PROCESS FOR DISCUSSION OF RLUIPA ISSUES ON 11/10/08

- 1. Review JDonovan table and preferences for RSF
- 2. Review JJackson revised ordinance
- 3. Review JJackson email explanations
- 4. Provide time for CCom and staff preliminary response
- 5. Provide time frame by frame of table for citizen response to RSF table and preferences, including reference to relevant JJackson proposals
- 6. CCom and staff to discuss till motion is made.
- 7. Citizen final comment
- 8. Discussion of whether to address other zoning districts at this meeting or later.

Dear Commissioners Donovan, Hawkins and Henry:

The attached proposal addresses church-based food distribution programs. This email summarizes the changes the proposal makes to the existing language of Code Section 30-91(c), and then explains the rationale for these changes.

- 1. Currently, the City Code imposes no restrictions on the charitable distribution of groceries and other necessities by church-based food pantries, or on the provision of meals in conjunction with religious services, weddings, and other special events. The proposal begins with a sentence in paragraph (c) confirming that these are permitted accessory uses for any lawful place of religious assembly.
- 2. The proposal eliminates three existing restrictions on church-based meal distribution programs for the needy. These restrictions are the 20-meal limit, the quarter-mile spacing requirement, and the 2000-foot buffer around the UF campus.
- 3. In residential zoning districts, paragraph (1) of the proposal imposes a new requirement limiting the hours of operation (with specific times to be inserted by the Committee). Additionally, in residential zoning districts, paragraph (2) of the proposal clarifies and confirms the requirement that an indoor eating area be utilized.
- 4. In all zoning districts, paragraph (4) of the proposal imposes a new requirement that all reasonable efforts be made to minimize impacts on neighboring properties. If issues or concerns arise, the proposal imposes an obligation on the place of religious assembly to seek to resolve them, either directly or through mediation.
- 5. In all zoning districts, paragraph (3) of the proposal preserves and strengthens the existing Code requirements concerning submission of a management plan, and paragraph (5) of the proposal preserves the existing Code limitations on signage. Slight changes in wording are made for consistency and clarity.
- 6. In all zoning districts, paragraph (6) of the proposal requires a church to obtain a "registration certificate" from the city manager, and provides for annual renewal of the certificate only upon demonstration of substantial compliance with all of the foregoing restrictions. This is substantively identical to the existing Code requirement of a city manager "permit." The change in wording from "permit" to "registration certificate" is intended to respond to previously expressed concerns that people of faith should not need to seek "permission" from the city manager in order to engage in this form of worship. The change in wording does not alter the fact that the activity in question is prohibited without the required document.

Neighborhood advocates may oppose elimination of the 20-meal limit, quarter-mile spacing requirement, and 2000-foot UF buffer. But these restrictions have not been enforced, and directly relevant court decisions establish that they are not enforceable. See Stuart Circle Parish v. Board of Zoning Appeals, 946 F. Supp. 1225, 1236 (E.D. Va. 1996). Neighborhoods would in fact be better served by the proposed restrictions, which directly require that potential adverse impacts be minimized, than by existing restrictions that cannot be and are not in fact enforced.

It is no answer to say that the existing provisions of the Code do no harm. The 20-meal limit has been and continues to be violated every week at Holy Trinity Episcopal Church. The failure of the City to uphold this provision of its Code undermines respect for the law generally. Moreover, the presence of these restrictions in the City Code exposes the City (and its taxpayers) to the risk of a lawsuit that should be avoided. These unenforced and unenforceable provisions should be eliminated, and should be replaced with the real protections offered by the attached proposal.

I look forward to the discussion Monday evening. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joseph S. Jackson

CURRENT PROVISION:

Section 30-91 Places of Religious Assembly

090871

- (c) Food distribution centers for the needy. The city manager or designee may issue a permit for a food distribution center for the needy within any zoning district where places of religious assembly are permitted by right or by special use permit with the following restrictions:
- (1) No more than 20 meals may be served in a 24-hour period.
- (2) The place of religious assembly can physically provide an indoor eating area meeting all state, county and city codes for the proposed use.
- (3) No food distribution center for the needy may be closer than 1,320 feet from any other place of religious assembly having a food distribution center for the needy, nor shall any place of religious assembly have an accessory food distribution center for the needy located in the area described in appendix D located at the end of this chapter, and as shown on the map maintained in the department of community development.
- (4) Each place of religious assembly shall file with the city manager a management program addressing hours of operation, personnel, management of solid waste, litter and lighting. The name, address, and phone number of the person responsible for the facility shall be kept up to date.
- (5) Signage. Food distribution centers for the needy shall be limited to two wall-mounted, nonilluminated signs displaying the name of the facility, hours of operation and other functional information and directions. No more than one sign shall be displayed on any building face. No sign shall exceed 18 square feet, nor shall the total combined area for two signs exceed 30 square feet.

PROPOSED PROVISION:

Section 30-91 Places of Religious Assembly

- (c) Food distribution. Charitable distribution of groceries and other necessities, and provision of meals in conjunction with religious services, weddings, and other special events, are permitted accessory uses to any lawful place of religious assembly. In addition, if a place of religious assembly wishes to provide meals to the needy on a regular basis not in conjunction with religious services, it may do so only upon compliance with the following restrictions:
- (1) In residential zoning districts, meals may be served only between _____ a.m. and _____ p.m.
- (2) In residential zoning districts, meals must be provided in an indoor eating area meeting all state, county and city codes for the proposed use.
- (3) A management plan must be filed with the city manager or designee, specifying the days and hours of operation; the steps to be employed to prevent noise, litter, lighting, and other adverse impacts from interfering with neighboring properties; and the name, address, and phone number of the person responsible for the facility. All aspects of the management plan must be kept up to date.
- (4) All reasonable efforts must be made to minimize impacts, including noise, litter and lighting, on neighboring properties. If any issues or concerns arise regarding impacts on any neighboring properties, the place of religious assembly must seek to resolve those concerns either directly or with the assistance of mediation services offered by the City of Gainesville Police Department.
- (5) Signage for the meal program shall be limited to two wall-mounted, nonilluminated signs displaying the name of the facility, hours of operation and other functional information and directions. No more than one sign shall be displayed on any building face. No sign shall exceed 18 square feet, nor shall the total combined area for two signs exceed 30 square feet.
- (6) The place of religious assembly must obtain a registration certificate for the meal program from the city manager or designee. The registration certificate shall be issued without charge, shall be valid for one year, and shall be renewed annually upon application by the place of religious assembly demonstrating substantial compliance with all of the foregoing restrictions.

Powell, Emily L.

From:

Joe Jackson [JJackson@law.ufl.edu]

Sent:

Sunday, November 09, 2008 11:30 PM

To:

Donovan, John F. - Commissioner; Hawkins, Jr., Thomas; Henry, Scherwin L.

Cc:

Bredfeldt, Erik A.; Powell, Emily L.

Subject:

Nov. 10 CDC meeting - proposal re: food distributionregulations

Attachments: CDC proposal 11102008.pdf

Dear Commissioners Donovan, Hawkins and Henry:

The attached proposal addresses church-based food distribution programs. This email summarizes the changes the proposal makes to the existing language of Code Section 30-91(c), and then explains the rationale for these changes.

- 1. Currently, the City Code imposes no restrictions on the charitable distribution of groceries and other necessities by church-based food pantries, or on the provision of meals in conjunction with religious services, weddings, and other special events. The proposal begins with a sentence in paragraph (c) confirming that these are permitted accessory uses for any lawful place of religious assembly.
- 2. The proposal eliminates three existing restrictions on church-based meal distribution programs for the needy. These restrictions are the 20-meal limit, the quarter-mile spacing requirement, and the 2000-foot buffer around the UF campus.
- 3. In residential zoning districts, paragraph (1) of the proposal imposes a new requirement limiting the hours of operation (with specific times to be inserted by the Committee). Additionally, in residential zoning districts, paragraph (2) of the proposal clarifies and confirms the requirement that an indoor eating area be utilized.
- 4. In all zoning districts, paragraph (4) of the proposal imposes a new requirement that all reasonable efforts be made to minimize impacts on neighboring properties. If issues or concerns arise, the proposal imposes an obligation on the place of religious assembly to seek to resolve them, either directly or through mediation.
- 5. In all zoning districts, paragraph (3) of the proposal preserves and strengthens the existing Code requirements concerning submission of a management plan, and paragraph (5) of the proposal preserves the existing Code limitations on signage. Slight changes in wording are made for consistency and clarity.
- 6. In all zoning districts, paragraph (6) of the proposal requires a church to obtain a "registration certificate" from the city manager, and provides for annual renewal of the certificate only upon demonstration of substantial compliance with all of the foregoing restrictions. This is substantively identical to the existing Code requirement of a city manager "permit." The change in wording from "permit" to "registration certificate" is intended to respond to previously expressed concerns that people of faith should not need to seek "permission" from the city manager in order to engage in this form of worship. The change in wording does not alter the fact that the activity in question is prohibited without the required document.

Neighborhood advocates may oppose elimination of the 20-meal limit, quarter-mile spacing requirement, and 2000-foot UF buffer. But these restrictions have not been enforced, and directly relevant court decisions establish that they are not enforceable. See Stuart Circle Parish v. Board of Zoning Appeals, 946 F. Supp. 1225, 1236 (E.D. Va. 1996). Neighborhoods would in fact be better served by the proposed restrictions, which directly require that potential adverse impacts be minimized, than by existing restrictions that cannot be and are not in fact enforced.

It is no answer to say that the existing provisions of the Code do no harm. The 20-meal limit has been and continues to be violated every week at Holy Trinity Episcopal Church. The failure of the City to uphold this provision of its Code undermines respect for the law generally. Moreover, the presence of these restrictions in the City Code exposes the City (and its taxpayers) to the risk of a lawsuit that should be avoided. These unenforced and unenforceable provisions should be eliminated, and should be replaced with the real protections offered by the attached proposal.

I look forward to the discussion Monday evening. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joseph S. Jackson

SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY – draft 11/10/08 Residential Single Family (RSF)

M70371

Other	Distance from (campus(es) 2	Distance between 1 shelter sites	Distance between meal sites	# of people per day sheltered	# of people per day cerved bagged meals	# of people per day served groceries	served meals	
	Current policy: 2000'	1320'	Current policy: 1320'	Current policy: 20 persons per day with CMP	Current policy:	Current policy: NL	Current policy: 20 meals per day with CMP	Option 1
	1000'	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	B: NA L: NA D: NA	Option 2
	500'	1320' whenever service is being provided	1320' whenever service is being provided	3 persons per day with CMP	20 with CMP	20 with CMP	B: NA L: NL with CMP D: NA	Option 3
	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	B: NL with CMP L: NL with CMP D: NL with CMP	
	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	B: NL with SUP L: NL with SUP D: NL with SUP	+
,	NL	NL	NL	NL			B: NL D: NL	Option 6
								Other

Key: B = Breakfast; L = Lunch; D = Dinner;SUP = Special Use Permit; NL = No Limit;

BR = By Right; NA = Not Allowed

SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY – draft 11/10/08 Zoned: Mixed Use Residential

070871

							Other
	NL	NL with SUP	NL with CMP	500'	1000'	Current policy: 2000'	Distance from campus(es)
	NI.	NL with SUP	NL with CMP	1320' whenever service is being provided	NA	1320'	Distance between shelter sites
	NL	NL with SUP	NL with CMP	1320' whenever service is being provided	NA	Current policy: 1320'	Distance between meal sites
	NL	NL with SUP	NL with CMP	3 persons per day with CMP	NA	Current policy: 20 persons per day with CMP	# of people per day sheltered
		NL with SUP	NL with CMP	20 with CMP	NA	Current policy:	# of people per day served bagged meals
		NL with SUP	NL with CMP	20 with CMP	NA	Current policy: NL	# of people per day served groceries
	B: NL D: NL	B: NL with SUP L: NL with SUP D: NL with SUP	B: NL with CMP L: NL with CMP D: NL with CMP	B: NA L: NL with CMP D: NA	B: NA L: NA D: NA	Current policy: 20 meals per day with CMP	# of people per day served meals
Other	Option 6	Option 5	Option 4	Option 3	Option 2	Option 1	Services

Key: B = Breakfast; L = Lunch; D = Dinner;SUP = Special Use Permit; NL = No Limit;

BR = By Right; NA = Not Allowed

FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY - draft 11/10/08 SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS

070871

Zoned: Mixed Use

Other campus(es) sheltered shelter sites meal sites # of people per day served # of people per day served meals # of people per day Services Distance from Distance between Distance between bagged meals groceries day served # of people per Current policy: Current policy: Z Z Current policy: 20 persons per 20 meals per day 1320 Current policy: with CMP Current policy: 1320' day with CMP Current policy: Option 1 NA NA X NA D: NA L: NA B: NA 1000 NA Option 2 500° service is being provided service is being day with CMP 3 persons per 20 with CMP 20 with CMP D: NA CMP provided 1320' whenever B: NA 1320' whenever L: NL with Option 3 NL with CMP L: NL with CMP B: NL with CMP NL with CMP D: NL with CMP Option 4 B: NL with SUP NL with SUP NL with SUP NL with SUP L: NL with SUP NL with SUP NL with SUP NL with SUP D: NL with SUP Option 5 D: NL L: NL B: NL Z Z Z Z Option 6 Other

Key: B = Breakfast; L = Lunch;SUP = Special Use Permit; NL = No Limit; D = Dinner;

NA = Not Allowed BR = By Right;

SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY – draft 11/10/08

Zoned: Office

076871

Key: B = Breakfast; L = Lunch; D = Dinner;SUP = Special Use Permit; NL = No Limit;

BR = By Right; NA = Not Allowed

FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY - draft 11/10/08 SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS

Zoned: Commercial

070871

Services	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Option 5	Option 6	Other
# of people per day	Current policy:	B: NA	B: NA	B: NL with CMP	B: NL with SUP	B: NL	
served meals	20 meals per day	L: NA	L: NL with	L: NL with CMP	L: NL with SUP	L: NL	
	with CMP	D: NA	CMP D: NA	D: NL with CMP	D: NL with SUP	D: NL	
# of people per day served	Current policy: NL	NA	20 with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with SUP		
groceries							
# of people per day	Current policy:	AN	20 with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with SUP		
served bagged meals	Z						
# of people per day sheltered	Current policy: 20 persons per day with CMP	AN	3 persons per day with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with SUP	NL	
Distance between meal sites	Current policy: 1320'	NA	1320' whenever service is being provided	NL with CMP	NL with SUP	NL	
Distance between shelter sites	1320'	NA	1320' whenever service is being	NL with CMP	NL with SUP	NI	
Distance from campus(es)	Current policy: 2000'	1000'	500'	NL with CMP	NL with SUP	NL	
Other							

Key: B = Breakfast; L = Lunch; SUP = Special Use Permit; D = Dinner;NL = No Limit;

BR = By Right; NA = Not Allowed CMP = City Manager Permit;

FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY – draft 11/10/08 Zoned: Industrial SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS

070871

Other	Distance from C campus(es) 20	Distance between 1. shelter sites	Distance between C meal sites 1:	# of people per day C sheltered 2	# of people per day C served N bagged meals	# of people per C day served N groceries	# of people per day C served meals 2	Services
	Current policy: 2000'	1320'	Current policy: 1320'	Current policy: 20 persons per day with CMP	Current policy: NL	Current policy: NL	Current policy: 20 meals per day with CMP	Option 1
	1000'	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	B: NA L: NA D: NA	Option 2
	500'	1320' whenever service is being provided	1320' whenever service is being provided	3 persons per day with CMP	20 with CMP	20 with CMP	B: NA L: NL with CMP D: NA	Option 3
	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with CMP	B: NL with CMP L: NL with CMP D: NL with CMP	Option 4
	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	NL with SUP	B: NL with SUP L: NL with SUP D: NL with SUP	Option 5
	NI.	NL	NL	NL			D: NL	Option 6
								Other

Key: B = Breakfast; L = Lunch; SUP = Special Use Permit; D = Dinner; NL = No Limit;

BR = By Right; NA = Not Allowed

FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY – draft 11/10/08 SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS

7
oned
Ä
Pl
blic
Faci
<u>::</u>
ilitie
ies

							Other
	NI.	NL with SUP	NL with CMP	500'	1000'	Current policy: 2000'	Distance from campus(es)
	NL	NL with SUP	NL with CMP	1320' whenever service is being provided	NA	1320'	Distance between shelter sites
	NL	NL with SUP	NL with CMP	1320' whenever service is being provided	NA	Current policy: 1320'	Distance between meal sites
	NL	NL with SUP	NL with CMP	3 persons per day with CMP	NA	Current policy: 20 persons per day with CMP	# of people per day sheltered
		NL with SUP	NL with CMP	20 with CMP	NA	Current policy:	# of people per day served bagged meals
		NL with SUP	NL with CMP	20 with CMP	NA	Current policy: NL	# of people per day served groceries
	B: NL D: NL	B: NL with SUP L: NL with SUP D: NL with SUP	B: NL with CMP L: NL with CMP D: NL with CMP	B: NA L: NL with CMP D: NA	B: NA L: NA D: NA	Current policy: 20 meals per day with CMP	# of people per day served meals
Other	Option 6	Option 5	Option 4	Option 3	Option 2	Option 1	Services

Key: B = Breakfast; L = Lunch; SUP = Special Use Permit; D = Dinner; NL = No Limit;

BR = By Right; NA = Not Allowed

FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY - draft 11/10/08 SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS

			SERV FOR PLACES O Zon	SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS CES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY – drai Zoned: Public Planned Use Districts	SERVICE AND PERMIT STANDARDS FOR PLACES OF RELIGIOUS ASSEMBLY – draft 11/10/08 Zoned: Public Planned Use Districts	10/08	070871	
	Services	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Option 5	Option 6	Other
	# of people per day	Current policy:	B: NA	B: NA	B: NL with CMP	B: NL with SUP	B: NL	
	served meals	20 meals per day	L: NA	L: NL with	L: NL with CMP	L: NL with SUP	L: NL	
		with CMP	D: NA	CMP	D: NL with CMP	D: NL with SUP	D: NL	
				D: NA				
	# of people per	Current policy:	NA	20 with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with SUP	:	
	day served	NIL						
	groceries					-		
	# of people per day	Current policy:	NA	20 with CMP	NL with CMP	NL with SUP		
	served	NIL						
,	bagged meals							
	# of people per day	Current policy:	NA	3 persons per	NL with CMP	NL with SUP	NL	
	sheltered	20 persons per day with CMP		day with CMP				
	Distance between	Current policy:	NA	1320' whenever	NL with CMP	NL with SUP	NL	
	meal sites	1320'		service is being				
_				provided				
	Distance between	1320'	NA	1320' whenever	NL with CMP	NL with SUP	NL	
	shelter sites			service is being				

Key: B = Breakfast; L = Lunch; SUP = Special Use Permit; D = Dinner;NL = No Limit;

Other

campus(es) Distance from

Current policy: 2000'

1000°

500° provided

NL with CMP

NL with SUP

Z

BR = By Right; NA = Not Allowed