How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

All Positions sorted chronologically

As of July 29, 2014, 5:52 AM



As with any public comment process, participation in engageGNV is voluntary. The positions in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

#### Introduction

The City of Gainesville City Commission is asking residents for input concerning the rebuilding of SE 4th Street from Depot Avenue to SE Williston Road. The City Commission is looking for input from the general public with regard to the impact of the right-of-way width on adjoining properties, the costs associated with each option, and the impact to the safety and welfare of the public. Pending a decision from the City Commission, rebuilding would take place in Summer of 2015. Please scroll down to select your preferred option and then let us know why you selected that alternative, what modes of transportation you use on SE 4th Street, and any other comments you would like to provide us with.

A public workshop will be held to present and discuss the various options, too:

Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2014

Time: 5:00 PM - 7:00 PM

Location: Historic Depot Building, east of Main Street

You can provide feedback at both the public workshop, City Commission Meeting, and this forum. Staff will share the results from the workshop and this forum at the City Commission Meeting. This topic has been rescheduled to the August 21, 2014 City Commission Meeting.

#### **Details**

SE 4th Street from Williston Road to Depot Avenue is an urban collector roadway with heavy industrial type traffic that traverses both industrial and residential land uses. The pavement condition is rated between 44 and 79 PCI and is 17' wide. From 2013 data, the average daily traffic for the northern portion is 3,212 with 17% heavy traffic and the southern portion is 2,403 with 20% heavy traffic. There is a 4' sidewalk along the east side that is in poor condition. This project is currently fully funded through the City's adopted Capital Improvement Plan using Local Option Gas Tax. There are two proposed stormwater management facilities located on either side of the creek as well as a reconstructed creek crossing. Also, the proposed improvements currently include a right-turn lane at SE Williston Road.

The original option proposed two 12' vehicular lanes, two 4' on-street bike lanes, and two 6' sidewalks. The City Commission requested staff to evaluate several different cross sections. The request was to evaluate right-of-way impacts to adjoining properties, cost benefits, and the effect to the safety and welfare of the general public. Staff was also directed to obtain input from the general public with regard to the roadway cross section.

Please review the seven different cross sections and select your preference for SE 4th Street. Staff will provide the City Commission with the outcome of this forum and will seek direction on which roadway cross section to utilize for SE 4th Street.

#### Additional Documents

Right of Way Impact Image (Version A) Right of Way Impact Image (Version B)

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

42 ft Right of Way Boundary

48 ft Right of Way Boundary


50 ft Right of Way Boundary

52 ft Right of Way Boundary

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

As of July 29, 2014, 5:52 AM, this forum had:

Attendees: 313
All Positions: 140
Hours of Public Comment: 7.0



As with any public comment process, participation in engageGNV is voluntary. The positions in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	July	16, 2014	,7:58 AM
Joseph Floyd inside Gainesville (on forum) Option 4	July	5, 2014	, 4:03 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	July	2, 2014	, 2:31 PM
Two bike lanes is very important. The raised option seems safest because it allows for cyclist and car. One path for bikers and walkers would also be safe, but the disadvanta take up the entire path, not allowing for steady bike traffic, thus this seems the best of also still a less expensive option than some.	age is th	nat walke	rs often
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	June	18, 2014	, 1:41 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 3	June	18, 2014	, 1:40 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	June	18, 2014	, 1:38 PM
My choices are Option #1 and #4. I would like for the sidewalks to be on both sides of	the roa	idway.	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4 But less one sidewalk, preferably by removing the sidewalk on the west side.	June	18, 2014	, 1:37 PM

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 18, 2014, 1:36 PM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 6

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 18, 2014, 1:36 PM

Option 3

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 18, 2014, 1:35 PM

Option 4

Name not shown (unverified)

June 18, 2014, 12:16 AM

Option 7

The roadway should be as narrow as possible and the sidewalks/bikeways multi-use; the future will hopefully have smaller cars and more alternate forms of transportation. IN ADDITION, the city MUST preserve the heritages oaks and, in fact, should preserve as many oaks as possible and create greenways wherever possible.

Ronnie Lewis outside Gainesville (on forum)

June 10, 2014, 11:02 PM

Option 4

it seems better for the road and pedestrians

William Nagel inside Gainesville (on forum)

June 9, 2014, 1:10 PM

Option 3

I believe two 5' sidewalks provide plenty of room for foot traffic. The raised bicycle lanes in option 4 seem hazardous if theres need to swerve. A multi-use path would also be hazardous, even if separation lines were painted. I live on 4th so I bike and drive down 4th street everyday and considering the high speeds I bike as well as most cyclists who use 4th to get to the trail, mixing cyclists with significantly slower foot traffic can only lead to accidents. Bike lanes are a must.

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 9, 2014, 11:24 AM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 1

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 8, 2014, 11:34 PM

Option 4

good option

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 7, 2014, 2:59 PM

Option 6

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 5, 2014, 5:00 PM

Option 4

Option 4

Thanks for making it possible to chime in on the discussion! I bike and drive on this stretch of road and see a fair number of cyclists heading to the Connector bike path. In my experience, people will walk on both sides of the street, so option 7 is not ideal (and maybe also 6). I like separate lanes for bikes, pedestrians, and cars in Holland and it would be nice to try that here -- so I think that makes option 4 preferred. Cars wander into bike lanes no matter how wide the roadway is (thanks to cell phones and other distractions), so it would be nice to get away from lanes on the roadway (options 1, 2, 3, 5).

Name not shown (on forum)

June 5, 2014, 1:21 PM

Option 6

I like the canopied road, I like that it is narrow to keep traffic slow. I want the least impact to the creek and the feel of the road. Maybe some covered bus stops would be nice with money saved. Like they have in the NW section of town and around UF.

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 5, 2014, 12:45 PM

Option 4

Option 4

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Thanks for making it possible to chime in on the discussion! I bike and drive on this stretch of road and see a fair number of cyclists heading to the Connector bike path. In my experience, people will walk on both sides of the street, so option 7 is not ideal (and maybe also 6). I like separate lanes for bikes, pedestrians, and cars in Holland and it would be nice to try that here -- so I think that makes option 4 preferred. Cars wander into bike lanes no matter how wide the roadway is (thanks to cell phones and other distractions), so it would be nice to get away from lanes on the roadway (options 1, 2, 3, 5).

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 5, 2014, 12:17 PM

Option 4

Option 4

Thanks for making it possible to chime in on the discussion! I bike and drive on this stretch of road and see a fair number of cyclists heading to the Connector bike path. In my experience, people will walk on both sides of the street, so option 7 is not ideal (and maybe also 6). I like separate lanes for bikes, pedestrians, and cars in Holland and it would be nice to try that here -- so I think that makes option 4 preferred. Cars wander into bike lanes no matter how wide the roadway is (thanks to cell phones and other distractions), so it would be nice to get away from lanes on the roadway (options 1, 2, 3, 5).

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 5, 2014, 12:13 PM

Option 4

Option 4

Thanks for making it possible to chime in on the discussion! I bike and drive on this stretch of road and see a fair number of cyclists heading to the Connector bike path. In my experience, people will walk on both sides of the street, so option 7 is not ideal (and maybe also 6). I like separate lanes for bikes, pedestrians, and cars in Holland and it would be nice to try that here -- so I think that makes option 4 preferred. Cars wander into bike lanes no matter how wide the roadway is (thanks to cell phones and other distractions), so it would be nice to get away from lanes on the roadway (options 1, 2, 3, 5).

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 5, 2014, 12:12 PM

Option 4

Option 4

Thanks for making it possible to chime in on the discussion! I bike and drive on this stretch of road and see a fair number of cyclists heading to the Connector bike path. In my experience, people will walk on both sides of

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

the street, so option 7 is not ideal (and maybe also 6). I like separate lanes for bikes, pedestrians, and cars in Holland and it would be nice to try that here -- so I think that makes option 4 preferred. Cars wander into bike lanes no matter how wide the roadway is (thanks to cell phones and other distractions), so it would be nice to get away from lanes on the roadway (options 1, 2, 3, 5).

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 5, 2014, 12:10 PM

Option 4

Thanks for making it possible to chime in on the discussion! I bike and drive on this stretch of road and see a fair number of cyclists heading to the Connector bike path. In my experience, people will walk on both sides of the street, so option 7 is not ideal (and maybe also 6). I like separate lanes for bikes, pedestrians, and cars in Holland and it would be nice to try that here -- so I think that makes option 4 preferred. Cars wander into bike lanes no matter how wide the roadway is (thanks to cell phones and other distractions), so it would be nice to get away from lanes on the roadway (options 1, 2, 3, 5).

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 5, 2014, 12:09 PM

Option 4

Thanks for making it possible to chime in on the discussion! I bike and drive on this stretch of road and see a fair number of cyclists heading to the Connector bike path. In my experience, people will walk on both sides of the street, so option 7 is not ideal (and maybe also 6). I like separate lanes for bikes, pedestrians, and cars in Holland and it would be nice to try that here -- so I think that makes option 4 preferred. Cars wander into bike lanes no matter how wide the roadway is (thanks to cell phones and other distractions), so it would be nice to get away from lanes on the roadway (options 1, 2, 3, 5).

Peter Theoktisto inside Gainesville (on forum)

June 5, 2014, 9:32 AM

Option 4

I do not think bicycles should ever be in a road way like this; to many big vehicles (buses, semis, fire rescue, etc) so I would prefer to see them as part of a sidewalk. However, I see the multiple levels as dangerous if a bike has to swerve either way, off a curb or into a curb. Why can't there be two 10' mulituse side walks, no bike lanes. Maybe stripe and mark it the way the road be would striped and marked? Also, the live oak trees on the West side South of the creek should be preserved, make the sidewalk go around them. It would look nice, break up an otherwise boring straight line, and preserve some really nice trees. Might make barganing with the neighbors easier.

Sean McDermott outside Gainesville (on forum)

June 4, 2014, 10:10 AM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

#### Option 4

As a daily bike commuter, I know that research shows getting hit from behind by a car is the most common and deadly bike accident. But the second most dangerous situation for bikers is riding on a sidewalk with pedestrians/driveways, etc. The raised bike lane with a separation from both cars and pedestrians is best. But the large shared bike/pedestrian path(maybe with a line down the middle to separate) is another great option.

Fyi, a link to an article about recent bike safety research titled ""Protected bike lanes safer, better," says science. "Duh," says rest of us.":

http://grist.org/list/protected-bike-lanes-safer-better-says-science-duh-says-rest-of-us/?utm\_source=newsletter&utm\_medium=email&utm\_term=Living%2520June%252012&utm\_campaign=living

P.S., City employees are the best!!

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 4, 2014, 9:07 AM

Option 6

A sidewalk on one side is adequate.

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 4, 2014, 8:23 AM

Option 4

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

June 3, 2014, 9:14 PM

Option 4

i like the curb idea

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 3, 2014, 4:03 PM

Option 4

I prefer Option #4 as it provided a protected bike lane, and Option #6 as the second best.

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 3, 2014, 3:59 PM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 5

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 3, 2014, 3:53 PM

Option 4

I would not want to ride in an on street bike lane with any car or truck traffic. With bike lane on each side perhaps the bikers will ride in the right direction.

Christopher Miller inside Gainesville (on forum)

June 2, 2014, 3:25 PM

Option 6

Name not shown inside Gainesville (unverified)

June 2, 2014, 2:50 PM

Option 6

This is the most reasonable approach offered in the materials. It is cost efficient and increases safety by segregating the very different transportation types (bicycles and motor vehicles). This design option demonstrates that engineers are thinking about how to do more with less resources, which is good for the environment and good for tax payers. Also, it shows that they are creating plans which deal with the reality rather than the fantasy of mixed vehicles using the say roadway. I wish the 8th Ave designs showed the same type of understanding.

Name not shown (on forum)

June 2, 2014, 12:46 PM

Option 4

Was leaning towards option #6. I really enjoy the wide multi use lanes, but feel they can cause congestion/traffic/intersection concerns. For Example: SW Archer and SW 16th has sidewalks, multiuse path, and some of the highest foot traffic in the city. I have seen numerous accidents of bicyclists leaving the multiuse lane to enter the intersection in the same space as the pedestrians on the sidewalk. Bicycle traffic should stay closer to the road on dedicated bike paths. Keep the wide multi use for parks or Biking only paths.

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 2, 2014, 12:45 PM

Option 7

This option maintains a similar configuration to what is currently in place. Currently, bike lanes alongside auto

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

traffic is the prominent configuration throughout Gainesville. The pedestrian traffic is currently separate from the auto traffic along these segments. I believe this reconfiguration should have the smallest price tag?..

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 2, 2014, 12:08 PM

Option 6

This seems the best as well as easier and more cost efficient to keep maintained in the long run as my guess. It would be nice to see estimated cost for maintenance or upkeep to give the bigger picture of each option.

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 2, 2014, 12:06 PM

Option 6

Least expensive option! Also, since there is industrial/heavy truck traffic on the street, it doesn't seem like a good idea to have in-street bike facilities.

Gwendolyn Jones (on forum)

June 2, 2014, 11:56 AM

Option 1

Cost.

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 2, 2014, 11:51 AM

Option 1

Joshua Ney (on forum)

June 2, 2014, 11:42 AM

Option 4

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 1, 2014, 9:48 PM

Option 6

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 1, 2014, 12:31 PM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

#### Option 6

This is an area in transition which will include both heavy industrial traffic and families going to and from Depot Park. The separate multi-use path seems like the best way to allow heavy traffic to continue to use the roadway while also providing a safe and comfortable means for families to walk and bike to Depot Park.

Name not available (unclaimed)

June 1, 2014, 7:54 AM

Option 3

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 31, 2014, 11:36 PM

Option 6

Multi-use paths seem to be the most family-friendly in terms of making children and their parents feel comfortable with the kids riding their bikes. Some grass, trees, or other plant cover provides separation from motor traffic and a smooth (asphalt) surface unbroken by seams, gutters, and driveways is more pleasant for all non-motorized vehicles, such as roller blades and skateboards, not just cyclists.

The only problem with this design is that it may require motorists entering the roadway to stop twice, once for the multi-use path and once before entering the roadway. On-street bike lanes could be better for this reason but probably most children and their parents feel less comfortable using those than a full lane dedicated to non-motorized traffic separated from motor-traffic by some unpaved green space.

On the other hand, if multi-use paths are going to run through Depot Park and connect to the Gainesville-Hawthorne trail, it might be better to install a road configuration that matches with the roundabout at the intersection of 4th and Depot. That way, cyclists riding on Depot will be able to exit the roundabout within the same type of bike lane they were using on Depot ave.

Raised bike lanes separated from motor-vehicle lanes only by a curb are the least safe option unless they are sufficiently wide. Children and inexperienced riders using such lanes could either jump the curb accidentally and fall while trying to swerve back out of the road in a panic or they could run into one of the drains that aren't seamlessly integrated under the smooth surface of the bike lane.

Another issue for this road is that large trucks and buses turn on and off of it a lot. On-road bike lanes make it easier for these large/long vehicle to turn onto and off-of the road as it provides some extra room for wider turning.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 31, 2014, 11:26 PM

Option 3

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 29, 2014, 4:37 PM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 6

this should be what you build

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 29, 2014, 11:39 AM

Option 6

As a bike commuter, my preferred place to ride is on the multi-use paths. I feel it is much safer than a bike lane, even with a 2' separation. The wide width of the path allows me to easily pass pedestrians and slower moving cyclists, which is not as easy on a traditional sidewalk. I do not ride this stretch of road, but do ride down Depot past the traffic circle at 4th and I rarely see any pedestrian or cyclist activity going down 4th. With the seemingly low pedestrian/bike traffic, the benefits of the path that I mentioned, and the fact that it is the least expensive option, I believe it is the best option.

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 28, 2014, 4:41 PM

Option 6

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 28, 2014, 2:03 PM

Option 5

this gives the bicycle life saving room from cars and does not put them on sidewalks with walkers. also green lanes in intersection for bicyclist

#### 1 Attachment

https://pd-oth.s3.amazonaws.com/production/uploads/attachments/12bry1hrb20w.2ql/images.jpg (7.61 KB)

Candace Schwartz outside Gainesville (on forum)

May 28, 2014, 10:08 AM

Option 5

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 28, 2014, 4:00 AM

Option 4

Ed Dunne inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 27, 2014, 10:12 PM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 4	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	May 27, 2014, 9:48 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 27, 2014, 9:22 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 3	May 27, 2014, 8:27 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 27, 2014, 7:54 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 27, 2014, 7:39 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 6	May 27, 2014, 7:26 PM
Lowest cost and bike and pedestrian separation	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 27, 2014, 6:49 PM
The corner at 7th and 4thgood luck with that	
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 27, 2014, 6:44 PM

Page 15 of 27

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 6	3
----------	---

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 27, 2014, 6:08 PM

Option 4

It provides a greater safety to both pedestrians and cyclists, by providing a clear spacial distinction for all three kinds of traffic in both directions, and it is one of the cheaper options.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 6:01 PM

Option 1

basic, simple, multi-modal.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 5:24 PM

Option 5

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 5:23 PM

Option 4

It is not okay to continue to just paint bike lanes onto roads. It's not best practice and would be a waste of improvement dollars. Raised or with a gap is the only way to go if we are actually moving forward.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 4:38 PM

Option 4

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 4:38 PM

Option 4

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 4:34 PM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 6	3
----------	---

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 27, 2014, 4:04 PM

Option 5

Option 5 would be the safest design for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicycles. Better to spend a littler more money and protect lives.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 3:54 PM

Option 6

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 27, 2014, 3:48 PM

Option 4

David Miller inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 27, 2014, 3:37 PM

Option 6

To me this looks like the most viable option

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 2:16 PM

Option 6

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 2:10 PM

Option 6

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 27, 2014, 1:45 PM

Option 6

Though cycle tracks seem nice, this doesn't appear to be a urban bikeway area that will have heavy commuter

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

traffic of people biking at similar speed. This area seems more likely to have a mix of much slower cyclists (homeless folks biking to where they live, neighborhood transportation traffic on small bikes) and higher speed folks looking to connect to Hawthorne Trail from the downtown area for sport or exercise. A multi-use trail seems to fit the need better.

Name not available (unclaimed)	May 27, 2014, 1:31 PM
Option 2	
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 27, 2014, 1:03 PM
Option 4	
I bicycle fairly often and would like to see a much greater percenta At this point in the adoption of bicycling in Gainesville, providing ph critical. I favor option 4 because it offers raised bike lanes going in a little more physical protection to bicyclists.	nysical separation between cars and bikes is
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 27, 2014, 12:17 PM
Option 4	
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 27, 2014, 9:18 AM
Option 1	
This is a test	
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 27, 2014, 8:25 AM
Option 4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
This is a test	
Christopher Fillie inside Gainesville (on forum)	May 27, 2014, 12:06 AM
Option 6	

http://peakdemocracy.com/1899

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

I am a proponent of separate/dedicated bike paths along normal motorways, and the use of traffic calming mixed modal streets in the urban core. Inner city/ tight small roads with a mix of bikes and pedestrians put drivers on their toes and removes vehicular "entitlement". Bike lanes set up in this entitlement context endanger the smaller less-protected bikers relegated to a small strip along the roadside. As SE 4th St is a semi-industrial through-street with little foot traffic, and there are numerous bike paths already converging in the area, a bike path seems more safe and appropriate.

Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	May 26, 2014, 8:24 PM
My preference would be a bike path separated by a curb.	
Geoffrey Mason (on forum) Option 4	May 26, 2014, 7:48 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 1	May 26, 2014, 7:21 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 25, 2014, 1:07 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 6	May 25, 2014, 11:52 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 6	May 25, 2014, 9:50 AM
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 25, 2014, 9:48 AM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 6	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 6	May 25, 2014, 9:37 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	May 25, 2014, 9:09 AM
I think given the propencity of cyclists in our county, especially those like us we Hawthorne Trail, a raised bike lane with some added protection from us is necessary.	
Stacey Jones (on forum) Option 4	May 25, 2014, 8:45 AM
Having the physical barrier can be a great traffic deterrent that encourages mecommuters not comfortable with riding in traffic while not hindering the speed regular users.	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 3	May 24, 2014, 3:36 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 24, 2014, 11:04 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 24, 2014, 10:59 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 6	May 24, 2014, 10:33 AM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

I like the wide multiuse option, particularly since there is a sidewalk as well.	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 24, 2014, 9:28 AM
This version most closely matches the trail pattern that it links, from the Depot Par	rk to the GVL-HAW Trail
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 7	May 24, 2014, 8:42 AM
Simple and effective.	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 23, 2014, 10:13 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 23, 2014, 9:11 PM
Option 5 offers the most safety to all user types	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 23, 2014, 9:09 PM
Option 5 offers the most safety to all types of users.	
Roger Pierce inside Gainesville (on forum) Option 4	May 23, 2014, 7:51 PM
Option 6 is very dangerous as it would create conflicts at every intersection.	
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 23, 2014, 2:55 PM

All Positions sorted chronologically As of July 29, 2014, 5:52 AM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option 6	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 6	May 23, 2014, 1:46 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 6	May 23, 2014, 1:46 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	May 23, 2014, 12:47 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	May 23, 2014, 12:22 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 2	May 23, 2014, 12:15 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 3	May 23, 2014, 12:04 PM
My true priority would be for you to fix existing roads. NW 8 Avenue is terrible.	
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 5	May 23, 2014, 11:33 AM
Ally Gill inside Gainesville (on forum) Option 5	May 23, 2014, 11:26 AM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

I am a cycle-commuter and recreational cyclist who rides on our streets, where bicycles belong. I also own and drive a car. Therefore, I am likely to both drive on and cycle on this road in the future. I like the many bike lane options that merely utilize paint and don't include an actual concrete barrier, but prefer Option #5. I don't think that Option #4, with its raised cycle track, is ideal, since the low concrete curb that segregates bike traffic from automotive traffic doesn't afford any real safety advantage to cyclists, but rather presents a navigational hazard to a cyclist who may need to avoid debris or obstacles, pass a slower cyclist, or enter the traffic lane in preparation for making a turn. Such concrete barriers also perpetuate the myth that cyclists cannot safely use roads where cars and other vehicles are present. Option #6 is absolutely the worst of all, since it mixes cyclists with pedestrians, joggers, wheelchairs, skateboards, etc. and directs all cyclists to one side of the street, thereby forcing 50% of cyclists to ride against traffic flow. Riding against the flow of traffic exposes a cyclist to much greater conflict at intersections, driveways, and when making turns. "Wrong-way" cycling results in 3.6 times as much risk of an accident to a cyclist than riding with the flow of traffic.

http://www.bicyclinglife.com/Library/riskfactors.htm. Driveways are particularly hazardous for a "wrong-way" cyclist, since the cyclist crosses a motorist's path from an unexpected direction. Imagine how many more, and how potentially more dangerous, even fatal, such driveway conflicts might be in a somewhat industrial area of town such as this. The wrong-way cyclist-driveway conflict situation represents a safety issue that must be taken into consideration. Directing inexperienced cyclists into a danger zone by virtue of poor road design is a very bad idea. Standard bike lanes in both directions, with separate sidewalks for pedestrians, would be a safer option. Thanks for inviting citizen comment.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 23, 2014, 11:21 AM

Option 6

Name not shown outside Gainesville (on forum)

May 23, 2014, 10:53 AM

Option 5

I like both option 1 and option 5. I like the 12' wide motor vehicle lane in option 1 however I think the bike lane separator in option 5 is a very needed part of the system.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 23, 2014, 10:53 AM

Option 3

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 23, 2014, 10:52 AM

Option 5

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

I drive a car, commute by bike, and also walk so I have experience with all three modes of transportation. The "mixed use" path combining cyclists and walkers/joggers is the worst possible. Typically walkers and joggers are not paying attention to their surroundings and may be walking a dog with a long leash. This does not combine well with a cyclist going over 15mph. The 2 foot separation with raised bumps of some sort between bike lane and car lane is important so drivers feel and hear it when they drift toward the bike lane as they update their facebook status. Sadly, that's not a joke at all.

Name not available (unclaimed)	May 23, 2014, 10:39 AM
Option 5	
Several options are decent, but multi-use paths don't work in Gainesv bike/pedestrian collisions.	rille, and would lead to a lot of
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 23, 2014, 10:20 AM
Option 5	
This is the option that seems the safest for cyclists.	
Sami Rifai (unverified)	May 23, 2014, 10:13 AM
Option 4	
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 23, 2014, 10:08 AM
Option 7	
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 23, 2014, 10:06 AM
Option 7	
Name not available (unclaimed)	May 23, 2014, 10:01 AM
Option 3	

All Positions sorted chronologically As of July 29, 2014, 5:52 AM

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 23, 2014, 9:21 AM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Option	5
--------	---

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 7:39 PM

Option 6

A single multi-use track & sidewalk should handle the non-vehicle use along this section of road. For the past 9 years I have traveled this section of road about 1x/wk, i can only remember a sole bicyclist and I don't remember any pedestrians. A wider track would also provide a clearer sight line, which could reduce the loiterers that are abundant on this road.

Name not available (unclaime	d)
------------------------------	----

May 22, 2014, 6:37 PM

Option 5

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 5:30 PM

Option 5

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 5:28 PM

Option 3

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 5:10 PM

Option 4

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 4:05 PM

Option 5

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 1:22 PM

Page 25 of 27

Option 5

I especially like the 2-foot buffer between auto and bike lanes. 11 foot lane width is appropriate for this lower-

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

speed street.

Ed Gardner outside Gainesville (on forum)

May 22, 2014, 12:42 PM

Option 6

Minimal cost and impact on adjacent areas. Separation of bike/ped from car traffic. No "edge" of different height across 10' pathway; wider path for bikes to pass each other when no pedestrians around, potential lower maintenance cost.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 12:40 PM

Option 5

In street buffered bike lanes will be the safest option for cyclists and pedestrians. The extra expense is minimal.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 12:13 PM

Option 5

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 22, 2014, 12:11 PM

Option 4

Raised bicycle lane would be awesome and encourage cyclists of all stripes, and a benefit to the general community.

Name not available (unclaimed)

May 22, 2014, 11:58 AM

Option 5

I use this route frequently to access the GHT from Downtown. This configuration allows bikes and peds to safely traverse the area along with the regular bus and truck traffic that makes it a tight fit today.

Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum)

May 22, 2014, 11:42 AM

How should the City of Gainesville rebuild SE 4th Street?

Ewen Thomson inside Gainesville (on forum) Option 5	May 22, 2014, 11:13 AM
This is a heavily used corridor for heavy vehicles, pedestrians and bicycles separating all three modes by as much spacing as possible.	. The safest configuration involves
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	May 22, 2014, 11:08 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 4	May 22, 2014, 11:05 AM
Name not shown inside Gainesville (on forum) Option 4	May 22, 2014, 10:58 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) Option 3	May 22, 2014, 10:57 AM

Name not available (unclaimed)

Option 6

May 21, 2014, 4:18 PM