



## ADDENDUM NO. 2

Date: May 22, 2014

Bid Date: May 28, 2014  
at 3:00 P.M. (Local Time)

Bid Name Request for Qualifications for Construction Management  
Services for Multi-Phase Construction at Depot Park

Bid No.: CRAX140042-DH

NOTE: This Addendum has been issued only to the holders of record of the specifications and to the attendees of the non-mandatory pre-proposal conference held on May 13, 2014.

The original Specifications remain in full force and effect except as revised by the following changes which shall take precedence over anything to the contrary:

The following are answers/clarifications to questions received after at the non-mandatory pre-proposal conference:

1. Question: What is the Scope of the current work that is taking place now at this jobsite and who is performing said work?  
Answer: The work that is currently taking place on site is the construction of the main stormwater basin along with the arsenic remediation capping. This entails the construction of the retaining wall along the basin's northern edge, construction of the basin itself including lining the basin bottom with HDPE liner, installation of stormwater infrastructure to convey water to the basin, construction of created wetlands, braided stream, and associated landscaping installations, and the construction of the pump station on Sweetwater Branch just to the south of Depot Avenue. The remediation capping includes putting 2-ft of clean dirt over the majority of the park site as a cap to the arsenic laden dirt. The contractor performing this work is R.E. Arnold Construction and the work is being managed by the City's Public Works Department.
2. Question: Has a contractor been selected for the Cade Museum project and if yes, who is the contractor; if not, when does the City anticipate selecting the contractor?  
Answer: The Cade Museum is a private development. We are unsure if the Cade Museum has entered into contract with a contractor at this time. It is not a matter of the City.
3. Question: When will the Attendee List from the Pre-submittal meeting be posted?  
Answer: See Addendum #1.
4. Question: Per the RFQ the proposal is limited to 50 total pages. Does this total include front and back covers and tabbed divider pages?  
Answer: As stated in the General Instructions, page 10 section 4, of the RFQ document; "The entire proposal shall be limited to fifty (50) single-sided 8 ½ x 11 pages." The front and back covers of the proposal and the tabbed divider pages are not included in the fifty (50) page limit.

5. Question: An SF330 form is included as part of the RFQ but the instructions do not state what section the form should be placed in. Please clarify what section the form should go in and also if it counts towards the 50 page limit.

Answer: Per the list located on page 10, section 3, of the RFQ document; please include the SF330 forms in the “Experience and References forms” section (section iii.) of your RFQ submission.

6. Question: I have one quick question regarding the forms we need to use for this RFQ. In the Request for Qualifications packet is states to use Attachment A for the Company Information section and Attachment B for the Experience and References; however there are SF 330 forms also included in the packet. Which forms do you want us to use? I’m assuming Attachments A and B only because both have a place to list projects and personnel. Please verify.

Answer: Per the list located on page 10, section 3, of the RFQ document; Attachment A should be completed and included in section i. of your RFQ submission. Attachment B and the SF330 forms should be included in the “Experience and References forms” section (section iii.) of your RFQ submission (see answer to question #5 above). While some of the information provided on both forms will be redundant, Attachment B is designed to extract additional information, relevant to this project, from the contractor.

7. Question: On the Request for Qualifications packet is states the project number is RFQ No. CRAX140042-DH and on Attachment A is states DRAB-09-RP-2008. Just verifying this is the same project.

Answer: Yes, these are project numbers for the same project. Each was developed by a different City of Gainesville department; the Community Redevelopment Agency and the City of Gainesville Purchasing Department. Please omit this discrepancy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT: Each Proposer shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 2 by his or her signature below, **and a copy of this Addendum to be returned with proposal.**

CERTIFICATION BY PROPOSER

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of this Addendum No. 2 and the Proposal submitted is in accordance with information, instructions, and stipulations set forth herein.

PROPOSER: \_\_\_\_\_

BY: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_