for the marketplace, it has a complex set of standards that restrict development and especially redevelopment. And its infrastructure was not timed to really bring it all on in a fashion that's logical and holistic.

We also want to get rid of this plan because

LAKE CITY

STARKE

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA

it designated -- and one of the things that, you know, I made that comment earlier, Gerry, if you ever get a PD like this, we'd get rid of you. It's got a moat along 24th Avenue. I can't say it anymore simple. It defines storm water along 24th Avenue in that linear format and saves just a bit of development are here and a little token area here. We can do a better job. I am highly confident in Robert's engineering skills to deliver a better than lumping all the storm water along here and then a couple pockets out here by the interstate. We've got better technologies, better

As I stated, there are too many districts in here to create an effective design pallet. It's a patchwork at best. So we're simplifying that by having a new PD with four areas.

design skills, and we're going to do it.

Today, because of consistency they are called Area 1A, which is your large scale anchor retail. B, which is a hybrid, 2A which is the catalyst and the town center, and 2B, which is existing area where you have Best Buy and up through the Target area here. The way that this plan works forward with those four areas is like this, here is the guarantee that Scott was asking for. 62nd will

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA STARKE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

connect from the property boundary and it will go all the way down to Archer Road. Connecting off that to relink, 43rd will be a new roadway that will connect into 62nd.

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

à

5

6

7

ø

5

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, from the southern end of 43rd 1 new roadway will connect. I believe this is 30th Terrace. And the Regional Transit System, transit transfer station and park and ride lot will be constructed here in 1A. Additionally, 42nd will connect back up to the new 62nd. And what you're seeing is the spine of the public street network that will go in initially, and then this portion will turn into a pedestrian walkway.

And the reason that this is a pedestrian walkway versus a street, I will explain to you and we cannot change that. We would like to accommodate Staff, but there is a reason that we cannot change that.

If you know much about Gainesville's infrastructure, there is a 720 PSI, pounds per square inch natural gas line that comes up underneath the interstate, across the property here, and comes up through the Butler property and goes up through 38th area through the Student Village area, up behind the Hilton Hotel and goes

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

131

there is going to be a town center in here and fiscal redevelopment, this represents \$16 million dollars of infrastructure that the client is putting forward to facilitate this development plan. 62nd, 30th, 42nd extension, 30th Terrace, and Windmeadows Boulevards will be realigned here to create a better intersection behind the Best Buv.

You heard Staff ask for placement of the transit transfer station on the PD map. We have no problem with that. You heard Staff ask about placing cross sections of the map, what these roadways would look like. No problem, we've done that. This is the guarantee that that town center is going to occur. It enables tenants to relocate in the existing Butler development.

And, most importantly -- I'm going to go back to a clean canvas again. Once that infrastructure network has started there, that's part of the bones of the new urbanism here. With 1A now served by public streets and utility infrastructure and transit, it opens up 2A as a canvas.

Condition 1 talks about that threshold. When 550,000 square feet move over here, you can't start doing anymore until that town center starts. We

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE into campus. That gas company will not allow a public street to be placed on that.

So we will be moving portions of that line. And the best place to put that where they will allow us to put it is underneath an urban walkway because it will allow future access and emergency access should they need to service that line. It can't go in a street, it can't go under buildings. And so this is a design consideration that we have to live with because that will be a portion when that gas line which currently comes across the Adkins property and then goes up here in the Butler property, it will be in parking areas and crossing streets but then it will use this to get up to 24th Avenue where it transfers over them goes up into the urban village and connects to the University of Florida. We can't change that. Sorry. There is just no leeway on that. It is an infrastructure element that is unchangeable.

And then Southwest 30th inclusive of the Archer Braid Trail will connect from 40th against 175 easterly across the project, passing the transit transfer station and park and ride, crossing 62nd and coming up and connecting into the roundabout. If ever there was a guarantee that

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

assure you that this town center will start as soon as we can start because of the cross tenant agreements in here. When tenants relocate from this portion of the development to here, that town center can start. And laying the bones that we talked about with those parking lots and shielding those with soft scape and hard scape, that's integral to let this canvas start to feed that and let that town center start.

After that, the transitional area or this hybrid 1B will be the logical connection. If you think of this in a transect sort of planning model with the interstate highway here and then industrial areas, our largest uses are on the west and they transition to the town center here. The principles of design, environmental graphics, soft scape and hard scape that you can do in here, can largely be repeated to the west. But we have no intention of bringing these larger anchors that are going to be here into this zone. All the niceties, all the things we see in the pretty pictures and the design elements that you can do here, you can bring them this direction. What you can't do in this plan is bring that big development that way. That's a pretty standard sort of comparison

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. /ILLE OCALA LAKE CIT GAINESVILLE LAKE CITY STARKE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

б

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of what's in our PD statistics and our development standards table. This will have a much more finely granulated boutique type with anchors, this is a destination area.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

Ð

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To the issue of the cross sections, the MTPO 62nd Boulevard cross sections and the associated elements that we talked about in the Comprehensive Plan, those multimodal items such as bus rapid transit lanes, they can be accommodated on here. This was the MTPO's diagram. We have a cross section proposed that when the bus rapid transit comes online, this portion of the cross section goes into the area that we reserve in Southwest 62nd, so that facility can then come down here and maybe those buses can connect in here. But those lames can be added to 62nd.

On the south side of Windmeadows Boulevard. the MTPO cross section changes a little bit and we have a cross section that works with those elements further to the south here where it's a little tighter, it loses median, but we still keep the important elements in the roadway section so we're good there.

And then we talked about there were 11 different cross sections. Section AA is an 80 foot

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

right of way designed at the local street network. And this is an example of where that will originate and continue throughout the development. Section BB is an example section of 30th and 38th as it connects here, and then off to the side of that cross section there is the Archer Braid Trail.

So that continuous facility, which will deliver people via pedestrian, bicycle, roller blade, and other mechanisms from Haile easterly into Gainesville will pick up here and carry on up along 30th and 38th to the roundabout, and then carry up through the Student Village and connecting to campus. So we are putting that in our plan.

Section -- here we'll have two roadway types. We'll have a roadway here and a street that connects this. But this is that urban walkway where that 720 PSI natural gas line is buried. That's why that section there has been designated as an urban walkway versus a street connection.

And then, lastly, the maneuvering lane section that will exist throughout other areas where we don't have public streets or private streets, we're happy to illustrate those on the plan. A couple of the issues that were brought up in the Staff report, the previous plan limited

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

GAINESVILLE OCALA STARKE

135

automobile sales to two areas, an area in front of Target and an area in front of Wal-Mart I think. In October it becomes a pumpkin patch and then certain times of year it also has temporary auto sales.

We want to get rid of that since we're getting rid of the old PD master plan and allow a broader standard where this town center and this development can have automobile shows and sales throughout. That way it becomes more of a community draw event. And it's something that is repeated throughout our community. This is the car show and sale out at Tioga in their town center. Recently Haile had one in its little downtown.

We want to get rid of that box for a couple reasons. Because with the new PD master plan, that box might be a very identical place where a new building might go so we don't want to blow away that limited box. We want to allow these to occur. but to occur through a special use permit process so that we know where it's going to happen, it's going to be an event, it's going to have a limited time to it, but it can happen wherever it might generate the greatest civic involvement. Maybe it's a Fourth of July and hot rod show, maybe it's

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY keyed with new automobiles coming out in August or September or it's a winter fest or something along those lines. We want to remove that box area that's on the previous map.

So these are the only differences now that we really have with the Staff conditions. Today they are saying that there is a trigger that effectively says at 550,000 square feet of combined development between Subareas 1A and 1B, the green and the blue, you have to build -- and build 100,000 square feet of the town center. We would like to relax that condition somewhat to state that all development and redevelopment of existing buildings is stricken, but simply state development within Subarea 2A, meeting the town center design standards as described in the PD report is where we're going.

No more than 550,000 square feet of combined development may be constructed within 1A and 1B until a final development order has been issued within the town center. The difference between the development order being issued versus a certificate of occupancy could be five months, could be a year depending on the complexity of the infrastructure or longer. We would like to know that we can move

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those tenants from the area 2A where the town center is planned, get them started and going in 1A and not have to wait to do the town center.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if we get to the five hundred and fifty first that as long as we are obtaining a development order within Subarea 2A, the town center, we can proceed to accelerate the town center.

The urban development pattern that's in Condition 3. it places within Subarea 1B and 2A that any single use single story retail buildings shall be limited to 100,000 square feet. Because we are trying to do an urban development pattern, we would like to have buildings that can occupy the entire block. So if we have a block that has a 1,000 foot perimeter or a 1,500 foot perimeter or the full perimeter, that block can be a singular building. It's not likely that you'll see that often, but because we are trying to build an urban development form, we want to be able to fill a block with a building.

We would like to propose that single use retail buildings with ground floor footprints exceeding 150,000 square feet are not solely limited to 1A, but they're allowed to exist in the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE district as long as it fills up a block if that makes sense to you.

And then back to our parking issue. Because this is a real sticking point that will preclude the redevelopment effort. We need to be able to -as we were talking earlier in the Comprehensive Plan -- to be able to use the elements of landscape architecture and architecture, soft scape and hard scape to frame these blocks and make these placeholders so that as tenants move from one area to another we are creating the infrastructure and grid pattern there necessary to facilitate the development pattern that we're trying to bring to this area.

The fuel sales that carries forward from the Comprehensive Plan, I can tell you that we cannot live with the condition that has to put the fueling positions behind a building. It is a necessity to be able to screen those fueling positions and we understand the City's position on that. But we need to use, again, our best design techniques whether they be soft scape, hard scape, or some combination thereof to screen those fueling positions.

Because there is absolutely no way that we

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

139

can design this and not have a fueling position visible from either a public street, a private street, or a maneuvering lane. So we need to have that flexibility in there that we talked about earlier today.

And so what we've offered here as a potential condition is that fueling pumps may be located to the front or side of a building as part of a development plan that demonstrates the following:

View of the fuel pumps shall be shielded from adjacent public and private streets by berms, landscaping, or architectural elements. For example, garden walls or any combination thereof to achieve around 75 percent opacity of that feature.

We can't hide it behind a building. It has to be visible to the driver so they know where to go. We're proposing a design condition that hopefully will give visual screening and give that quality of the urban space.

And then, secondly, location of the fueling pumps will not impede pedestrian and bicycle access to the buildings on site or to any of the adjacent parcels. Again, we've tried to ring that home real thoroughly during the land use portion. We're concerned about the safety. We're concerned about

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE STARKE the comfort. We believe through good design mechanisms that we can shield those parking lots and fueling positions to make this a very beautiful place for, not only drivers, but for pedestrians and bicyclists and transit riders. And so we absolutely have to have that flexibility to place those elements in the development plan.

We talked about drive thrus. And they need that sort of similar flexibility. We're not going to do the traditional sort of plan that you see out there today. As a matter of fact, you know, what we're working at -- you saw with the Floor Trader's building is a much more beautiful style of architectural. Again, drive thrus can be allowed here and we wanted to use that same ethic throughout there where soft scape and hard scape, again, can shield those and get that opacity and those elements can actually exist throughout the development.

And so with that, there was just one item that I identified during Staff's presentation that's really key to this. Condition 14 which dealt with the dedication of the right of way for the Southwest 30th Avenue corridor is really not necessary anymore. We've met with representatives

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE LAKE CITY OCALA STARKE

€

я

from Celebration Point. Their intention in a cross section that they delivered to us in a plan yesterday, lands the flyover on property that they own and future connection of that facility will occur whenever that flyover and that roadway network occurs. So it's not really an item that needs to be on there anymore. We can go back to the original way that the right of way was triggered through the original PD and bring that forward. Their plan can exist without conflict to ours, and our plan can go forward to create that street network along 30th Avenue and deliver the Archer Braid Trail in there.

And in the future, those facilities can connect, but it's really not a discussion item for tonight because we have an agreement on a cross section where they're going to land to the south of us and connection will happen later.

So with that, I'd like to conclude. We are certainly here to answer any questions. But the important thing to deliver in this -- and if I could have the doc camera please, Scott, instead of the Powerpoint.

This is a conceptual rendering that Everett and Rebecca Maccardini put together. And we're

ground. That anchor tenant has parking between the

street and the building. These public streets out

better place, one that looks like that when you get

This is the other end of that town center.

here have parking between the building form, but

they use soft scape and hard scape to yield a

There is a grocer. There they've used a

combination of maneuvering lanes, which are out

here on the public streets. You see the public

that soft scape and hard scape is really what's

highway out here. That little bit of flexibility,

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3 4 5

standing between us starting this project and this project never getting off the ground.

So I hope if you have any way tonight to hear this from our team and hear the assurances of the beauty that we're going to deliver on this project, we are here to show you what we're going to do. There is a tremendous commitment by all of the professionals here, and an enormous public infrastructure project that will create an interconnected network in southwest Gainesville. That is that trigger that will bring this town center to Gainesville and really redevelop and set the catalyst for the whole southwest area.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE Deborah said Rebecca was the first female president of the International Council of Shopping Centers. And she has been a strong advocate for this project and helping us understand the dynamic business between what it takes to make a successful development versus moving forward and falling in pitfalls. She has an immense amount of institutional knowledge. Her skills, combined with Bob Gibbs and Everett in this team, will deliver

very lucky to have such talented professionals

working on this team.

In that view of how that town center starts and really creates that urban space, it's based on existing models of projects that we have team members that have worked on. This is Rochester Hills. This is a beautiful urban space. I can't think of anybody who wouldn't want to say that's what I want as a transformational use in the Butler Plaza area.

this project. And we need a few flexible points to

make this thing come to reality.

It's important to note that that looks like that from the air. And those very maneuvering lanes and screening elements that we talked about that are important, they deliver that on the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

So we thank you for your time. I hope we can -- it's only 10:00. We'll be done by 11:00 and we'll all not have to have another meeting.

MR. ACKERMAN: We have to be at that meeting anyway.

CHAIR: Thank you very much.

MR. DEDENBACH: We would like your agenda to be easier, Madam Chair, to Mr. Ackerman.

 $\label{eq:charge_couple} \mbox{CHAIR:} \ \mbox{ We have a couple questions already?} \\ \mbox{Mr. Lane?}$ 

MR. LANE: Thank you. It looks like there was some of those changes to the Staff conditions that you ran through. I don't think we have a copy of those.

CHAIR: That's correct. We don't have a copy of those. If you want to put it back up on the overhead.

MR. DEDENBACH: I would be happy to.

MR. LANE: I mean, I'm sure we'll have to talk to you individually, so I guess I was hoping that I had missed it in the myriad of appendices --

MR. DEDENBACH: There is a volume of information and documents before you. But it really gets down to some simple points. From the Comp Plan, we had fuel sales, then we had the issue

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

of screening parking and the access to the maneuvering lanes. I think we kind of got it down to two on the land use. On the zoning side, we want to make sure that those elements come forward in here for the fuel sales and for the parking.

And then that trigger that's in there, we want that trigger to be timed to -- we can go up to 550,000 square feet and then we have to get a final development order within the town center area to bring that and start that online.

And that is a number that really works for us in allowing that town center to go forward. I think we talked about the auto sales in there, too. It's a technical point. I may have sped through that one. But, you know, we got, I think, two issues on the land use side, maybe three issues on the zoning side. If we make it through that, we're done tonight.

CHAIR: Thank you. Mr. Ackerman? MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, just in the interest of time management and agenda management and their consultants, what I wanted to make clear is that -- we'll be optimistic and think we may end this tonight, but just in case we don't, they do have out-of-town consultants and if the Planning

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

currently 14 listed PD conditions in the Staff report -- and see if I managed to get your concerns. You basically accept the conditions other than modifications to Condition 1, modifications to Condition 3. I didn't really see

cleared up tonight.

2

3

7

Ü

q

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a modification to the drive thru facilities. You talked about it for a while, but it doesn't appear that this is a particularly restrictive modification, that it seemed to encompass what you

Board members have any questions of those

CHAIR: Mr. Ackerman?

out-of-town consultants, we would hope we get those

MR. ACKERMAN: Mr. Dedenbach, if there are

were saying; is that accurate? 15

> MR. DEDENBACH: It's close. Again, we want to make sure that environmental graphics and that design package extends over and that they're not precluded from being in any of the zones.

MR. ACKERMAN: Explain to me if you were going to rewrite Condition 4, what would be added to it for those of us who have to make motions?

MR. DEDENBACH: Right. I would think that the only thing that we have to -- and Scott was really the author of this one on the drive thru

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. FILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE STARKE

147

2

Э

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 6 7

10 11

13 14 15

16

12

22 23

21

24 25 lanes here. The only thing we really want to make sure is that we aren't limiting them from being in any of the zones, Zone 1, 2, 3 or 4 because we don't want to create preexisting nonconforming uses. But we also want to be able to do drive thrus in any of the zones as long as we use the design techniques that are outlined here as well as the hard scaping and soft scaping,

MR. ACKERMAN: The design requirements for each zone may be located in?

MR. DEDENBACH: Right. I guess Mr. Ackerman, through the Chair, Ms. Goodison, only one lane per use within Subarea 2A of Condition F needs to have that either stricken or with the caveat in there that through hard scaping and soft scaping that those will be shielded. I think that's a way to ameliorate that concern there. Because in that last parenthetical insert of 4F, only one lane per use within 2A. If we could say unless designed with soft scaping and hard scaping to provide opacity and some shielding, that would do it for us.

MR. ACKERMAN: Okay. And then, also, Condition 5 which deals with your parking issue, which I gather you consider to be the most

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE important?

MR. DEDENBACH: Yes, Ms. Chair,

MR. ACKERMAN: And your view is we don't need the proposed 14, which dealt with Southwest 30th right of way dedications?

MR. DEDENBACH: Correct.

MR. ACKERMAN: Anything else in there that -it had something on fuel pumps.

MR. DEDENBACH: We want to use the same screening techniques for the fuel positions, and we want those design standards in the PD. Because this is a planned development and it's unique and it's innovative. We want to be able to do hard scaping and soft scaping to shield that because we will not --

MR. ACKERMAN: We need to add a condition if we were going to adopt your position that addressed fuel pumps.

MR. DEDENBACH: Yes, consistent with how it was in the Comp Plan. It needs to come forward and get in the zoning in a manner that is acceptable here as we proffered.

MR. ACKERMAN: Thank you for that.

CHAIR: Thank you. Any other questions for the -- Ms. Sims?

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

152

which I think is directly related to this. within the PD report that's provided by the Petitioner there is a permitted use table on Page 7 and fuel sales is listed as one of those uses which we take to mean gas stations. I'm assuming that's what that means. They're limited to Subarea 2B only. So I just want to make sure that everyone knows that's what the requirements say now. And if you want to talk about changing that, that's fine. But that's the starting point I guess. MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, to answer Member

Sims' question, we already know that there are existing areas in 2B, okay, where there are existing fuel. Okay. So we don't want to make them preexisting nonconforming. The direct answer to your question would be the town center. We can live with it not being in the town center itself because, again, that's the finest grained network in there. And 1A, 1B, and 2B, you already have those type uses out there. We don't want to make nonconforming that's probably where it will land, Thank you for the question.

CHAIR: Mr. Hilliard?

MR. HILLIARD: I need a clarification on that particular condition that's up there. Because when

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

151

need the condition on Southwest 30th, change for --CHAIR: 14.

MR. ACKERMAN: Yeah, Condition 14, whether it needs it. And then the changes -- I mean, I think we already understand on Number 5 with the parking on the side. But they've changed Condition 3 to 150,000 and so what is Staff's position?

MS. LAZZARI: The Staff position is sort of twofold on this. One, we would like to go point by point on the PUD and handle that first on the conditions. Because the conditions that are in the PUD will regulate what has to be in the PD and they must be consistent. And you must adopt or recommend adoption of the PUD first before the PD could go forward.

Secondly, I believe in terms of some of these specific conditions that there are, perhaps, members of the audience that may wish to speak to them, in particular the Southwest 30th Avenue connectivity. So Staff would like to take these one at a time and we would like to handle the PUD conditions first, and then the PD condition. And we certainly want to leave time for members of the public to speak and in case one of the experts needs to respond to something of theirs. I don't

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

Yeah, just on the fuel pumps issue. Are you hoping to have those everywhere as well, or are those limited to certain zones? MR. DEDENBACH: It's impossible to say right now. We know the likelihood of where they will go. But, again, we don't want to paint ourselves into a corner. We would rather be proactively looking at how to implement them than to come back to you in six months and say, you know what, we've got somebody who wants to go here, we need to come in and reopen this issue again. We would rather proactively address these issues through good design mechanism and techniques and really kind of clear that canvas so that the redevelopment can occur. MS. SIMS: If for some reason members of the Board weren't comfortable opening up all the zones to fuel pumps, what would your priority be? Where if we pick one or two zones that we would let those go, for example, what would your preference be? MR. DEDENBACH: If you give me a moment to confer. If there was -- the question was if there was a zone that we would not place a fuel retailer? MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, I'm sorry to

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

interrupt, but I just wanted to point something out

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

I read it, I get to the second sentence, it says development, but then Subarea 2, meeting the town center design standards as described in the PD report. It seems to be an incomplete sentence there. I don't know what -- since you've changed it, it doesn't have any meaning.

MR, DEDENBACH: Yeah. And thank you. Mr. Hilliard. Madam Chair, development within 2 Area must meet town center design standards as described in the PD report. That -- I'm going to have to blame that one on Powerpoint. That should have been an underline versus a strike through there probably. It means that effectively the town center standards have to apply to 2A. Thank you, Mr. Hilliard.

CHAIR: Okay. Anymore questions right now for the Petitioner? Okay. I guess we can go ahead and open it up to public comment at this point. Are there any members -- do you have --

MR. ACKERMAN: For Staff.

CHAIR: Okay. Which one? Probably both.

MR. ACKERMAN: Sorry, Madam Chair. We're busy redoing your Staff report. Now do you feel about what is Staff's view on a whole range of things, you know, fuel pumps, whether or not we

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

# 121107E

know if the Court Reporter needs a break, minor break.

MR. ACKERMAN: She's a machine.

CHAIR: Did you want to go ahead and start addressing those point by point?

MS. LAZZARI: I quess -- you know, our preference would be that we, perhaps, open this up for public comment first and then when the Board gets down to it, we'll go condition by condition in the PUD and then -- I'm sorry, policy by policy in the PUD, and condition by condition in the PD.

CHAIR: Okav. So are there any members of the public that wish to speak to this petition? Please step forward, state your name, and sign the sign up sheet.

MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you. My name is Donald Shepherd and I'm running in support of the mayor. My questions was is that I'm not seeing any electric car plug in units in the area. And also I didn't hear anything mentioned about how we're going to address a fire issue in the areas. The fire department that is off of 20th Avenue, are we going to give them more funding to take and improve the fire issue in the area, or safety entering the streets and stuff like signage and stuff? Thank

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE OCALA STARKE

don't know how to use it. The conditions in the

bottom half of the picture where you were focusing

your attention on earlier where we have buildings

that is running left to right in the middle of the

block is sort of this maneuvering lane that pours

anybody is suggesting that's a bad idea or that's a

bad way to design an area of maneuverability on the

I think the question is kind of how big is

as access points on both sides. I don't think

the part of the development that's bounded by a

either side on the bottom there is a facility.

Mr. Gibbs brought up. Certainly, it has places

the property is, how safe it is for drivers, for

bicyclists, for pedestrians, and it has influence

condition that's shown in this picture, I think

would all agree was fantastic. If the conversation

where automobiles drive and it has a curb and

sidewalks on either side. That meets our

conception of a street.

street. So on the tops of any of those blocks on

It's what we would call a street. They may or may

not have on street parking, which is something that

How big that thing is affects how accessible

155

3 5 6

1

2

3

4

5

ε

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

В 9 10

property.

7

12 13 14

11

15 16

17 18 19

20 21 22

23 24

25

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

on the overall urban form of the area. The

CHAIR: Any other members of the public wish to speak?

That's all.

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

Đ.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAWKINS: Thank you, Madam Chair. I know exactly how much fun you are all having and ya'll don't get paid. Thank you for being here. I wanted to give some comments on the block size conversation which we talked about a little bit when we were discussing the Board and the Applicant talked a little bit when you were discussing the difference between streets and access lanes. If Bill or Scott could put up the picture of the East Hartford Town Center, it might give context to the discussion a little bit. As an aside while we're going to that, I mentioned I'm obviously going to have a lot of time talking about this sitting in those seats as well. But I want to raise this issue as well because it's a really big rock. The more you talk about it, the easier our conversations will be so it's helpful to have it done now.

I really love this discussion that Gerry put together on the figure grounds. It's fantastic. This is fantastic. This is it. So the -- I'm not going to use the pointer because, apparently, I

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

The concern is the condition that comes adjacent to this. The immediate previous slide --Bill or Scott, if you go to the immediate previous sideline. It's the one with the big aerial of the same property. I think the concern is about the condition that you see here that happens on the periphery. That's where we need a block size standards that says we need streets in this area so we know it's not just a sea of parking or what we might characterize as an unwalkable or sprawl condition. So that's the reason that the block size standard is in the existing PUD.

I want to talk a little bit about that and Ms. Goodison is passing something down -- I'm sorry Ms. Sims. I like to think in writing draft rules, it helps me organize thoughts. So I've written what I think a rule is that makes sense to having the PUD I'd like ya'll to consider before you get to the point of making a motion on the PUD. This does three things.

The first paragraph keeps the 1,600 foot perimeter average block side and 2,000 maximum

GAINESVILLE STARKE

we were having was about whether we should get 267 acres of this, we would all say, yes, thank you, and we would be rushing for a motion.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. FILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

block size that's in the current Comprehensive Plan amendment that the Plan Board and the City Staff and the City Commission spent a few years negotiating with the Applicant a few years ago.

2

3

4

ĕ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 R

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second paragraph includes a very broad exception to that rule for blocks that have storm water open space. That exception is largely in the current PD and PUD. The third paragraph is a new exception that I think is appropriate. It's not in the current PUD or PD. And that is that in the existing developed area, the PD should have exceptions to a block sized rule because it currently doesn't comply. We want to be able to continue operating in the interim before it's redeveloped to a compliant condition that's stated in the third paragraph.

So I want to talk a little bit about regulatory where this idea comes from. These are Comp Plan policies that we've had since, I believe, at least 2002 that require modest pedestrian scale blocks citywide. These are currently applicable throughout the community, including the Butler Plaza property. If you look in the Comprehensive Plan, the reason why we regulate block size is to check urban form, to make our streets safe, to make

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE our streets accessible and safe for pedestrians and to make these places comfortable for people.

In addition to these standards of general optability, the Comprehensive Plan policies that the City voted on, the POD that's currently in effect for Butler Plaza a few years ago has these numerical standards specifically for Butler Plaza. The 1,600 average and 2,000 foot maximum and we have three separate standards, one for each subarea.

The reason that we have a numerical standard for Butler Plaza and general -- and standards of general optability, the rest of the City that don't include a numerical standard is because we're going in this direction. And Butler Plaza came in with a PUD amendment at the time we were going through this process of adding a numerical standard to the Comprehensive Plan.

So since that time, we also have numerical standards for block size in the innovation square area, in the urban village area, we will adopt it on a much broader geographic scope when we adopt a form based code in the coming years, and we currently have a consultant drafting that. I feel confident you will have a lot of meetings on that

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

159

in the future as well.

Where do we come up with these numbers? Where did the sixteen and 2,000 come from? Why are we looking at an average of 1,600 feet in perimeter and a maximum of 1,600 feet in perimeter? We didn't make those numbers up. We looked for best practices promulgated by the entities that set standards in planning and engineering industrial.

And there is quite a few more than this, but I think the Institution for Transportation Engineers, for the Department of Transportation and CNU are probably the most reputable. So rather than individual planners espousing what their opinion is, these are organizations that put a lot of attention into developing standards and publishing documents, in particular the Florida Green Book.

The standard from DOT comes for Chapter 19 of Florida Green book that actually gives standards on street network design and recommends that the average should be about 1,300, which is substantially smaller than what we're talking about in this case.

And these numbers that these groups are promulgating aren't coming from speculation either.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GATNESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE There is a lot of good empirical data showing what street sizes are safest. I just pulled one particular study, this was done by some professor at Yukon that said as block size doubles, traffic fatalities triple. They weren't looking at bicycles and pedestrian accidents, they were looking at all traffic accidents. And most of these fatalities were coming from automobile accidents.

I'm to close on -- a comparison of what exactly the block perimeter number means. Because it's not something that we normally conceptualize and normally think about. It's a really great metric for measuring as a regulatory tool, but it's not something we normally think about. When we think about sizes of land, we normally think in square feet or acres. And this chart gives a little bit of conception. It also helps us make sure that this kind of regulation doesn't prohibit some of the proposed retail uses that we don't want to prohibit, whether it's a Macy's or a Wal-Mart or a Target, we know that the Applicant intends to have that retail product. We want to be sure that's possible and feasible to the rules. So downtown Gainesville, it took a block size

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE

LAKE CITY

STARKE

OCALA

q

is 800 feet around, 800 feet in perimeter. That's gets to -- in area it's little bit less than a acre. So an example of block, it has everything from the Rock Church, Angelo Blair Studio, to the tack offices above the Opera House, to Lillian's. That's all happening in a little bit less than a acre. So you can do a lot in small places. But this is a different development type, it's going to require a much larger block. So we're looking at this range from sixteen to 2,000, which is consistent with the best practices in the field. With that you get a block that's 3.67 acres to 5.74 acres. And those square foot numbers go up to 250,000 square feet.

Q

And for frame of reference, I included in the bottom here the building footprint for the two new Wal-Marts that were built in town to give that check how big is a supercenter and can you built it on a block that size. And the answer is yes. The larger of the two supercenters that we've built is 207,000 square feet and the balance of the can be available for loading docks and bays and other things.

The last point that I would make is that the current proposal is to having three of the subareas

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

a 2,000 foot maximum block with no rule for an average block. I think that that's -- a better way to address it is to use the average because you get a smaller typical block, but give the Applicant the flexibility to go larger where needed. The other component of the current PUD is to have blocks in Subarea 1A to be as large as 3,200 square feet. That is superblock condition. It's massive. It's consistent with the existing blocks in Butler Plaza. Which are -- if you've ever spent time in Butler Plaza, you know they contribute to it being a difficult place to navigate on foot. It's not the condition that we want to create. It's a condition we want to move away from.

And I want to be clear too, everybody sees with the numbers when you go from 16 to 32 you're not doubling the area. It grows exponentially because the area is the two sides squared. So you actually quadruple the space inside the block. You get to something that's almost 15 acres in size. Thank you all for your time and I appreciate all the work you do.

CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner. Any questions? All right. We'll move on to see if there is any members of the public that wish to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARK

speak to this point.

MR. HAYES: Madam Chair, Jeff Hayes, transportation planning manager with Alachua County. From the County perspective, the -- our main reason for being here, for Staff being here is relation to the 30th Avenue connection, 30th Avenue overpass. What that is, is a major piece of infrastructure coming from the west of 175, over to the east side of 175. It contains both travel lanes, the Archer Braid Trail, and dedicated transit lane per County comp plan. And a developer is in agreement with the Celebration Point development on the west side of 175.

Commissioner Hawkins and Mr. Dedenbach have very eloquently described the importance of connectivity through this process. The last time that the County Commission dwelt on this issue was last week, both with the Butler Plaza folks as well as Celebration Point in attendance at a County Commission meeting. The last they were able to discuss the item with regards to the item was something — the end result was something that came out looking very much like your Condition 14. That's the last time that the County Commission has seen the item and made a motion to the effect that

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE they would like to see a condition such as that be in place.

Maybe there is something with both parties and the County and City can come together, a different condition that meets the same purposes. But as of today, there is no such item that has been agreed by the County Commission or County Staff. I would say just in broad terms that the County Staff's position at least and the discussion we've had with the County Commission, really the importance is, this is a major piece of infrastructure, going to be carrying a lot of traffic, as well as getting the Archer Braid Trail into the project, the Butler Plaza project proper and coming up with logical intersections for that roadway network, that bridge overpass to the Butler network is really the prime concern.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Hayes. Any other members of the public wish to speak to this proposal? Okay. Seeing none, I'll close the public hearing and bring it back to the Board to discuss some of these items with Staff. We do have one question from Mr. Lane.

MR. LANE: I just was curious, is there any way that the block size issue can solve the parking

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

that street. You would have that building built

right on a maneuvering lame then you would have

Staff's primary concern I do want to add,

major public streets and not having parking -- just

MR. LANE: I'll rephrase the statement. If

CHAIR: I guess I don't think that that would

CHAIR: I appreciate that, but I don't think

MR. LANE: Okay. Then nevermind. I was

it's going to solve the issue. Someone else wants

Applicant and maybe the consultants to respond to

the block size conversation and whether you really

MS. SIMS: I guess my question is for the

LAKE CITY

STARKE

too, though, is the public street system and the

your parking across the other side of that

parking along those streets. We're not as

concerned with the parking or the maneuvering

the Applicant agrees to the block size in areas

like 1A and 1B, would the Board be willing to not

restrict kind of how the building is oriented in

relation to the parking and the road?

trying to kill two birds with one stone.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

OCALA

maneuvering lane,

solve the issue.

to correct me? Ms. Sims?

GAINESVILLE

lanes.

issue with the larger -- what we discussed earlier? CHAIR: I guess that's a question for Staff. I would imagine the answer is no.

MR. DEDENBACH: And, well, I was going to actually ask, Madam Chair, if the board member could rearticulate the question.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LANE: It's not really a question more than just a thought. Is there a way that the block size -- when you articulate the block size portion of the application in a way that it sort of solves or mitigates the parking discussion that we had earlier with regards to the -- how the big boxes or how, you know, even small scale retail shops face addresses parking lots.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair, Scott Wright with City Planning Staff. What -- I guess the only thing I could try to paint a picture of, of a particular situation where increased block size would affect the parking location would be if you had a -- instead of having a large open parking area and then a large retail center behind that, if you had like, a maneuvering lane or whatever you want to call it, a private street of some sort running in front of that building, then you wouldn't have any parking between the building and

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

167

think that this large block size is necessary and maybe why. Or if you would be willing to, you know, go back to sort of what was in the plan before looking at an average block size. I would just like a little bit of response from the Applicant on that.

MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, out of the 267 acres, there is one area that that block size needs to be the larger block size of the 3,200 linear feet around the perimeter in there. We've tried to articulate that this large anchor area is the only area where that will occur. I will say that we worked diligently with Staff and came up with that number as an acceptable number. You know, it is our intention to interconnect this as much as possible. But there is one area in this 267 acres where we need to have really a larger block. And, you know, it may not stay that way forever. That's a maximum. We need that maximum today. It's bounded by the fact that we are against industrial areas. And you have to take into consideration that things transition over time. So there is one area in this PD area 1A that we have to hold that 3,200. It is integral to this project.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

168 MS. SIMS: It just seems like quite a big jump. I'm sure that you talked to Seth about it. But I wonder if we can't come to something like a 2,500 feet perimeter or come down from this 14 acre block area.

MR. HATCHER: I think everybody is concerned that we are not re-creating the Wal-Mart parking lot that we've got today. And what we're looking at is design standards that has landscaping throughout these parking lots and linear landscaping that break these parking aisles up. And we're able to locate pedestrian ways within the parking spaces -- I mean, within the parking lot that goes to the majors. So we're not even -- I mean, Deborah has not -- there is no way that she would build something like that. What we're trying to do is to improve everything that's there and in the future build something that's really special,

MR. ACKERMAN: How does this mesh with your desire to have a block size that allows you to build a building that covers the entire block?

MR. DEDENBACH: You know, Madam Chair, that analogy or that example, it doesn't really fit that example. That idea of filling a block is more when

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

23 24

we were talking about these modest block sizes where you're looking at like, the City walking block that Commissioner Hawkins talked about where you would fill, you know, edge to edge on something compared to where you had a destination where you were going to buy a larger consumer good and you weren't walking there, you were borrowing a friend's car, you were coming in your own vehicle.

В

So I never foresee that one of those superblocks -- and, again, it's limited to just this area here west of 62nd. I would never see that that superblock would ever be built edge to edge. That edge to edge that we talked about earlier is for these smaller blocks, the little craggy blocks and areas like that so that you get that urban space. Thank you, Madam Chair.

MS. SHARMA: Do we need a motion to go past 10:307

CHAIR: We do. It's now 10:30. Do I have a motion to extend the meeting past 10:30.

MR. ACKERMAN: Sure, I'll make a motion.

CHAIR: Do we have a second?

MR. ACKERMAN: I move we extend our meeting

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

presentation, we indicated that the Staff policy in the Comprehensive Plan stated, the PD zoning ordinance that implements the Butler development PUD shall be consistent with the City's transportation mobility policies as adopted in the Comprehensive Plan.

And we want to be really certain that you understand this very clearly. I believe much earlier this evening when Mr. Hilliard handed out this handout to you, he also handed out a single sheet that has double sided printing on it labeled concurrency management Element Policy 1.4.9. That regulates gas stations. And we want to be really clear about this and indicate to you that anywhere in the City that's in the TCEA, and the entire city is now within the TCEA, and as proposed in the new transportation mobility element that you recommended go forward and the City Commission recommended go forward, these policies are replicated in the new transportation mobility program.

And I will call your attention to Number 3 on Page 1, which talks about when you get to do a 12 fueling position gas station and where the fueling pumps or the gas pumps should be located. And that

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

```
2
                 CHAIR: Do we have a second? All those in
 3
           favor say aye.
 4
                        (Board members voted.)
 5
                 CHAIR: Any opposed? Okay. Thank you
 6
           Ms. Sharma. Ms. Lazzari.
                 MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, I think that
           brings us back to the conditions in the PUD if that
           is where you want to go.
10
                 CHAIR: Absolutely.
11
                 MS. LAZZARI: I'm going to ask for maybe a
12
           nod from Mr. Dedenbach on the three issues that I
13
           think are hanging. One has to do with gas stations
14
           and fueling positions.
                 MR. DEDENBACH: 4.3.6.C10.
15
16
                 MS. LAZZARI: One has to do with the
17
           maneuvering lanes.
18
                 MR. DEDENBACH: 4.3.6C6.
19
                 MS. LAZZARI: The third has to do with the
20
           location of the off street parking.
21
                 MR. DEDENBACH: 4.3.6.E.3.
22
                 MS. LAZZARI: So if those are the three, the
23
           one that I believe that we have not on a Staff
24
           basis talked about much with you is the gas station
```

till 11:00.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

issue. And you might recall that in our

is specifically -- I'm sorry, on the next page,
where it talks about the fueling pumps being
located to the rear or side -- to the rear of a
building. And I think this is really important
that we cover this evening because remember what we
talked about, that a PUD was a unique and
innovative proposal.

And the only thing if we allowed this, this one development to have this, the only thing that would be unique about it is that it was the only place in town that was violating the Comprehensive Plan. And, quite frankly, your Staff advises you that we should not be in violation of the Comprehensive Plan, and that is our position.

We have had two larger gas stations built in the community, one of them at the corner of 16th Boulevard and one of them at the corner -- 1'm sorry, one at the corner of 16th Boulevard and 43rd Street. If you note that building -- note that development, the building is up at the corner and the fueling and gas pumps are to the rear. Similarly, on Main Street and 39th Avenue, another gas station was built and it met those design requirements that development is -- those developments were large major chains of gas

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stations and they were able to meet the requirements. And so I would strongly urge that you not violate the Comprehensive Plan and require that they maintain the compatibility with the Comp Plan.

2

3

4

6

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lazarri.

MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair?

CHAIR: Questions? Sure, let's go ahead point by point.

MR. DEDENBACH: And if we could switch to the doc cam and they could zoom in on Item D, we might need some assistance in looking at this, but it seems to us that based on Item D here in the concurrency management element, the architectural and site design are of such high quality that they enhance the site area and promote the City's multimodal and design goals as part of a planned development rezoning or special use permit review process the developer shall provide a development plan, okay, with elevations and architectural renderings of the proposed site that detail such as -- but not limited to facade treatment, color, lighting, roof detail, signage, landscaping, building location. We're not talking about a gas station. We're talking about an anchor tenant that

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

175

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Dedenbach.

MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, I meant to read into the record and copy for you another policy and apologize that I did not. I copied one, but not the other. There is also a policy that regulates the placement of fueling positions and for auto oriented uses. And if you will give me one second, it is in the concurrency management element, but, again, it is also in the new transportation mobility program.

It is Policy 1.3.2. New development of automotive oriented uses — and I would hope that we think fueling positions is an automotive oriented use located within the TCEA such as retail petroleum sales, car washes, automotive repair and limited automotive services as defined in the land development code shall be designed to locate service bays and fueling gas pumps to the rear of buildings located on this site. These design standards shall not apply in industrial zoning districts. The number of fueling positions shall be regulated by TCEA policies.

And what Mr. Dedenbach pointed out in terms of D about the architectural and site design was a justification from going from six fueling positions

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

sells fuel. And they don't have a building that they can stick between the street and those fueling positions. They can landscape that, they can potentially put knee walls, garden walls around that. But this is sort of an accessory use to an anchor. We don't, obviously, want to violate the Comprehensive Plan. But we want to bring forward design standards. We think that this gives us the ability through Item D through the planned development to put those standards on that fueling use on that larger building. CHAIR: So in this case, the convenient store wouldn't serve as that building? MR. DEDENBACH: It doesn't have a store, Madam Chair. There is no store. CHAIR: What gas station doesn't have a store?

MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, it is an anchor tenant that sells fuel.

MR. ACKERMAN: Think of Wal-Mart.

CHAIR: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

MR. DEDENBACH: So don't please don't think of a traditional sort of gas station, think of an anchor tenant that sells fuel as a component of their sales.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

to 12 fueling positions in this policy.

So, again, what you would have is fueling positions located out on a street, out in the front. And, again, the unique and innovative part about this PUD, if you approve that, would be it would be the only fueling positions that would be violating the Comprehensive Plan policies.

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lazzari. Any questions?

MR. ACKERMAN: Mr. Dedenbach, we've had a lot of information. Were you going to limit that to the big box 1A, or did you want that everywhere?

MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, the question that Board Member Sims asked earlier, it really is 1A area there, but we want to be careful about making preexisting nonconforming uses. Because there are automotive uses in 2B today. So if we cut a line there, we need to be careful.

MR. ACKERMAN: I mean, they're grandfathered in if you do that.

MR. DEDENBACH: 1A, 2B are kind of the important areas there. You hit it right on the head.

MR. ACKERMAN: Okay.

MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, for the Planning

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

В

В

1₿

121107E

Board members, I do want to point out that citywide there are large number of nonconforming gas stations right now because we implemented something and they all have not turned over to, you know, this new standard.

But I did want to make sure you understood that the gas station use in 2B and any of the other automotive oriented uses would be legally nonconforming uses that could continue in that area. In fact, right now they are legally nonconforming uses because they're in the TCDA.

CHAIR: Do you feel like you have enough information on this point in particular? Do you want to move on to the next?

MR. ACKERMAN: Yeah, let's -- I don't know, it's up to you.

CHAIR: I still want some clarification on Condition 14. I think Mr. Hilliard has something to say to this.

MR. HILLIARD: Only when you want to move forward. I have another path to move forward to look at these conditions to move forward. But I think he was asking something about Condition 14. You might want to address that first.

MS. LAZZARI: Well, Madam Chair, I thought we

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

were trying to cover the PDD policy first and then go to the PD conditions. And so I want to be sure that we're -- you know, we have spoken about the one -- okay. We had three issues on the PDD, one was the gas station, the second was the maneuvering lanes. And we've indicated that what the Comp Plan language says is very clear that it's limited and not prohibited and that -- that whatever the standard would be would be set in the PD.

And then in terms of the parking location areas, again, we were in the 1B subarea, which Staff was considering a transition area. And what our vision was, was that we wanted sort of better than same old same old in that area and that we wanted for especially the street public street network of 62nd Boulevard, 38th Street, and Southwest 24th Avenue, to not have the sea of parking in front of buildings.

And I wanted to comment on something that Mr. Hatcher said that, you know, we know we don't want to replicate the current Wal-Mart parking lot. Well, that would never happen in any place in Gainesville right now. If you look at all the new shopping centers that are constructed or when they're redeveloped, they all have landscaping in

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

the parking lots. That's a flatout requirement of the land development code. There is nothing superior that would happen as a result of this PUD that wouldn't happen in any shopping center in Gainesville. And, again, we are looking for unique and innovative and higher standards of in this PUD.

I'm happy to answer any further questions. I think we've tried to make the Staff position clear on the maneuvering lanes, on the fueling positions, gas station, and on the parking area.

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lazarri. I'll bring it back to the Board and kind of go through it. What is your sense and your comments on the maneuvering lanes, Mr. Ackerman?

MR. ACKERMAN: I would like to have, first of all, a general -- I think this is vast improvement over what's there. I think it's even a vast improvement over what was approved some years ago. Well, the City did, but I think the Planning Board redid it earlier than that. But nonetheless, in general, I guess I'm -- I tend to find urban standards sort of useless when it comes to big boxes. They're a big box. You know, I mean, that's just the nature of them. They're not very lovely. And so long as we're going to have big

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE boxes, I think you just -- I'm not inclined to give them a 3,200 block size, but I do think that in general in order to get the transformation of the current Butler Plaza, which is quite unlovely, although highly successful, I do think we need to -- I don't think --

Wal-Mart is a very rigid place and I think all the boxes are and they want their box to be their box and they want do -- you know, they're not looking at anything else yet. I think there will come a time when they do, but I suspect we're going to fight through that transition. I think it's reasonable to allow the boxes in IA. And I also think it's probably all right to have them across the street on 62nd Boulevard in 1B for a period of time.

The only area I'm a little uncomfortable is extending that up to 24th, which is, you know, the border of the urban village. And it strikes me as that's kind of an inappropriate place. I don't really have any problem with the other two streets, but it just strikes me 24th Boulevard is -- or whatever it's called now -- it's going to be adjacent to the urban village. It's kind of a barrier against any walkability at that point to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

e

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

having these, you know, big box stores.

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I would support extending the big box concept into 1B along 62nd Boulevard, and, I guess, that's 38th. But I would be opposed to it extending up to 24th. As far as the -- if we're going to do the big box concept, I would rather not have, you know, a half mile parking in front going down 62nd Boulevard to the new Super Wal-Mart. But in general I suspect they're going -- I mean, I think it's reasonable to say we're going to let some parking in front. I don't think that there is a terrible benefit to having a gigantic box pulled right up next to the street. I wish they minimized the amount of parking right in the front, but I think we should give way on that issue for 1A and 1B wherever we decide except up on 24th. I don't think -- is there another issue I've already forgotten?

CHAIR: Maneuvering lanes. I originally asked about maneuvering lanes, but you moved onto front street parking. Can you not hear me?

MR. ACKERMAN: Not this minute, but I'm about to. Go ahead.

CHAIR: You can keep on the parking, but I was asking originally about maneuvering lanes.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

GAINESVILLE OCALA STARKE

we still want to keep buildings up closer to the street and have it be a transitional area for the urban village. But the other two roads, it really

does seem like it's really more appropriate.

I was very persuaded by the Applicant's arguments about why that's important for 1B. I think that seems like a good way to accomplish both important things, have one transition to the urban village and make sure that that is possible in 1B

for the development product.

On maneuvering lanes, I feel the same way again, Mr. Ackerman. It sounds like it's kind of an nonissue. The things that were being shown in the slides are things that according to Staff are possible. And the word limited is limited, not prohibited. I don't know if Mr. Ackerman touched on the fuel sales. But if the types of uses are going to be tenants that have fuel stations and not necessarily convenience stores, then that does allow it to meet the Comprehensive Plan in both 2B and 1A if I'm understanding that correctly. So we

MS. LAZZARI: Not as the Staff who wrote the policy understands it. Because it says fueling -it's an -- fueling positions are an automatic

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

wouldn't be -- is that not right, Ms. Lazzari?

MR. ACKERMAN: Oh, the maneuvering lanes. mean, I feel like the maneuvering lanes, the current position of Staff is a reasonable position. I don't think it prohibits from having development on both side or parking on one side or the other. I think it limits the number of cuts. And so I don't have any objection to your vision of the maneuvering lanes. But I think it actually conforms with theirs.

And unless there is some specific thing that it's just going ruin it, I don't see any reason not to do it. But my understanding, the way it's been described by Ms. Lazarri is that we want to minimize the amount, not prohibit it. So I don't think you have to have development on both sides. I think what you want to avoid is cars coming out every 10 feet. And I think that's currently incapsulated.

CHAIR: Great. Thank you, Mr. Ackerman. Ms. Oliverio?

MS. OLIVERIO: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'll try to make it really quick. On the parking lots issue, actually I am in agreement with Mr. Ackerman. I had thought of the same thing actually, that 24th Avenue might be a roadway where

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. STARKE

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

oriented use, whether they are accessory to a food store, a convenience store, or a big box store. They are still fueling positions.

MS. OLIVERIO: Okay. Then I'm unresolved how they're going to figure that last issue out.

CHAIR: Thank you. Ms. Oliverio. Ms. Condon, your thoughts?

MS. CONDON: I believe Ms. Sharma had a question.

CHAIR: I didn't see your light. Did you have --

MS. SHARMA: Yes. I agree with my colleagues who have already spoken on the issue of maneuvering lanes. I don't really see from the Applicant's presentation and comment why what they want is inconsistent with what Staff wants. I'm in agreement with Staff on the issue of maneuvering lanes.

I do differ in my opinion on the parking lot question. I struggle with imagining how 1B becomes a real connector and transition area into the urban village if we allow all the big box and the attendant big parking lots out front on those other roads. And so I would be in favor of limiting the parking situation as suggested by Staff in order to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

facilitate that area be more of a transitional space into the urban village and maintaining walkability.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think if we allow the full development of big box with, you know, out parking and everything else that goes along with it, we put off that area of being a real transition into the urban village for maybe another 15 or 20 years. And I'm just not at this point super comfortable with that idea. So that's sort of where I'm on on the parking lot issue at this point.

I was also very persuaded by Commissioner Hawkins' presentation on the block size issue, and in particular his observation that a limited block size does not limit big box retail. Big box retail can fit on a limited block size without going into the mega blocks that we currently have that I have attempted to walk around the existing Butler Plaza on many occasions and it's challenging.

And so I would support a smaller block size in all zones, certainly no more than 2,000 I think is reasonable and does not inhibit the Petitioner from doing what they need to do with the anchor tenants.

> CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Sharma. Ms. Condon?

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE

187

guess I'll go ahead and weigh in on the no parking issue. I'm in agreement with Mr. Ackerman and Ms. Oliverio. And I think a hybrid approach is to just really limit the parking on Southwest 24th. That's really what's adjacent and what's really the transition zone to the urban village. I don't think it's realistic for us to expect the Applicant to limit any other areas and expect to actually get those anchor stores that will bring in everybody else. So I think we want to be there. I think we want to be in the place where we have all of our stores up to the road, but we're not there yet. The market is not there vet. So I haven't -- T would be down with the hybrid approach. Sorry, it's getting late.

MS. SHARMA: Can I ask a point of clarification on what you just said before we move onto that? The Staff conditions are not asking the Applicant to limit the parking everywhere, so they still have with respect to the big box developments anchor tenants all of 1A under that proposal, correct?

CHAIR: That is correct. But I think the main point of contention is Southwest 62nd and not being able to develop on both sides. So I would

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

MS. CONDON: I guess I'll start in reverse from Ms. Sharma. I agree with her that 2.000 really should be the max block size. I am also convinced by Commissioner Hawkins' arguments that he presented. In terms of the maneuvering lanes, I agree with the Staff recommendations for the most part and what Ms. Sharma said.

In terms of the parking, maybe I want a moderate position between what Mr. Ackerman has proposed and what Staff has prosed. I can see that in the transition between 1A and 1B maybe you can allow more parking on the street on 1B. But if we do want to develop the transition to the urban center, I don't see how it's okay to have a lot of parking along 38th, which would by that connector and then definitely not along 24th.

What I'm afraid of instead of the developments that we have now, we're heading towards where we have kind of three developments that have doughnuts of parking around them. And that's not what we're trying to do with the urban development plan that we're moving forward with. So I would be hesitant to support something that allowed that much parking on the street.

CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Condon. I

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

STARKE

agree with the Applicant in this case, that we should have the leeway to develop the big boxes on both sides of those roads. And, to me, where we want to really make sure we stick to, you know, staying with the urban village concept is along Southwest 24th, it's directly adjacent to the urban village.

MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, let me interrupt and indicate that we probably need to extend the meeting for a few more minutes or make a decision on that.

CHAIR: Thank you, Ms. Lazarri. Do we have a motion to that effect? What do you all want to do?

MR. ACKERMAN: Madam Chair, assuming if we think we can get through this, I would move that we extend the meeting to 11:30 and see if we can get through this. But beyond 11:30, I think everybody is just going to be pooped and decisions aren't going to be very good. So let's work through until 11:30. That would be my motion. After that, we would move to reschedule the meeting.

CHAIR: We have a motion. Do we have a second? We have two seconds. We'll take it from Ms. Oliverio. All those in favor of the motion say Aye?

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 121107E

buildings with parking in the front, as well as on

the sides. But that would be prohibited on 24th on

MS. LAZZARI: The next issue, I think, was

MR. ACKERMAN: Yeah, I think I would leave --

Southwest 24th. I would also -- I don't think it's -- I would also move that we actually --

the maneuvering lanes.

1	(Board members voted.)
2	CHAIR: Opposed?
3	MS. SHARMA: Nay. No, I don't think we can
4	get through the fuel issue. I would actually vote
5	that we
6	CHAIR: The motion passed. We'll move it on
7	to Mr. Ackerman had a question.
8	MR. ACKERMAN: I don't have a question. I'm
9	going to take a run at a preliminary motion, but
10	who is
11	CHAIR: Ms. Sharma, did you have another
L2	question?
13	MR. ACKERMAN: You're flashing,
4	MS. SHARMA: I don't know why I'm flashing.
15	Sorry.
6	MR. ACKERMAN: Okay. Madam Chair, I move
.7	that we approve Petition PB dash 12 dash 148CPA
.8	based on the testimony of we've heard here today
9	and that we accept recommendations of the Staff
0	report with the following exceptions: First, that
1	Item 3, which is 4.3.6.e3 that we eliminate the
2	requirement that buildings in 1A, I think 1B
3	CHAIR: Just remove Southwest 62nd Bouleward.
4	MR. ACKERMAN: Along Southwest 62nd on both
5 [	sides of both 1A and 1B be permitted to have
	SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

```
I would just move that we adjust Item 3 4.3.6.8 sub
3, and that we accept the Staff's position on the
maneuvering lames and on -- let me see what my
other notes are here. And --
     MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, I'm going to ask
for a little clarification on the motion the way
that Mr. Ackerman made it. I want to make sure
that you're accepting Staff's Exhibit Al with the
changes that we presented tonight with the
exception of --
     MR. ACKERMAN: Three
     MS. LAZZARI: Number 3, which is 4.3.3.6E3.
     MR. ACKERMAN: That's correct and those are
the only changes I'm willing to accept.
     CHAIR: Okay. We have a motion. Do we have
a second?
     MS. CONDON: A point of clarification, did
the motion include striking Southwest 62nd
        SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
 GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY
                                  STARKE
```

191

192

```
1
            Boulevard and Southwest 38th Street?
 2
                  CHAIR! Yes.
 3
                  MS. CONDON: Okav.
 4
                  MS. SHARMA: I have another clarification.
 5
             So if we pass this motion, what does that mean?
 6
                  CHAIR: They stay.
                  MR. ACKERMAN: It still will be subject to
 7
 ŧ
            the Comp Plan.
 η
                  MS. SHARMA: Staff's position on it?
10
                  MR. ACKERMAN: Exactly.
11
                  CHAIR: So we have a motion on the table. Do
12
            we have a second?
13
                  MS. OLIVERIO: I'll second.
                  CHAIR: Ms. Oliverio. All those in favor of
14
15
            the motion.
16
                       (Board member voted.)
17
                  CHAIR: Any opposed? Two mays and three
18
            vavs. Four Yavs. Mr. Lane?
19
                  MR. HILLIARD: Four to two.
20
                  CHAIR: So the motion passes four to two.
21
                  MR. ACKERMAN: Now, Ms. Lazarri -- I'm sorry.
22
                  MS. LAZZARI: Madam Chair, I believe that
23
            we're moving into the planned development. And I
24
            would like for Mr. Wright to handle as many of the
25
            conditions as possible.
```

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

STARKE

```
CHAIR: Thank you.
 2
                 MR. HILLIARD: While Mr. Wright is coming up,
 3
            you turned, actually, to your PD report, I think
           I've highlighted the ones that were issues, which
 ¢
 5
           would be Condition 1. They were asking for some
           modification there. Referring to the staff record
           where there are conditions.
                 MR. WRIGHT: Page 14.
                 MR. HILLIARD: Page 14. Number 1, Number 3.
10
           You sort of addressed Number 5. I don't think we
11
            need to deal with that. And then we've addressed
12
            the fueling stations and you need to address the --
13
           Staff added 14. About limiting the wording that is
14
           in the parentheses.
15
                 CHAIR: Okay. Mr. Wright?
16
                 MR. WRIGHT: Sure. I'll start with Number 1.
           And I don't -- I'm not looking at exactly what the
17
18
           Petitioner is proposing. I'm looking at my
           language, but I seem to remember that they wanted
19
20
           to remove the minimum of 100,000 square feet of new
21
           development within the town center; is that
22
           correct?
23
                 MR. ACKERMAN: Actually, it was more
24
           complicated.
25
                 MS. LAZZARI: Maybe we could get that
```

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 R

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

7

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Petitioner --

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WRIGHT: We don't have the information. That wasn't provided to us.

MR. DEDENBACH: You keep talking, I'll keep clicking.

MR. WRIGHT: Sure. As I explained in my presentation, the reason why we wanted to have this provision here was to insure that once the new development took place -- I mean, we're talking about a substantial amount of new development 550,000 square feet. That would allow redevelopment of the town center. That number, again, was given us to by the Petitioner, that the town center would be the next thing to redevelop.

That's why you know like, the 100,000 square foot number, is sort of a number we picked out of the air admittedly. It's half of what we've heard will be built in the town center. So that amount of 100,000 square foot, we don't feel incredibly strong about. But we need some degree of development to happen at the town center at some point.

MR. HILLIARD: While Mr. Dedenbach is looking for that, I think the way it read is for the purpose of insuring redevelopment in Subarea 2A to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

those anchor tenants move to that area, we will

GAINESVILLE STARKE

195

develop several 100,000 square feet there. We would like the flexibility in here as opposed to having 100,000 square feet being constructed, which means having a certificate of occupancy. We would like to demonstrate in a mechanism that's more timely, getting a final development order for development within the town center. We think that that is an adequate assurance that once those boxes

move to the new area of Butler Plaza, it will free lands and there are cross tenants agreements in

there. It's very complicated. I kind of explained it to Staff this morning, but we need more than one

or two boxes to move in order to spatially free up area where building and parking and circulation is.

We don't want to be locked to having to have 100,000 square feet constructed. It would be much more practicable to -- by the time we hit that 550,000 square feet, to insure that we have a development order so that it is insuring that we are starting with a town center. We don't want to have that town center portion constructed at that point in time. We'll be underway, but we can't

guarantee that it will be constructed. Because if

we're building something and it takes a year to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

the town center the following thresholds are established. Developments within Subarea 2A must meet the town center design standards as described in the PD report no more than 550,000 square feet of combined development may be constructed within Subarea A1 and AB until -- well, just end it. It didn't have a minimum

MR. DEDENBACH: Got it.

MR. HILLIARD: Okay. There you go.

MR. WRIGHT: So, again, I don't know if I understand what that second sentence is supposed to be saying now. But the third sentence where they're striking that minimum amount of construction and town center, of course, we have a problem with that. I mean, if they want to propose a different amount of square footage, that makes sense. But we just want to insure that that town center development happens and it happens in a timely fashion. That's the whole purpose for this condition.

CHAIR: Does the Applicant want to respond? MR. DEDENBACH: Again, I think we've hopefully clearly demonstrated that to get to this point we bring area 1A on. There is a \$16 million dollar infrastructure lift to get 1A on. When

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

build it, we can't go to the five hundred fifty first thousand square feet until that's done.

Give us the flexibility to have that development plan approval so that we can be building in both areas, please. Because that will allow us to continue the stream of what's happening in 1A and bring 2A along.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Dedenbach, Mr. Wright?

MR. WRIGHT: Sure. I just wanted to say something quick related to what Mr. Dedenbach was just saying. The reason why we have a problem with this, it's kind of twofold actually with the rewording. Is because it doesn't talk about a certain amount of development. It could be anything. It could be a small addition onto a drive thru restaurant next to Archer Road.

Secondly, when you talk about development order, that's no -- I mean, we issue a lot of development orders where nothing is ever built. There is no guarantee that anything will be built. The point is we just want to get something constructed. We don't want to prohibit other construction ongoing at the same time. And the language wouldn't actually do it, it would allow

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA

R

# 121107E

ongoing construction in the new area at the same time we're constructing buildings in the town center.

CHAIR: Okay.

MR. DEDENBACH: I have a suggestion. Let's change this number to 50,000 square feet under

CHAIR: Is Staff amenable? Staff looks happier.

MR. DEDENBACH: I've got brains over here that are much smarter mathematically than I that are figuring if that box moves and this box moves, we've got to get to a number to where we're not handcuffing Ms. Butler's development. If you all can live with 50,000 under construction.

CHAIR: I think what they're getting towards is a commitment to construction, which I'm sure they're all committed to it as well.

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, Staff can live with that.

That's a substantial amount of construction. We're okay with that.

CHAIR: Thank you, very much. You can come back up Mr. Wright. You're on to Condition 3.

MR. WRIGHT: So Condition 3 states within Subareas 1A and 1B and 2A any single use single

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

# same definition as single tenant?

MR. WRIGHT: That's correct. We could change that language to say single tenant, it would mean the same thing for us.

CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DEDENBACE: Madam Chair, I'll be as literal as I can on this. This 100,000 square feet is smaller than a theater. And that would quite potentially have a block to block edge on it. So to give a real world example of a potential situation where we may run into a problem with this limit, we need that. We need to be able to cover that block that's defined by public streets and private treats and maneuvering lanes with a building that fills that city block up.

MR. HATCHER: The building may be multi-tenant as well.

MR. DEDENBACH: And Everett is adding the building may be multi-tenant.

MS. BUTLER: But we could have a single tenant.

MR. DEDENBACH: We could have a single tenant that would fill a city block. That may be a redevelopment tenant. That's all I can say to

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE story retail buildings shall be limited to 100,000 square foot. This is, again, talking about 1B which is the area next to the urban village and 2A which is the area with the town center. So these are our more urban areas if you will.

And what we're trying to accomplish here is we don't have a problem necessarily with large scale retail uses being built there. But if they're going to be built in these subareas, we want to be sure they're not built in the standard standalone buildings surrounding by parking format. We want them to be multistory or we want them to be incorporated with other uses such as along a town center main street. So that's all we're really saying there with that condition.

MR. ACKERMAN: Given that we just passed the -- that says we're going to allow big boxes in 1B along 62nd, that's -- we need to expand that at least for stuff we've already agreed upon.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, again, we're not trying to say that you can't do large scale retail. We're just saying we want it to not be standalone single story large scale retail.

MR. LANE: Madam Chair, point of clarification, does single use, does that have the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

CHAIR: Thank you.

MR. DEDENBACH: We would really appreciate your consideration in allowing that to accommodate.

CHAIR: Ms. Sims?

MS. SIMS: I think I'm definitely comfortable with the change for 2A based on the size of the urban blocks there. But I think I do have some concerns in 1B, you know, setting some kind of square footage, and it may be higher than 100,000 square feet. But I think we may need to approach those two separately because of what we just voted on. So I'm not comfortable, I don't think, with having the same rule for both of those areas. I think I would be more comfortable with approaching them separately. I do kind of like the idea of urban block when we're looking at 2A. I would be open to something different for 1B because I'm not sure the same thing would apply there.

MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, if we could -previous one, kind of gave you a number. What if
they were 150?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: But explain why.

MR. DEDENBACH: Past president of the International Council, she can tell you exactly.

MS. MACCARDINI: What you could be

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

# 121107E

changing the text that we see here within Subareas 1B and 2A to single use, single story retail buildings shall be limited to 150,000 square feet, and then we're getting rid of to the coverage of the urban block, that language?

CHAIR: Right.

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

э

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEDENBACH: Substituting.

MS. BUTLER: But just, again, following what Rebecca Maccardini just said, since the recession you've had Dillard's with their smallest footprint be 127 and single story because it costs so much to do a double story department store. So I'm just -- I don't have a crystal ball, but I know -- I'm not trying to create the -- I assure you, Ms. Sharma, and all of you, you're going to be proud of this. It's not going to be something to be ashamed of.

But what I'm saying is, certain department stores and certain elegant -- very more elegant type stores you want to see in that 1B area, sometimes it's a single -- they don't always go two story like they used to, not as many. I just want you to keep that in mind because I looked into that. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you for the clarification, MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, it may give the

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

203

204

eliminating is a department store on a single level. I don't know that you want to do that for 3 the town center. So the 150 would cover it. As far as I know there is no department store that's a 5 single level that's in excess of 150. 6 MR. HATCHER: Not that I know of. MS. MACCARDINI: But I don't think you want 7 8 to do that, even though that may not be a use. I 9 don't think we want to be in a position where it 10 cannot be a use. 11 CHAIR: Thank you for that clarification. I 12 think that visualizes it for us. Ms. Sharma? 13 MS. SHARMA: Actually, just following up on 14 that. Would the Applicant be comfortable with 150 15 limitation in 1B as well? MR. DEDENBACH: In 1B? Yeah, 17 MS. SHARMA: In 2A and 1B. So that would 18 cover any of the proposed anchor tenant things that 19 we were just talking about as far as parking and 20 all of that. In 1B, they're going to be able to 21 fit in 150. 22 MS. MACCARDINI: As well using single tenant, 23 single tenant, 150 covers. 24 CHAIR: Yeah. Ms. Condon. MS. CONDON: Just as clarification, so we're 25 SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

Board some additional comfort instead of saying single use, we said single tenant.

CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Wright you want to move on to Condition 4.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, I think the section in Condition 4 was in F?

MR. HILLIARD: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

В

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WRIGHT: And basically what this states is -- what we're doing here is we're limiting the number of drive thru lanes. And this is something that the Comprehensive Plan does, limits four total lanes per use except for in certain situations. So we've included that language here, which would apply to the entire PD.

Also, we've gone further to limit that to one lane per use within Subarea 2A. The reason why we've done that is because previously we did not allow -- I should say in the existing PD we do not allow drive thru uses in the town center. So this is actually a concession going further beyond that where we're now allowing drive thru uses in the town center. And our conversations with the Petitioner, it sounding like what they were entertaining was drive thru restaurants or other uses that might have a single drive thru lane.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE It's difficult for me to imagine a use with four drive thru lanes that's on a main street in the town center. I mean, maybe I don't have all the information. But that's why we thought this was a reasonable standard. And we thought we needed to have a higher standard for the town center in terms of drive thru uses.

CHAIR: That makes sense

MR. DEDENBACH: Since we're kind of explaining these here, I'll give you a real good example, Tioga Town Center on State Road 26 in between Gainesville and Newberry. It has a drive thru with four lanes. You don't even see it when you pull in because you pull in on that east side, you swing toward the roundabout, those drive thru lames are underneath that building and they are shielded by landscaping and shielder walls. Every other town center that we showed in tonight's example has that in there. So our strongest argument is the fact that it can be done if you do it right and we've got vivid examples right here in our community where more than one drive thru lane exists in a town center if it's screened right. Go to Haile Village Center, their bank there has more than a single drive thru line.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

CHAIR: So the Applicant would be proposing 2 there be no limit on drive thru lanes? MR. DEDENBACH: We would be consistent 3 4 throughout the development with four as a max. But 5 you have to meet this design criteria. CHAIR: Only in 2A or the whole thing in all 6 7 the subareas? MR. DEDENBACH: Yeah, this is all the subareas we want that environmental graphics 10 package and consistency of design and theme and 11 ethic through the entire thing. 12 CHAIR: Thank you. What's the feel of the 13 Board on this? I'm a little bit torn. 14 MR. LANE: Compromise. I can't make a 15 motion, but perhaps in 2A it can be expanded via 16 special use permit. 17 CHAIR: I think they all are required to be 18 under a special use permit. 19 MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, actually we removed 20 the requirement for a special use permit here 21 because we defined the standards so we didn't see 22 the need to go through an additional process. 23 However, I think that's a good idea of a 24 compromise. It will give us the a little bit more 25 control over the specific design of the use. If it SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. /ILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE OCALA STARKE

goes beyond one use, we will require a special use permit in the town center. That will be a good 3 4 MS. LAZZARI: Just for clarification, 2A 5 you've already said okay, but it's just town 6 center. 7 MR. DEDENBACH: Since we're in the deal making mode here, four lanes in areas 1A, 1B and 2B, but in the town center two lanes maximum. And 10 if we go above two, we'll do a special use permit 11 for it. I mean, it's a much tighter area, so... 12 MR. ACKERMAN: But the two lanes plus a 13 bypass lane? 14 CHAIR: The bypass lane is a mandatory thing 15 you've got to have in any condition. I've never 16 seen one without. That doesn't count as a lane. 17 MS. SHARMA: Is that what happens when you go 18 past 11:00? 19 MR. DEDENBACH: This is what happens when we 20 don't want you to have to deal with this more than 21 one time. 22 MS. SHARMA: I like Mr. Lane's compromise 23 personally. I thought it made a lot of sense. 24 That's my vote. 25 MS. LAZZARI: Staff supports the one lane and SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

207

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the special use permit provision that Mr. Lane suggested. Just in 2A, just in the town center everywhere else wouldn't require a special use permit. Ms. Condon?

MS. CONDON: I don't --

2

3

4

₿

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR: Okay. So I think is the Board -- are we good on drive thru lanes?

MR. DEDENBACH: So because we're running fast here, I want clarity so that we understand. So you're talking about four lanes in 1A, 1B, and 2B, but in the town center --

CHAIR: One lane without a special use permit more than one lane requires a special use permit. That's what the Board says. Okay. So we're going to move on to, I think, Number 5. I feel like we've kind of dealt with it, it's really I think just 14 is left.

MS. SHARMA: What about the double loaded row of parking that Applicant had wanted? Didn't you want you a strike through, or I had have made a mistake in my notes? I thought you had something on Condition 5.

MR. DEDENBACH: Oh, that gets to the side or rear issue of the parking. It needs to be consistent throughout the development and we were

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY looking for that same sort of screening so that it wasn't limited to the side or rear like you did in your other motion here. I will say that we've got one more issue in there that we run through quick and thankfully we have --

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, so on 5 the first part of this says in Subareas 1B and 2A all surface parking shall be located to the side or rear of building. We need to make that consistent with what you approved in the PUD. That will be easy enough. The second part of it says in Subareas 1A and 2B with the exception of large scale retail uses which we defined over 100,000 square feet no more than one double roded row of parking may be allowed between the parking and the street. That's consistent with our central quarter design standard which basically apply everywhere around the City, as I'm sure you know because you've heard us talk about them with every commercial addition you have before vou.

So that double loaded row is sort of a better suburban standard I guess we might call it, which makes it so you can still reasonably walk to the building, but there is still some parking in front of the building. We think that makes sense for

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

everything except for basically large scale retail uses within those subarea.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Wright. Do you want to respond very briefly?

MR. DEDENBACH: Oh, my gosh, yes, briefly. So we do need to have consistencies with what we've done on our previous motion on here. So we would appreciate you allowing us the same opportunity here to have consistency in there as long as it's screened.

CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. I think,

MR. ACKERMAN: I think the PUD didn't we limit to 1A and 1B and not on 24th Avenue? CHAIR: Yeah.

MR. HILLIARD: Yes. We'll make sure it gets --

CHAIR: It's enough to say the intent is to make it consistent with the PUD. Mr. Wright, Condition 14? Does it stay or does it co.

MR. WRIGHT: Wall, I mean, we included it to try to reach a compromise. It was actually proposed by the Petitioner to meet the goals of the County Commission and City Commission. I think we should probably keep it in there at this point, maybe hear what the City Commission has to say

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE about it at least.

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 R

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

21

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEDENBACH: Let us address that with our attorney, if you could, please, Madam Chair.

MR. THERIAQUE: Good evening, my name is David Theriaque with the Law firm of Theriaque and Spain. I will be brief, I know we're late.

We reached a conceptual agreement with the Celebration folks. We have not executed anything yet where they'll be able to complete their flyover without using any of the Butler property. However, a week ago when we were at the Board of County Commissioners, we had publically reached an agreement that reflected in 14. So I think perhaps the best way to proceed tonight is to just forward 14, allow us to go back to the County.

I know Jonathan Paul is watching this on TV because he texted me. And he agrees with what I'm saying I hope, and that we will address this issue when we come back to the City Commission. And it may not be any need for 14 at all in light of the fact that the flyover will not use any Butler property, including the right of way. Okay. Thank VOIL.

> CHAIR: Thank you very much. Mr. Hilliard? MR. HILLIARD: I think we might be ready for

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.

GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY

211

a motion.

MR. DEDENBACH: Wait. Wait. Wait. Wait. Four minutes and one item that we had thought of here. There is the comment in the TRC packet there that talks about the glazing requirements. And Everett gave us some extensive examples about the glazing. And what the TRC is recommending versus what we are recommending for flexibility and glazing standards is important to us in there. So we can't let that one slip without us addressing it. We would be very appreciative if you would take the Applicant's requested glazing language and add that in there

CHAIR: Can you put it up on the screen? MR. DEDENBACH: I don't know if I can put that on the screen. But I can refer to it in the Staff -- in our PD document.

CHAIR: I think Ms. Condon has a motion.

MS. CONDON: I move that we extend the meeting to 11:40 in hopes that we can get this done in 13 minutes instead of in 3.

CHAIR: We have a motion. All those in favor.

(Board members voted.)

CHAIR: Any opposed?

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. /ILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE STARKE

MR. DEDENBACE: If you refer in your document, Madam Chair, to the development standards table in here, the glazing requirement is listed here. This was a carefully created set of conditions that talks about 20 percent between zero and B feet in Subarea 1B, 15 percent between 3 and 8 feet on the side of the building and Subarea 2B that it is not applicable in that area at this

CHAIR: Would Staff like to respond? MR. ACKERMAN: Mr. Dedenbach, I think it's on Page 12 of your report of the --

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, the Staff comment here -- and it's actually in the TRC comments which is Appendix H in the back of the report. It's Comment 16 I think that Gerry is referring to.

That comment is in response to that table, so, I mean, we're aware that that table is there. And what we're asking for a couple of things here, one we want to measure the glazing between 3 and 8 feet. That's the way we do it everywhere else in the City. We don't want to start confusing ourselves anymore than we already have on the glazing issue. In fact, we want to start standardizing it more.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

# 121107E

And then the second issue deals with higher standard for glazing in 1B, which, as we've discussed is this transitional area between the urban village and the rest of the development, we want a higher urban design standard there, that's why we're asking for the higher glazing standard.

CHAIR: Mr. Ackerman, did you have a question or was it just --

MR. ACKERMAN: I was under the impression I could make a motion.

CHAIR: Ms. Sharma?

MS. SHARMA: I have a question. I don't know if it's just the late hour or I missed it or what. but block size, did we decide it and I just missed it?

CHAIR: No, we didn't really go over that. MS. SHARMA: I mean, it's in Condition 2. Maximum block perimeter of 2,000 feet.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, as far as we understand, we're just going to make the block size and the PD match what was approved in the PD. As long as you're okay with that.

MS. SHARMA: I guess I'm just not clear maybe on what we approved.

MR. ACKERMAN: She wants to know what we

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

the AFF there, that average facade face, as Everett

showed you countless examples of we will have areas

ceiling and others, they have a different acemaria.

CHAIR: I understand all that. I'm just

MR. DEDENBACH: The number we're looking for

that can meet the glazing requirement because

in specifically the town center and 2A is

wondering the actual numbers.

certain merchants can merchandise from floor to

3

2

2

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 9

11 12 13

10

15 percent glazing of the average facade front on these blocks of the building. CHAIR: And --MR. DEDENBACH: It's a little bit lower than the current standard. Again, we're not talking on a building -- a use by use sort of this is a Burger King, this is a dress shop. We are talking about the average facade face of a large block area or a small block area. It's averaged across that entire facade. MR. HATCHER: One of the problems that you got with the standards, if you're measuring -- the glass is going all the way down to the ground in a lot of the cases and it goes all the way up to 12 feet. We can only measure from 3 feet to 8 feet reading this. The building -- most of these

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

MS. SHARMA: I would like to know what we
approved in the PUD because the Applicant was
wanting the increased block size of 3,200 in 1A and
I did not think that we approve that, but
MR. WRIGHT: Staff's recommendation was 2,000

foot perimeter block size everywhere except for in 1A which is large scale 1A where we recommended 3,200 and that was an average. I don't think that you changed that.

MR. ACKERMAN: We did not.

approved.

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHARMA: Well, I voted against it, so I feel more comfortable in my vote. I still disagree with that decision. Thank you for the clarification.

CHAIR: Thank you. So I am still a little bit confused on the glazing, what the difference is between what the Applicant wants and what Staff has proposed. I would like to get a little clarity so we can make a decision on the glazing.

MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, it's a complicated issue. If you want to punt on that, we'll work it out with Staff. But what's really important in this -- I can't back up now because I'm not in the presentation. We have to look at in

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

215

buildings are 28 feet high. They're big buildings to be in scale. So if you -- depending on how you measure it, then it's very difficult for us to meet the standard that you're putting down. The buildings that we're showing you here, probably in a lot of cases don't meet that percentage of glass. And, you know, I think those are pretty nice looking buildings, so ...

CHAIR: Thanks.

MR. WRIGHT: Madam Chair, I honestly don't think we're going to solve this issue tonight. We're not even talking about the same way of measuring glazing right now. I think we should have had that conversation between -- we can have it between now and the City Commission if you are comfortable with that.

MR. DEDENBACH: We'll sit down, architects and planners.

MR. DEDENBACH: We'll figure it out to deliver a beautiful product for Deborah.

CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, everybody. Ms. Condon? Okay. I think we're at the point where --

MR. ACKERMAN: Madam Chair, I'm going to take

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CIT GAINESVILLE LAKE CITY STARKE

CHAIR: Ya'll spend a lot of time --

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the PD.

have.

the TRC.

passing it on.

it's 1A, so Subareas 2A, all surface parking shall

buildings. Subareas 1A and 2B with the exception.

5 consistent with PUD and I think that's all I

TRC comments. Do you want to stay to adopt the

MS. OLIVERIO: Can I ask a point of

CHAIR: We're leaving it as is. We're

MR. DEDENBACH: Madam Chair, I got 20

oriented sales in the areas. That was an issue

automotive sales are allowed in the town center

your flexibility on that, also?

like the Tioga and Haile Town Center. Can we get

When we blow away the old PUD map from the

seconds. We didn't talk about our request for auto

that was up there because we showed you examples of

clarification on 14, what are we doing?

comments with this motion?

CHAIR: You can just say be consistent with

MR. ACKERMAN: Yeah. We'll make PD Condition

CHAIR: And the adoptancy to your comments,

MR. ACKERMAN: We'll adopt the comments of

be located to the side and the rear of the

a run at a motion. Madam Chair, I move that we approve petition PD dash 12 dash 150 dash PDV based on the testimony tonight and that we accept the PD report by Staff, including the Condition 14 regarding Southwest 30th right of way with the understanding that somebody may review that before

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With the following changes that PD Condition 1 is modified to read -- maybe constructed -- the last two lines may be constructed within Subareas 1A and 1B when 50,000 square feet is under construction of -- new development has been constructed within Subarea 2 -- under construction within Subarea 2A. On PD Condition 3 within Subareas 1B and 2A, any single use single story building shall be limited to 150,000 square feet --

MR. DEDENBACH: Single tenant.

MR. ACKERMAN: Single tenant. I would remain -- leave the drive thru facilities the same, but we would allow four lanes in any section but 2A, one lane in 2A, greater would require special use permit, in that all design requirements for the areas are met and that the drive thrus are shielded.

PD Condition 5 in Subareas 1B and -- I think

GAINESVILLE

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. VILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

219

previous development, it only had box in front of 2 Target and a box in front of Wal-Mart. Allow us, 3 please, the flexibility to do automotive sales on a limited basis throughout the development for special events and civic type gatherings. CRAIR: I think this is something you're going to work with Staff on as long as it's R temporary for events. MR. ACKERMAN: I think we're going to punt that one down the road. I'm not comfortable with 10 11 that at the moment. We have a motion if anybody 12 can tell me what it is. 13 CHAIR: I remember what it is. Do we have 14 second for the motion? 15 MS. OLIVERIO: Second. 16 CHAIR: All those in favor say aye. 17 (Board members voted.) 18 CHAIR: Any opposed? The motion passes 19 unanimously. Okay. I think that brings us --20 we've got two minutes left. 21 MR. DEDENBACH: Thank you very much. We will 22 not see you on the 28th. 23 CHAIR: Thank you very much. And Staff --24 thank you to our Staff for working so closely with 25

> SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE

you all and best of luck.

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. GAINESVILLE STARKE 220 (Thereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 2 11:39 p.m.) 3 7 R 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC. /ILLE OCALA LAKE CITY GAINESVILLE STARKE

CERTIFICATE WITH ACKNOWLEDGMENT STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ALACHUA I, Julia E. Griffin, a Shorthand Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true Dated this 5th day of March, 2013. 

SCRIBE ASSOCIATES, INC.
GAINESVILLE OCALA LAKE CITY STARKE