CITY OF GAINESVILLE	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	* BIDS OPENED BY:_	XINTER	000	1,1,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7
GENERAL GOVERNMENT PURCHASING DIVISI	ON	* BIDS OPENED BY:_	1	y cors	
BID RECORD		* BIDS WRITTEN BY:	Mari	Holde	
BID #: FMDX-140025-PJ		* This is to certify that	l (etaff lietad aha	ove)have / X do not have actual	O.F.
		potential conflict of inte	erest with any of	the responsive proposers.	OI
BID NAME: Annual Contract for Vehicle Accident Managemen		2,7,2,7			
	LEGEND:	X = Received	Y = Yes	(blank) = nothing received/acknowledged	
DUE DATE: March 6, 2014		A = Acknowledged	N = No		
USER DEPARTMENT: General Services / Fleet Management		**************************************	****		
NO. PLAN HOLDERS: 6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
VENDORS RESPONDING	RESPONSE				
The CEI Group Inc	X				
	1,4,14	AMMIC			
	2/ /2./				
BID RECORD HAND DELIVERED / SENT TO USER DEPARTM		ATE)			
BIDS X HAND DELIVERED / SENT TO USER DEPARTMENT	10/2014 (DATE)	and the second s			
BIDS TO BE EVALUATED BY USER DEPARTMENT					
Local Preference: impact \$	100 mm				
NOTES					
		<u></u>			
WITNESSES:		WITNESSES:			
	1,5,5,0				
			i		

DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION OF BID (ITB, RFP, BEB, RFQ) INTENDED AWARD

To:	Purchasing, Box 32	Attn: Patti Jo Davis	(Buyer)
Bid #	: FMDX-140025-PJ	Bid Due Date: March 6, 2014	
Bid T	itle: REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR	VEHICLE ACCIDENT MANAGEMENT SERVICES	
	NO AWARD – REJECT ALL BIDS Justification for No Award: Bids over budget Only one bid received Other (provide detailed explanation:		
\boxtimes	RECOMMENDATION FOR INTE	NDED AWARD	
9	If straight low bid (no evaluation – i.e. constru	ction, materials):	
	Bid award amount:\$		
	Justification for the Recommendation:		
OR		mments points, etc.). Recommended Ranking:	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6
	Bid award amount: \$ Estimated \$ 112,000.00 a Justification for the Recommendation: Pursuant to the City of Gainesville Purchasing towards contract negotiations with CEI. Vend Contact was made with the vendors on bid list	Policy 41-550 and State Statute 287-057 we request to the Purchasing or is the only bidder and the past performance service with the vendor to determine the reason for lack of response. The bid holders who dicechnology.	g Manager to move r has been satisfacte I not bid were not a
I hereby forms, a	certify the recommended bidder/vendor ranked and/or minimum criteria/qualifications listed belo	No. 1 is the most responsive and responsible bidder meeting all requive w (include qualifications/requirements directly from ITB, RFP, BEB, RFQ do	rements certificatio cument):
⊠ 30	Year Experience (14 year Government)		Services
	Meavy Vehicles Govt Claims 10-15% Heavy Vehic mended by: SIGNATURE PRINTED NAM	Mary Phone: X 82	58
Title:	Fleet Operations Marage		
City Cor	mmission required: Yes City Commission No City Commission	n meeting on <u>TBD-May 15, 2014</u> (date confirmed of approved agenda item approval is not required: (Indicate Policy exemption section (i.e. S	n). Sec 7.1(c))
Vendor is	s active in the Advantage Financial System – Departm	ent is responsible to obtain appropriate documentation to activate vendor	<u>.</u>

TO TO TO THE SECOND STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE SECOND STATE OF THE

__CITY OF__ GAINESVILLE

FINANCIAL SERVICÉS PROCEDURES MANUAL

CHAPTER:

41-000 Purchasing

EFFECTIVE DATE:

January 1, 2007 (revised 05/07/2013)

Note:

If less than two responsive bids, proposals, or replies for commodity or contractual services purchases are received, the City may negotiate on the best terms and conditions. The Department shall document the reasons that such action is in the best interest of the City in lieu of resoliciting competitive sealed bids, proposals, or replies and shall forward to the Purchasing Manager the collected documentation with a written request to negotiate. The Purchasing Manager or designee shall make a determination to whether or not resoliciting competitive sealed bids, proposals, or replies are warranted. (Reference State Statute 287.057(6)).

41-550 <u>NO BIDS</u>

On occasion, the Invitation to Bid will receive no responses. In those cases, proceed as follows:

- Contact all those vendors on bid list to determine reason for lack of response.
- Contact the Ordering Department to determine if rebid is desired, using information obtained from vendor survey.

Note:

If less than two responsive bids, proposals, or replies for commodity or contractual services purchases are received, the City may negotiate on the best terms and conditions. The Department shall document the reasons that such action is in the best interest of the City in lieu of resoliciting competitive sealed bids, proposals, or replies and shall forward to the Purchasing Manager the collected documentation with a written request to negotiate. The Purchasing Manager or designee shall make a determination to whether or not resoliciting competitive sealed bids, proposals, or replies are warranted. (Reference State Statute 287.057(6)).

If decision is made to rebid:

- · Review specifications and bid list.
- Revise bid documents where appropriate.
- · Determine date of desired bid opening.

• Initiate the bidding process per Procedures 41-400.

Dukes, Sheryl D.

From:

Cozart, Aleta

Sent:

Monday, March 24, 2014 9:26 AM

To:

Dukes, Sheryl D.

Subject:

FW: Bid: CEI

Aleta Cozart
Purchasing Manager
200 East University Ave.
P.O. Box 490 Station 32
Gainesville, Fl. 32602
352-334-5021

From: Murry, Fredrick J.

Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 3:38 PM

To: Cozart, Aleta

Cc: Massey, William G.; Rountree, Becky L.

Subject: Re: Bid: CEI

Let's negotiate the contract with CEI.

Sent from my iPad

On Mar 20, 2014, at 8:40 AM, "Cozart, Aleta" < cozarta@cityofgainesville.org > wrote:

Will and Fred: We need to let CEI know our intentions. We need to send them an intended award, rejection or notice to negotiate. Please advise.

Aleta Cozart
Purchasing Manager
200 East University Ave.
P.O. Box 490 Station 32
Gainesville, Fl. 32602
352-334-5021

From: Cozart, Aleta

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 2:25 PM **To:** Murry, Fredrick J.; Massey, William G.

Cc: Benton, Mark S. Subject: FW: Bid: CEI

Fred and Will: Please find below your questions and answers. You can negotiate your contract. Please advise of your intentions. Aleta

From: Cozart, Aleta [mailto:cozarta@cityofgainesville.org]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 8:10 AM

Gainesville

Gainesville

Gainesville

Gainesville

To: Kathi Croze Subject: RE: Bid

Kathi: We have a couple more questions. Time is of the essence, apologize for all the questions. Please expedite responses for below.

What process did CEI follow for selection of the small vehicle fleet vendors

CEI always follows the same qualifying process for every body shop repair vendor on our network as outlined in the RFP response on page 18.

When a new fleet customer is established we look to see what network shops we have in the area to support the customer.

In Gainesville we already had a very good shop with a proven track record that has been on the network since 2001 and does quality work so there was no need to solicit additional shops. Most corporate fleets have an accident rate of 20+%. Cities have an average accident rate of 5 – 10%. City of Gainesville's rate is 3% so there isn't the kind of activity that would justify the use of multiple body shops.

CEI's model is to drive more volume to a fewer number of repair shops in order to get the process consistency, service, leverage, quality and control that the client deserves. CEI's unique offering of in house licensed appraisers ensure that the estimates are written correctly and to proper industry standard eliminating the need for multiple estimates that sacrifice an employee's valuable time.

At the request of the City, Gator Paint and Body was added as an authorized shop and was set up for the City use only. On 5-4-2005 they received their first claim from CEI and the City was not happy with the repairs.

How many were local that responded to the small vehicle fleet vendors piece

If additional shops are necessary we first go to the customer for their recommendations. They generally have history with the shops in the area. In the City's case they were already using University Collision which is our primary shop in the Gainesville area so there was no need to solicit other shops. However, as indicated above, we did add Gator paint and body only because the city requested they be added.

CEI does have additional shops available if the City would like to use them or if the volume warrants additional coverage at any point.

Here are all the body shops within the City that are on CEI's network:

Dave Barbers 310 NW 6 St.

Gerber Collision & Glass (NW 6 St.) 4319 NW 6 St.

Maaco Collision Repair and Auto Painting 3222 N. Main St.

University Collision Center 2601 NW 74th Place

Does CEI have any other customers in our county

At this time CEI does not have any other direct government customers in the county.

Gainesville was the first government customer in Florida to realize the efficacy of a program such as ours.

However, we have multiple corporate and government customers who have drivers throughout the USA and Canada. Our network of body shops support all those customers.

Aleta Cozart
Purchasing Manager
200 East University Ave.
P.O. Box 490 Station 32
Gainesville, Fl. 32602
352-334-5021

From: Kathi Croze [mailto:Kathi.Croze@ceinetwork.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 1:44 PM

To: Cozart, Aleta

Cc: Boynton, Frances B.; Chrissi Johnson

Subject: RE: Bid

Aleta,

Please see responses below.

Kathi Croze

National Manager, Government Fleet Market

The CEI Group, Inc.

- P) 918-296-3298
- C) 215-208-0847
- E) kathi.croze@ceinetwork.com

Cost Evaluation Worksheet

- 1). Describe item 13, Salvage Value Report (\$25.00). Also, how does this differ from salvage/remarketing costs or vehicle disposal fees?
 - As an <u>alternative</u> to CEI managing the vehicle salvage/disposal CEI will provide a "Salvage Value Report"
 - The Salvage Value Report will reflect the market value of the damaged vehicle as determined by CEI's resources
 - Account instructions will identify when this service is to be utilized

Reply to RFP

- 1). Item 3c, page 15, refers to towing services only in regards to the question of having the contractor (repair facility) using the City's "preferred vendor" for those services. I think that needs to be clarified to CEI so they can respond accordingly.
 - CEI's usual process is to have a vehicle towed directly from the scene of the accident to
 the body shop. Normally, CEI's claims process of obtaining repair estimates and pushing
 them to the customer via our web-based claims system, along with the photos, is suffice
 for a determination on whether to repair or not repair. However, the City wants to see
 the vehicle first before determining the disposition of it.
 - As the rules stand now, the City contacts CEI when the vehicle is at the fleet facility and ready to go to the body shop.

- CEI contacts our body shop who then goes to the vehicle location and tows the vehicle to the repair facility if it is not drivable
- We have never been made aware that there was a "preferred tow vendor"
- Using a separate tow vendor could mean an additional invoice the city needs to process
- How a non-drivable vehicle gets from the accident scene to the city garage is not known to CEI
- While not directly related to towing, indirectly this is: if the City had the driver contact
 CEI directly from the scene of the accident we could:
 - o Take the loss report, which we do not get on a regular basis now and, requires extra work for the fleet admin assistant
 - o Have the vehicle towed to the repair facility, driven to the repair facility or direct the driver to take the vehicle to the City garage
 - o This would save lots of time and possibly a second tow bill
- 2). Item 10a, page 32, states unlimited web-based training at no cost to the City. Clarify training costs for on-line training, hands on training, DriverCare web-based training and safety newsletter.
 - Unlimited web-based training is included in the DriverCare™Risk Manager (DCRM)
 program, which is a subscription program based on the number of drivers. The cost is a
 per driver, per month fee.
 - The DCRM program helps to mitigate collisions by monitoring a driver's activities through motor vehicle record checks (MVR's) and claims activity. The system can be designed to automatically push driver training to the driver on certain events. The customer can pre-determine which training module is pushed after specific events
 - With DCRM CEI will help the city build a safety policy if none exists as part of the program
 - CEI is partnered with Driving Dynamics for behind-the-wheel/hands-on training as that is their specialty. "Dedicated classes" is when they go to the customers' location and train. "Open Enrollment" is where anyone can attend at their scheduled class and location.
 - Pricing for our safety service is below:

\$1.95 per driver per month		
 Unlimited web-based training Driver risk ranking Push notification when driver moves to higher risk level E-newsletter 		
\$0.90 per driver per quarter		
\$5.00 per module per driver		
\$10.00 per module per driver		
\$3.50 per module per driver		
\$3.45 per record plus, State Fee		
See attachment		