Legislative # 140023A

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

ORDINANCE NO. 140023

An ordinance of the City of Gainesville, Florida, amending the Land Development Code regarding concurrency and transportation mobility for consistency with the Comprehensive Plan; by amending definitions in Section 30-23; by amending the title of Article III; by amending concurrency management provisions in Article III. Division 2; by repealing and deleting in its entirety Article III, Division 3 regarding proportionate fair-share; by repealing and deleting in its entirety Article III, Division 4 regarding the State Mandated Transportation Concurrency Exception Area (TCEA); by creating a new Article III, Division 3 regarding the Transportation Mobility Program (TMP); by amending excess parking requirements in Section 30-333; by amending provisions in Appendix A, Section 4 regarding the Special Area Plan for Traditional City Minimum Development Standards; by amending provisions in Appendix A, Section 5 regarding the Special Area Plan for Central Corridors Minimum Development Standards; providing directions to the codifier; providing a severability clause; providing a repealing clause; and providing an immediate effective date.

WHEREAS, on August 15, 2013, the City Commission of the City of Gainesville adopted Ordinance No. 120370, which amended the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan pursuant to the periodic evaluation and appraisal process set forth in Section 163.3191, Florida Statutes, to comply with changes to Florida Statutes, address changes in local conditions, correct internal inconsistencies, and provide clarification to Comprehensive Plan objectives and policies; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan amendments in Ordinance No. 120370, among other things, rescinded transportation concurrency by deleting the Concurrency Management Element and the Transportation Concurrency Exception Area (TCEA), and implemented an alternative system called the Transportation Mobility Program (TMP); and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan amendments in Ordinance No. 120370 became effective on October 12, 2013; and

1	WHEREAS, notice was given as required by law that the text of the Land Development
2	Code of the City of Gainesville, Florida, be amended; and
3	WHEREAS, the amendments to the text of the Land Development Code as proposed
4	herein are intended to bring the Land Development Code into consistency with the
5	Comprehensive Plan; and
6	WHEREAS, on April 24, 2014, a public hearing was held by the City Plan Board, which
7	acts as the local planning agency pursuant to Section 163.3174, Florida Statutes, where it
8	recommended to the City Commission approval of the proposed amendments to the Land
9	Development Code; and
10	WHEREAS, at least ten (10) days' notice has been given once by publication in a
11	newspaper of general circulation notifying the public of this proposed ordinance and of public
12	hearings in the City Hall Auditorium located on the first floor of City Hall, in the City of
13	Gainesville; and
14	WHEREAS, public hearings were held pursuant to the notice described above at which
15	hearings the parties in interest and all others had an opportunity to be and were, in fact, heard.
16	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE
17	CITY OF GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA:
18	Section 1. Section 30-23 of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is amended
19	as follows. Except as amended herein, the remainder of Section 30-23 remains in full force in
20	effect.
21	Sec. 30-23. Definitions.

- 1 Capital improvements element means the eCapital iImprovements eElement of the 1991-2001
- 2 Comprehensive Plan as it may be amended from time to time.
- 3 Comprehensive plan or comprehensive development plan means the City of Gainesville 1991-
- 4 2001 Comprehensive Plan adopted by the eCity eCommission, and all amendments thereto as
- 5 may be amended from time to time.
- 6 De minimis development means a development of such low intensity as to have a de minimis
- 7 effect, if any, on the level of service standards adopted in the eComprehensive pPlan. De
- 8 minimis development includes one single-family dwelling or one two-family dwelling on a lot of
- 9 record as of November 13, 1991. the effective date of adoption of this chapter. It also includes
- 10 additions to or the erection of structures smaller than 200 square feet. De minimis development
- shall be eligible for a certificate of concurrency exemption. For the purposes of traffic
- 12 circulation concurrency only, developments generating fewer than 20 peak hour, peak direction
- 13 trips shall be considered de minimis and thus exempt from the traffic circulation LOS standards.

- Public facilities means roads transportation facilities (including but not limited to roads, transit,
- 16 sidewalks, and bicycle trails), sanitary sewer wastewater facilities, solid waste facilities,
- 17 stormwater management facilities, potable water facilities, mass transit water supply facilities,
- 18 public school facilities and parks and recreation facilities.

19

- Section 2. The title of Article III of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is
- 21 amended as follows.
- 22 ARTICLE III. VESTED RIGHTS REVIEW, CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT.
- 23 PROPORTIONATE FAIR-SHARE AND STATE-MANDATED TRANSPORTATION
- 24 CONCURRENCY EXCEPTION AREA TRANSPORTATION MOBILITY PROGRAM.
- Section 3. Article III, Division 2 of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is
- 26 amended as follows.
- 27 DIVISION 2. CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT.

28

29 Sec. 30-30. - Intent and purpose.

- 31 This division is intended to implement the City of Gainesville 1991-2001 Comprehensive Plan,
- 32 as required by Section 163.3202, Florida Statutes, by ensuring that development approved by the
- city shall not result in a reduction of service below the adopted level of service (LOS) standards
- 34 adopted contained in the Comprehensive Plan plan, as required by F.S. § 163.3202(2)(g). This
- 35 intent is implemented by means of a concurrency management system which that shall measure
- 36 the potential impact of development order(s) on the adopted level of service standards.
- 37 Sec. 30-31. Implementation of comprehensive plan.

In order tTo implement the provisions of the Comprehensive Plan that require public facilities
that meet the adopted LOS standards to be available concurrent with the impacts of development,
plan requiring that adequate public facilities are available to handle the impacts of development,
and maintain the city's adopted LOS standards concurrent with those impacts, the city
establishes, pursuant to this division:

- (1) A concurrency management system which that enables the city to determine whether it is adhering to the adopted LOS standards and its five5-year schedule of capital improvements; and
- (2) A regulatory program that ensures each public facility is available to serve development concurrent with the impacts of development on public facilities.; and
- (3) A Transportation Mobility Program (TMP) as an alternative to transportation concurrency. The TMP is an alternative mobility funding system that is not mobility fee-based.

Sec. 30-32. - Concurrency management system.

- (a) Generally. In order to ensure that adequate public facilities are available concurrent with the impacts of development on public facilities, the city shall establish the following monitoring practices.
- (b) Annual report on facility capacity. On an annual basis the planning and development services department shall issue a facility capacity report indicating the facility capacity status for each public facility having an adopted LOS standard. The extent of the remaining capacity available for each fiscal year shall be made available to the general public within 30 days of the start of each fiscal year. Gainesville Regional Utilities shall be responsible for determining the water supply and water and wastewater facility capacity status to be included in the facility capacity report. as Capacity status shall be determined in accordance with Gainesville Regional Utilities' water/wastewater capacity policy.
 - (1) Nothing herein shall preclude the issuance and effectiveness of amendments to the annual report if updating or correction is deemed necessary for to update or correct: errors in preparation; the impact of issued development orders or permits, as monitored by the planning and development services department if such monitoring by the Planning and Development Services Department indicates an unacceptable degradation to an adopted LOS standard; or changes in the status of capital improvement projects of the state or any local government which that changes the underlying assumption of the annual report.

(2) Under no circumstances will aAn amended annual report shall not divest those rights acquired prior to the amended annual statement report, except where a divestiture of such rights is determined elearly established by the eCity eCommission to be essential to the health, safety or welfare of the general public and otherwise in accordance with law.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

(c) Annual eCapital iImprovements eElement update. As provided in the Comprehensive Plan plan, the eCapital iImprovements eElement shall be updated annually during the budget review process. The annual report on facility capacity, prepared in conjunction with the budget review process, shall include a forecast of the capacity of existing and planned capital improvements identified in the five5-year schedule of capital improvements. The forecast shall be based on the most recently updated schedule of capital improvements for each public facility. The pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment shall also annually revise relevant population projections, update public facility inventories, update unit costs, and update revenue forecasts in cooperation with the oOffice of mManagement and bBudget, the fFinance dDepartment and Gainesville Regional Utilities (GRU). The findings of the pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment shall be fully considered in preparing any proposed amendments to the eCapital iImprovements eElement, any proposed amendments to the city annual budget for public facilities, any proposed amendments to GRU's annual budget for public

20 21 22

23

- (d) Recommendations on amendments to the e<u>C</u>apital <u>iImprovements e<u>E</u>lement, city annual budget and GRU annual budget. Based upon the <u>pP</u>lanning and <u>dD</u>evelopment <u>sS</u>ervices</u>
- 24 dDepartment report described above, the eCity mManager and gGeneral mManager of uUtilities

facilities, and the review of and issuance of development orders during the next year.

- shall annually propose to the eCity eCommission any amendments to the eCapital
- 26 iImprovements eElement, the city's annual budget and the GRU annual budget for capital
- 27 improvements made necessary by circumstances described in the report.

28 Sec. 30-33. - Mandatory and optional review of development orders.

- 29 (a) Generally. It is the policy of the city that, after the effective date of this chapter, no
- 30 development order shall be issued unless adequate public facilities are available to serve the
- 31 project, which is the subject of the development order, at adopted LOS standards. The
- 32 responsibility for providing information to show compliance with the adopted LOS standards and
- 33 meeting concurrency requirements shall be upon the applicant. In order to ensure that adequate
- 34 public facilities are available concurrent with the impacts of development on each public facility,
- 35 the following procedures shall govern the issuance of development orders.

36 37

38

(b) Exemptions. Developments may be exempt from the concurrency requirements of this chapter, either automatically based on the development meeting certain threshold criteria and

- therefore requiring no concurrency review or certification, or subject to review and issuance of a 1 certificate of concurrency exemption as further provided in this chapter. Exemption can either 2 3 be: automatically exempt which require no concurrency review or certification, based on meeting certain threshold criteria; or, subject to review which require the issuance of a certificate of 4 concurrency exemption as further provided in this chapter. 5 The following types of development fall below the threshold for any concurrency review and are 6 deemed automatically exempt: 7 Building permits for single-family dwellings (including expansions and 8 (1)remodeling) on lots of record which that existed on or before June 10, 1992. 9 10 Building permits for two-family dwellings (including expansions and remodeling) (2) 11 on lots of record which that existed on or before June 10, 1992. 12 13 (3) Developments which that meet the criteria for rapid review as provided in section 14 30-1595. 15 16 (4) Concept review of a development as specified in section 30-161(b)164. 17 18 Zoning compliance permits with no associated change of use as defined in section 19 (5) 20 30-23. 21 22 (6)Lot splits. 23 Building permits for the construction or reconstruction of single-family or two-24 (7)family dwellings which that previously have met all of the concurrency 25 requirements of this chapter. 26 27 28 (8) Changes to a new use allowed under the applicable zoning district within an existing neighborhood shopping center, regional shopping center or shopping 29 30 eenter which that do not involve adding any new square footage and/or 31 impervious surface to the existing shopping center. 32 33 All other development that is not specifically enumerated above shall be exempt from the concurrency requirements of this chapter only upon subject to review and require the issuance of 34 a certificate of concurrency exemption. 35 36
 - (c) Issuance of certificate of exemption. Upon application by an owner of for a project which that is exempt pursuant to the terms in this section, the director of the pPlanning and

Petition No. PB-13-127 TCH

37

dDevelopment sServices dDepartment Director, or his/her designee, shall issue a certificate of concurrency exemption, using the procedures described below. There shall be no fee for a certificate of concurrency exemption.

(d) Mandatory certification of preliminary development orders. Prior to the final approval of a preliminary development order, as defined in this chapter, the owner/developer of a project shall have obtained either a certificate of concurrency exemption, a certificate of preliminary concurrency or a certificate of conditional concurrency reservation. Renewals of approvals for preliminary development orders shall consider the implications for concurrency management.

(e) Mandatory certification of final development orders. Prior to the final approval of a final development order, as defined in this chapter, the owner/developer of a project must shall demonstrate that a valid and unexpired certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of final concurrency or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation exists for the project. A valid and unexpired certificate of preliminary concurrency for a A project under consideration for which is seeking approval of a final development order that has a valid and unexpired certificate of preliminary concurrency and that and which has not been amended in a fashion to change densities and/or intensities of use shall be automatically granted a certificate of final concurrency. Renewals of approvals for final development orders shall consider the implications for concurrency management.

(f) Succession. As long as the original certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation remains valid and unexpired, it shall serve all subsequent development permits for that specific project.

(g) Optional review of projects. Any person may submit an application for a concurrency determination at any time subject to the payment of the appropriate application fee as set out in Appendix A (Schedule of Fees, Rates and Charges). A concurrency determination reserves no capacity and is in no way binding on the city. Applications for a concurrency determination must contain sufficient data on the project to prepare a concurrency determination.

(h) Credit for redevelopment, reuse or demolition of existing structure. If an applicant proposes to redevelop, reuse or demolish a building or part of a building as part of the redevelopment of a property and desires to receive public facility impact credits for that portion of the property being redeveloped, reused or demolished in accordance with this section, application shall be made and approved prior to such action. Construction must commence within one year after receiving a the timeframe established in the final development order to obtain the benefits of the credit. The applicant must provide sufficient information about the previous use of the structure(s) so that credits can be calculated. Credits are not transferable to

DRAFT 1/30/15

any other site. In the case of reuse or redevelopment of a building, full credit shall be provided
for the existing square footage of the building even if a change of use occurs. However, any
additional square footage added to the building or development shall be subject to concurrency
and TMP review.

Sec. 30-34. - Administrative procedures.

(a) Issuance and validity of certificates.

(1) Time of application. An application for a certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency, concurrency determination or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation may be submitted at any time during the year.

(2) Assignability and transferability. A certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation shall run with the land as long as it is valid and unexpired, but shall not be assignable to any other project.

(3) Expiration and effect. A certificate of concurrency exemption shall be valid for one year. A certificate of preliminary concurrency and certificate of final concurrency shall be valid for the time period that the appropriate development order is valid and unexpired. If either a preliminary or final development order does not have an expiration date, the certificate of preliminary concurrency or certificate of final concurrency shall expire in one year. A certificate of conditional concurrency reservation shall be valid for the time period reserved in the certificate. A new application for a certificate of preliminary or final concurrency must be submitted if the project does not continue in good faith. A new fee will be assessed for the resubmittal of an application for a certificate of preliminary or final concurrency.

(b) Determination of exemption or capacity.

(1) Submission of application. Applications for a concurrency determination, certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency and certificate of conditional concurrency reservation shall be available in the pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment. Fees for each type of application are set forth out in Appendix A (Schedule of Fees, Rates and Charges). The application shall consist of such

1		info	rmation as needed by the <u>pPlanning</u> and <u>dD</u> evelopment <u>sServices</u>						
2		<u>dD</u> e	partment to determine concurrency status.						
3									
4	(2)	Inco	mplete applications. After receipt of an application, tThe pPlanning and						
5	S. Z.	d De	velopment sServices dDepartment shall determine whether an application it is						
6			plete within five working days after the date the application was received the						
7			of submission. If it is determined that the application is not incomplete,						
8			ten notice shall be mailed to the applicant specifying the deficiencies shall be						
9			ed to the applicant within five working days after the date the application was						
10		1	ived of submission. The applicant shall have 30 days to correct deficiencies						
11			ne application after which time a new application with updated information						
12			t be submitted and a new application fee will be incurred. The pPlanning and						
13			velopment <u>sServices</u> development dDepartment shall take no further action						
14			ne application unless the deficiencies are remedied.						
15			**						
16	(3)	Revi	ew and comment on applications. Applications shall be reviewed The review						
17	X 2		oplications shall be completed within 12 working days after the date an						
18		_	ication has been received and deemed complete determination of a complete						
19			ication form. The pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment shall						
20			be responsible for coordinating application review with the following departments						
21			and agencies (as needed):under this article. The following departments and						
22		agen	agencies shall coordinate (as needed) with the planning and development services						
23			rtment in this process:						
24		\$							
25		a.	Gainesville Regional Utilities.						
26									
27		b.	Public wWorks dDepartment.						
28			— —•						
29		c.	Traffic engineering department Alachua County School Board (for						
30			residential developments).						
31									
32		d.	Recreation and parks division Parks, Recreation and Cultural Affairs.						
33									
34		e.	Regional ‡Transit sSystem.						
35									
36		f.	Alachua County pPublic wWorks dDepartment.						
37			2000 STATE						
38		g.	Any other departments, divisions or agencies as may be deemed necessary						
39		=	by the pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment.						
40									

These agencies, departments and divisions shall forward their determinations of capacity to the <u>pP</u>lanning and <u>dD</u>evelopment <u>sS</u>ervices <u>dD</u>epartment, <u>which shall</u> <u>then</u>. The planning and development services department shall be responsible for compiling all the determinations of capacity into a report and issuing the relevant certification or denial of certification.

(4) Limitation of approval. A concurrency determination, certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency and a certificate of conditional concurrency reservation shall apply only to the specific land uses, densities and intensities based on information provided in the application, and, where applicable, the preliminary and final development orders. All applicants, to the extent required by the pplanning and dpevelopment spervices dpepartment, shall submit such applications for entire projects, rather than portions of projects, except in cases of phased developments.

Issuance of certification. After concurrency review has been completed, the (5) director of the pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment Director or his/her designee shall either approve or deny the application conclude that the application is approved or denied. If denied, the denial shall be in writing and shall include the reasons for denial. If a certificate of conditional concurrency reservation is approved, the approval shall require the payment of the relevant reservation fee or a bond equal to the amount of the reservation fee for reserving capacity, as specified in the executed development agreement. This fee must be paid within ten working days of the issuance of the certificate of concurrency reservation or the certificate shall be cancelled. In either case, the final development order shall not be issued until the capacity reservation fee is paid. Public facility capacity shall be granted on a first-come, first-served basis, determined as of the date and time a certificate of preliminary concurrency. certificate of final concurrency or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation is issued.

(6) Deferral of capacity reservation. Preliminary and final development orders may be issued without a concurrency reservation and payment of reservations fees only for water and/or wastewater facilities. An applicant may elect to defer a water and/or wastewater concurrency reservation and payment of fees until an application for a building permit is made. Deferrals are subject to the following procedures:

a. Preliminary and final development orders undergo a concurrency review at the time of application. A determination shall be made of whether

1				ent capacity exists at that time to permit the development under the
2				rrency procedures. Under no circumstances shall a project be
3			grante	d a deferral of capacity reservation if, at the time of application
4			point ,	there is insufficient capacity to meet concurrency requirements.
5				
6		b.	The ap	oplicant shall must sign a deferral of capacity reservation affidavit
7			acknov	wledging that:
8				
9			1.	Water and/or wastewater capacity reservation is being deferred at
10				this stage.
11				
12			2.	No rights to obtain a final development order or building permit,
13				nor any other rights to develop the subject property, have been
14				guaranteed, granted or implied by the city's approval of the
15				preliminary and/or final development order without a concurrency
16				reservation.
17				
18			3.	No guarantee of water and/or wastewater capacity availability is
19				made.
20				
21			4.	A concurrency review must be made prior to the issuance of the
22		17		building permit to determine whether sufficient capacity exists for
23				the proposed project and no building permit will be issued until
24				sufficient capacity is available.
25				•
26		c.	The ap	oplicant may elect to cancel a deferral and reserve water and/or
27			-	vater capacity at any time prior to the building permit application
28				ander the following conditions:
29				
30			1.	Treatment plant capacity is available to meet the needs of the
31				project; and
32				
33			2.	Full payment of water and/or wastewater reservation fees are made
34				to Gainesville Regional Utilities.
35				
36	(7)	Other	procedu	ures concerning concurrency. Any other procedures concerning
37	(,)	000 10000000	E4 27 197	dministration, calculations and methodologies, and determinations
38				ged by the pP lanning and dD evelopment sS ervices dD epartment.
39			-	mManager for uUtilities shall develop policies to administer the
		THO BY	20110141	minance for a common shall do verop porteres to administer the

water/wastewater capacity system, including capacity reservations and the 1 2 requirement for adequate water supply. 3 (8) Appeals. 4 5 Application procedure. An applicant may appeal any final decision. 6 a. pursuant to this section, made by the director of the pPlanning and 7 dDevelopment sServices dDepartment Director or his/her designee by 8 filing an application for a hearing before the eCity pPlan bBoard. 9 Applications for appeal shall be available in the Planning and 10 dDevelopment sServices dDepartment. The appeal must be filed within 20 11 calendar days after such decision. The application for appeal shall be 12 accompanied by a fee as set forth out in Appendix A (Schedule of Fees, 13 Rates and Charges). The director shall set a date for a hearing to be held 14 15 by the eCity pPlan bBoard within 30 calendar days of an application for an appeal and shall notify the applicant and the eCity pPlan bBoard of the 16 date, time and place of the hearing. The notice shall be mailed to the 17 applicant not less than ten calendar days prior to the date of the hearing. 18 At the applicant's option and with the eCity pPI an bBoard's concurrence, 19 stipulations and sworn affidavits may be submitted in lieu of testifying at 20 the eCity pPlan bBoard hearing. 21 22 b. Conduct and recording of eCity pPlan bBoard hearing. At the eCity pPlan 23 bBoard hearing, the applicant making the appeal shall present all of the 24 evidence in support of the appeal. The city shall accurately and completely 25 preserve all testimony in the proceeding, and, at on the request of any 26 27 party, shall make a full or partial hearing record available at no more than actual cost. At the conclusion of the testimony, the eCity pPlan bBoard 28 shall adopt a decision of approval, denial, or approval with conditions or 29 continue the proceedings to a date certain. A written decision shall follow 30 in not more than ten (10) calendar days. 31 33 C.

32

34

35

36

37

38

39

Appeals to hearing officer. No person shall be deemed to have exhausted his/her administrative remedies for the purpose of seeking judicial review unless the party first obtains review of the director or eCity pPlan bBoard's decision by a hearing officer as provided herein. The hearing officer appeal process provided in this article is designed to allow for an appeal of the eCity pPlan bBoard action after a full and complete hearing. This appeal shall be construed in its broadest, nontechnical sense, which is

1		merely an application to a higher authority for a review of the $e\underline{C}$ ity $p\underline{P}$ lan							
2		bBoard action taken.							
3									
4		If the eCity pPlan bBoard record of their hearing is full and complete, the							
5		hearing	office	may determine that the record is the only evidence that is					
6			-	wever, the hearing officer may determine that additional					
7				oral or written testimony, including cross examination, is					
8			-	roperly evaluate the eCity pPlan bBoard's action and render					
9				o its validity. The hearing officer shall have the authority to					
10		determin	ne the	need for additional evidence and/or testimony.					
11			 .						
12			2000	of appeal; records; notice of decision. The procedure for					
13		i	iling a	n appeal shall be as follows:					
14									
15		i	•	An applicant must file a notice of appeal with the director					
16				within twenty (20) calendar days of the e <u>City pP</u> lan					
17				bBoard's final decision. The notice of appeal must be					
18				accompanied by a filing fee as set <u>forth</u> out in Appendix A					
19				(Schedule of Fees, Rates and Charges).					
20			:	The notice of annual shall get fouth in detail the besis of the					
21		1	i.	The notice of appeal shall set forth in detail the basis of the					
22				appeal.					
23				A11					
24		1		All expenses associated with the hearing officer appeal					
25				process, except attorney fees, shall be the responsibility of					
26				the nonprevailing party.					
27			Le	The city shall accurately and completely preserve all					
28		1	v.	J 1 J 1					
29				testimony in the proceeding, and, at on the request of any					
30				party, shall make a full or partial hearing record available at no more than actual cost.					
31				no more than actual cost.					
32			,	In any case where a notice of appeal has been filed, the					
33	1	,		decision of the eCity pPlan bBoard shall be stayed pending					
34				the final determination of the case.					
35				the final determination of the case.					
36 37		τ.	⁄i.	Following the hearing, the hearing officer shall prepare the					
18		v		written findings and decision; copies of the findings and					
9 9				decision shall be mailed by the hearing officer to each party					
,,,				account blass of mariou by the nearing officer to each party					

1 2			to the appeal and to the director, with a copy provided to the e <u>C</u> lerk of the e <u>C</u> ommission.
3	2	C 1	hat of having Conduct of the heaving hafand.
4 5	2.		<i>luct of hearing</i> . Conduct of the hearing before the hearing er shall be as follows:
6		Office	i shan oc as lonows.
7		i.	The hearing officer shall set fortly at the outset of the
8			hearing the order of the proceedings and the rules under
9			which the hearing shall be conducted.
10			
11		ii.	The order of presentation at the hearing shall be as follows
12			(a) Receipt of the transcript, minutes and exhibits from the
13			eCity pPlan bBoard and any records of the director, if any.
14			
15			(b) Opening statements by the parties.
16			
17			(c) Appellant's case.
18			
19			(d) Respondent's case.
20			(v)
21			(e) Rebuttal by appellant.
22			(c) Reduction by appendix.
			(f) Symmetical by seemend out
23			(f) Summation by respondent.
24			
25			(g) Summation by appellant.
26			
27			(h) Conclusion of the hearing by the hearing officer.
28			
29		iii.	The director's records and the record of the e <u>C</u> ity <u>p</u> Plan
30			bBoard's hearing and decision, including all exhibits, shall
31			be received and constitute a part of the record.
32 33		iv.	The hearing officer shall have the authority to determine
34		17.	the applicability and relevance of all materials, exhibits and
35			testimony and to exclude irrelevant, immaterial or
36			repetitious matter.
27			

1 2	v,	The hearing officer is authorized to administer oaths to witnesses.
3		
4	vi.	A reasonable amount of cross examination of witnesses
5	,,,	shall be permitted at the discretion of the hearing officer.
6		
7	vii.	The time for presentation of a case shall be determined by
8		the hearing officer.
9		
10	viii.	The hearing officer may allow the parties to submit written
11		findings of fact and conclusions of law following the
12		hearing, and shall advise the parties to the timetable for so
13		doing if allowed.
14		
15	3. Deci.	sion by hearing officer. The decision of the hearing officer
16	shall	be based on the following criteria and rendered as follows:
17		
18	i.	The hearing officer shall review the director's records and
19		record and testimony presented at the hearing before the
20		eCity pPlan bBoard, and at the hearing officer's hearing.
21		Although additional evidence may be brought before the
22		hearing officer, the hearing shall not be deemed a hearing
23		de novo, and the record before the eCity pPlan bBoard shall
24		be incorporated into the record before the hearing officer,
25		supplemented by such additional evidence as may be
26		brought before the hearing officer.
27		
28	ii.	The hearing officer shall be guided by the LOS level of
29		service standards and the TMP requirements adopted in the
30		Comprehensive Plan plan and in this chaptersection, the
31		Concurrency Procedures Manual, technical evidence and
32		calculations, and established case general law.
33		,
34	iii.	The burden shall be upon the appellant to show that the
35		decision of the eCity pPlan bBoard cannot be sustained by
36		a preponderance of evidence or the eCity pPlan bBoard
37		decision departs from the essential requirements of law.
38		,
39	iv.	The hearing officer's determination shall include
40		appropriate findings of fact, conclusions of law and
		15

1 2		ecisions in the matter of the appeal. The hearing officer hay affirm, affirm with conditions or reverse the decision
3		f the e <u>City pP</u> lan b Board.
4		i mo o <u>o</u> ny p <u>r</u> imi o <u>o</u> omu.
5		he hearing officer shall file his or her written
6		etermination on each appeal with the director within thirty
7		30) calendar days of the date of the appeal hearing and a
8		opy shall be provided to the eClerk of the eCommission,
9		ne applicant and the director.
10		**
11	vi. T	he decision of the hearing officer shall be final for the
12		urpose of administrative appeals.
13	•	#C Screen John Market Market Screen (1990)
14	4. Judicial	appeal. Judicial review of the hearing officer's decision is
15	available	to the applicant and the city and shall be by the circuit
16	court hav	ring jurisdiction over the city. In any case where judicial
17	review is	sought, the decision of the hearing officer shall be stayed
18	pending t	the final determination of the appeal.
19		
20	d. Appointment and	d qualifications of hearing officer. The e \underline{C} ity eommission
21	shall provide a h	earing officer to conduct appeal hearings. No hearing
22	officer shall act	as agent or attorney or be otherwise involved with any
23	matter which wil	ll come before the city during the term of the hearing
24		ment. Further, no hearing officer shall initiate or consider
25	-	communication with any party of interest to the hearing
26		ubstance of any proceeding to be heard by the hearing
27		ich expert advice as the hearing officer may determine
28	appropriate and	solicit.
29		
30		ignated redevelopment areas. In order to encourage
31		so designated in the future land use element of the plan,
32	2,	applicable concurrency fees by fifty (50) percent for
33	applications for projects	in those areas.
34	Sec. 30-35. Level of service standards	S.
35	The following level of service (LOS) st	andards ("LOS") shall be used to determine whether
36	concurrency exists for all public faciliti	es except transportation mobility. Transportation
37	mobility LOS standards are solely for p	planning purposes, as the city has rescinded transportation
88	concurrency.÷	

1	(1) Traffic ci	rculation.
2		
3	a. Lo	OS "C" for limited access highways, controlled access highways and the
4	Fl	orida intrastate highway system as defined and shown in the Mobility
5	E	ement of the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan; LOS "D" for
6	sta	ate two-way arterials in the roadway network as defined and shown in
7	ŧh	e Mobility Element of the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan,
8	ex	cept on those specific highway segments within the Central City
9	T ₁	ransportation Concurrency Management Area (TCMA) as provided in
10	p e	ragraph (d) below or except on those specific segments designated as
11	b a	eklogged or constrained by the Florida Department of Transportation
12	(F	DOT) and shown in the Level of Service Report as provided in
13	pa	ragraph (e) below.
14		
15	b. L(OS "E" for nonstate roadways (including nonstate roadways functioning
16	as	arterials) for the city-maintained facilities in the roadway network as
17	sh	own in the Mobility Element of the City of Gainesville Comprehensive
18	Pl	an, except on those specific segments within the Central City TCMA as
19	pr	ovided in paragraph (d) below or except for those specific segments
20	de	signated as backlogged or constrained by FDOT and shown in the level
21	of	service report as provided in paragraph (e) below.
22		
23	e. Lo	OS "D" for non-state roadways (including non-state roadways
24	fu	nctioning as arterials) for Alachua County-maintained facilities in the
25	ro	adway network as shown in the Mobility Element of the Comprehensive
26		an, except those on specific segments within the Central City TCMA as
27	sp	ecified in paragraph (d) below or except for those specific segments
28	de	signated as backlogged or constrained by FDOT and shown in the level
29	of	service report as specified in paragraph (e) below.
30		
31	d. W	ithin the Central City TCMA, as legally described in the Mobility
32	El	ement of the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan, the LOS
33	sta	andards are as shown in Policy 1.1.5 of the Mobility Element of the
34	Ce	omprehensive Plan.
35		
36		ew development shall not degrade constrained and backlogged facilities
37		cated outside the Central City TCMA as shown in the level of service
38	rej	port as prepared by the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council
39	an	d on file with the planning and development services department. The

constrained facilities shall be at least maintained at or below the maximum

1		service volume as identified in the most current level of service report.
2		The interim level of service for backlogged facilities shall be at least
3		maintained at or below the maximum service volume as identified in the
4		most current level of service report until improvements are undertaken by
5		the appropriate agency responsible for maintenance of the roadway
6		segment to improve the level of service to the adopted LOS.
7		
8		1. Proposed development within ¼ mile access of roadway facilities
9		designated as constrained or backlogged shall be of a land use not
10		likely to exacerbate peak hour conditions; or
11		
12		2. Proposed development within ¼ mile access of roadway facilities
13		designated as constrained or backlogged shall have a traffic
14		mitigation program that limits impacts on peak hour capacity;
15		and/or
16		
17		3. Reuse of existing structures shall be permitted that does not
18		increase the trip generation over that generated by the most recent
19		use of such existing buildings.
20	10	
21	f.	In order to meet concurrency requirements for development projects
22		within ¼ mile of the following categories of roadway facilities:
23		
24		1. Any state- or county-maintained arterial or collector in the
25		Gainesville Urbanized Area Transportation Study ("GUATS")
26		network which has a median average annual daily trips (AADT)
27		within 85 percent of maximum service volumes allowed at LOS
28		"D" when calculated using Art-plan Arnalysis as identified in the
29		Florida Highway System Plan, Level of Service Manual published
30		by FDOT April 12, 1992 ed.;
31		
32		2. Any state- or county-maintained arterial or collector in the GUATS
33		network which has a median AADT within 85 percent of any
34		negotiated maximum volume; or
35		
36		3. Any city-maintained collector in the GUATS network which has a
37		median AADT within 85 percent of maximum service volumes
38	10	allowed at LOS "E" when calculated using Art-plan analysis;
39		

1			multi	-modal access improvements, such as, but not limited to: (i) bicycle
2			parki	ng; (ii) greenway/biketrail connections; (iii) sidewalk connections
3			from-	new and existing building(s) on the subject site to the public
4			sidew	valk; (iv) completion of public sidewalk serving the subject property
5				existing sidewalk or to the nearest intersection where existing
6				valk systems are incomplete and located on the same side of the
7				; (v) joint driveway access for adjacent parcels, if feasible; and (vi)
8				osing of poorly located, overly wide or duplicative curb cuts, shall be
9				red when there is any new development; or, any redevelopment of an
10				ng site which results in a net increase in trip generation.
11				
12	(1)	Trans	portatio	on mobility.
13	-			
14		a.	Roady	way LOS:
15				
16			<u>1.</u>	The LOS for all roadways in city limits shall be LOS E, except for
17			_	I-75 and roadways operating as backlogged or constrained.
18				
19			<u>2.</u>	The LOS for I-75 segments that fall within city limits shall be
20			175	maintained at LOS D to the extent feasible, recognizing that I-75
21				serves land areas and traffic outside city limits.
22				
23			<u>3.</u>	The city shall attempt to maintain the 2012 operating LOS on all
24			_	backlogged and constrained roadways in city limits.
25				
26		b.	Transi	it LOS:
27				
28			1.	The city shall strive to provide fixed-route transit service within 1/4
29			A	mile of 80% of all medium and high density residential areas
30				identified on the Future Land Use Map, and within the RTS service
31				area.
32				
33			<u>2.</u>	The city shall strive to provide peak hour frequencies of 20
34			:);	minutes or less within ¼ mile of all high density residential and
35				UMU-1 and UMU-2 land use areas in city limits.
36				CARLO I MAN CARLO I MAN
37			<u>3.</u>	The city shall strive to provide and maintain fixed-route transit
38			<u></u>	service to all Existing Transit Hubs & Transit-Supportive Areas (as
39				mapped in the Transportation Mobility Element Map Series) with
10				peak hour frequencies of 30 minutes or less.
				promise in administration of the international transfer

1 2 3 4		<u> </u>	<u>4.</u>	The city shall strive to operate 80% of fixed-route transit routes for at least 14 hours per day.
5		<u>c.</u>	Pedesti	rian LOS:
7 8			<u>1.</u>	The city shall install at least one linear mile of sidewalk annually to retrofit existing areas without sidewalks.
9 10 11		É	<u>2.</u>	Sidewalk construction shall be required for all new development, except in areas designated with the Industrial land use category.
12 13 14		į	<u>3.</u>	New streets shall be designed and constructed to include sidewalks.
15 16		<u>d.</u>	Bicycle	e and Trail LOS:
17 18 19		ė	<u>1.</u>	The city shall add an average of at least one mile of bicycle facilities annually, including multi-modal trails.
20 21 22		2		New streets shall be designed and constructed to include bicycle facilities.
23 24 25	(2)	Stormwe	ater ma	anagement.
26 27 28 29		1 t	100-yea treatme	OS standard for all stormwater management facilities shall be the ar critical duration storm. The LOS standard for water quality ent shall be treatment of first one inch of runoff; and compliance e stormwater management facility design and performance
30 31 32		5	standar ensure	ds established by the applicable water management district to that the receiving water quality standards of Chapter 62-302 F.A.C. and to ensure that receiving surface waters maintain their
33 34 35				cations as established in Chapter 62-302 F.A.C.
36 37 38		1	Any ex	emptions, exceptions or thresholds in the Florida Administrative F.A.C.) citations are not applicable.
39	(3)	Potable	water/	wastewater <u>and water supply</u> .

a. Potable water.							
			1.	-	v (peak) design flow: Tw	vo hundred gallons per capita	
				per day.			
			_				
			2.	Storage capa	city: One-half of peak da	ay volume in gallons.	
			_	5	1 91 1 1	10	
			3.		EO Lees	ed for a minimum pressure of	
						demands to assure 20 psig	
				under extreme	e and unforeseen conditi	ons.	
		1.	Wastewater.				
		b.	wast	ewater.			
			1.	Annuaga dan	standand. On a brondmad a	si11 1-:1 fl	
			1.		manaara: One nundred s	six gallons daily flow per	
				capita.			
		c.	Wate	r supply Averag	ge daily flow of 147 gall	one per conite per day	
		C.	muie	r suppiy. Averag	ge daily now of 147 gair	ons per capita per day.	
	(4)	Recre	eation.				
		a.	Level	of service stand	lards for parks.		
Park				a i	Adopted LOS Standard ¹	Existing LOS ²	
Neigh	borhoo	od park		*	0.08 0.80 acres	1.33 acres	

Park	Adopted LOS Standard ¹	Existing LOS ²
Neighborhood park	0.08 <u>0.80</u> acres	1.33 acres
Community park	2.00 acres	2.13 acres
Local nature/conservation	6.00 acres	15.71 acres
Total acres per 1,000 people	8.80 acres	19.73 acres

¹ Park standards are in acres per 1,000 people.

² Existing LOS is based on 2011 city population estimate and available facilities.

Source: City of Gainesville, Planning and Development Services Department and the Department of Parks, Recreation and Cultural Affairs, 2011.

3

1

2

b. Park design and function standards.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1. Neighborhood parks. Moderately sized recreation areas located to provide convenient access (no more than one-half mile) from neighborhoods served. Includes tennis courts, racquetball courts, shade trees, picnic facilities, child play areas, and a limited number of soccer and baseball fields. Size ranges from five to 20 acres, although the presence of certain types of facilities may classify certain sites less than five acres as neighborhood parks. (These smaller sites must provide at least two facilities of different types from the following list: basketball courts, tennis courts, racquetball courts, baseball/softball fields, gymnasium or recreation center, and soccer fields.) Service radius is one-half mile. Access is by

local streets, with facilities for pedestrians and bicycles.

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

2. Community parks. Intensive-use, activity-based recreation areas that serve an entire planning quadrant. Includes a wide range and large concentration of facilities: lighted tennis courts, racquetball courts, soccer and baseball fields, a swimming pool, off-street parking, playgrounds and picnic facilities. Sites 20 acres or larger are classified as "undeveloped" if the site does not contain at least two different types of these facilities. If LOS standards require community park acres, but the quadrant is not deficient in any of these facilities, the following facilities may be substituted: basketball courts, tennis courts or racquetball courts. Size ranges from 20 to 100 acres, although certain types of facilities may classify certain sites less than 20 acres as community parks. (Parks between ten to 20 acres can be classified as a community park if at least two different types of the following facilities are provided: baseball/softball fields, swimming pool, gymnasium, recreation center, and/or soccer or football fields.) Service radius is 1½ miles or the planning quadrant. Access is by collector or arterial streets, with facilities for pedestrians, bicycles, autos. and buses.

36 37 38

39

40

3. Local nature parks. Moderately sized, resource-based parks that offer physical or visual access to environmentally significant open spaces. Such parks include trails, benches, picnic facilities.

1	boardwalks, and exhibits. Size is generally less than 100 acres. (All
2	resource-based parks owned by the city or county are designated
3	local nature parks, regardless of size.) Service radius is urban area-
4	wide. Access is variable. Motorized vehicles are prohibited from
5	pedestrian/bicycle corridors. Public properties containing
6	environmentally significant features that have not been developed
7	to accommodate passive recreation are known as "conservation
8	areas."
9	
10	(5) Mass transit.
11	
12	a. The city shall provide main bus service to each medium and high intensity
13	mixed use area identified on the future land use map of the comprehensive
14	plan unless the city commission determines that there is inadequate
15	ridership to support this service.
16	
17	b. The city shall provide main bus service to the medium and high intensity
18	mixed use areas identified on the future land use map of the
19	comprehensive plan with minimum headways of one (1) hour during peak
20	hours. Peak hours shall be operating hours before 9:00 a.m. and between
21	3:30 p.m. and 7:00 p.m.
22	
23	c. The city shall provide main bus service within one-fourth mile of eighty
24	(80) percent of all medium and high density residential areas designated
25	on the future land use map of the comprehensive plan, and within the RTS
26	main bus service area as shown on the existing and future RTS main bus
27	service area map in the mass transit element of the comprehensive plan.
28	
29	d. The city shall provide a transit system that can accommodate at least one
30	and three-fourths (134) percent of all Gainesville urbanized area daily
31	person trips as determined by the FDOT trip generation model developed
32	by John Harris (1986).
33	
34	(5) Public schools facilities. The uniform, district-wide LOS standards for
35	elementary, middle and high schools shall be 100% of Program Capacity as
36	annually adjusted by the School Board. This LOS standard shall apply to all
37	school concurrency services areas (SCSAs) (within Gainesville's city limits) as
38	adopted in the Interlocal Agreement. For combination schools, the School Board
39	shall separately determine the capacity of each school to accommodate

1		<u>eleme</u>	ntary, middle and high school students, and shall apply the LOS standard
2		prescr	ibed above for elementary, middle and high school levels respectively.
3			
4	(6)	Solid 1	waste. The following LOS standard for disposal and collection capacity
5		shall b	be established: 0.655 tons of solid waste per capita per year disposed (3.6
6		pound	s of solid waste per capita per day disposed).
7	Sec. 30-36. Se	tandaro	ds of concurrency review.
8	The following	g standa	rds of review shall be utilized used in concurrency review, to determine
9		S	ndards have been met:
10	(1)		le water, solid waste, stormwater management <u>, water supply, wastewater</u>
11		50 - CONTRACT 10 SC	<u>creation</u> and wastewater. The eConcurrency requirements for potable
12			solid waste, stormwater management and wastewater for potable water,
13		10	waste, stormwater management, water supply, wastewater and recreation
14		shall b	be met by any one of the following standards:
15			
16		a.	The necessary facilities and services are in place at the time a final
17			development order is issued;
18		_	
19		b.	A final development order is issued subject to the condition that the
20			necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the
21			development no later than the issuance of a certificate of occupancy or its
22			functional equivalent when the impacts of the development occur;
23			
24		c.	The necessary facilities are under construction and bonded for completion
25			at the time a final development order is issued; or
26			
27		d.	The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable
28			development agreement that includes the provisions listed in subsections
29			30-36(1)a. through c. of this section, which guarantee is secured by a
30			completion bond, letter of credit is secured by a completion bond, letter of
31			credit, or other acceptable form of security acceptable subject to review
32			and approval as to form and legality by to the eCity aAttorney. The
33			agreement must guarantee that the necessary facilities and services will be
34			in place and available to serve the development no later than the issuance
35			of a certificate of occupancy or its functional equivalent when the impacts
36			of the development occur.
37			©

1	e. Prior to approval of a building permit or its functional equivalent, the city
2	shall consult with Gainesville Regional Utilities to determine whether
3	adequate water supplies to serve the new development will be available no
4	later than the anticipated date of issuance by the city of a certificate of
5	occupancy or its functional equivalent.
6	
7	(2) Recreation. The concurrency requirements for recreation shall be met by any one
8	of the following standards:
9	
10	a. The necessary facilities and services are in place at the time a final
11	development order is issued;
12	
13	b. A final development order is issued subject to the condition that the necessary
14	facilities and services will be in place when the impacts of the development
15	occur;
16	
17	c. The necessary facilities are under construction and bonded for completion
18	at the time a final development order is issued;
19	
20	d. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable
21	development agreement that includes the provisions listed in subsections
22	30-36(2)a. through c. of this section, which guarantee is secured by a
23	completion bond, letter of credit or other security acceptable to the city
24	attorney. The agreement must guarantee that the facilities and services will
25	be in place when the impacts of the development occur;
26	
27	e. At the time the final development order is issued, the necessary facilities
28	and services are the subject of an executed, binding contract, bonded for
29	completion and which is acceptable to the city attorney, which provides
30	for the start of construction of the required facilities, or provision of the
31	services, within one (1) year of the issuance of the final development
32	order; or
33	
34	f. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable
35	development agreement requiring commencement of actual construction
36	of the facilities or the provision of services within one year from issuance
37	of the applicable development order, which guarantee is secured by a
38	completion bond, letter of credit or other security acceptable to the city
39	attorney.
10	

1	(3) —	- Traffic circulation and mass transit. The concurrency requirements for traffic
2		circulation and mass transit shall be met by any one of the following standards:
3		
4		a. The necessary facilities are in place at the time a final development order
5		is issued;
6		
7		b. A final development order is issued subject to the condition that the
8		necessary facilities and services will be in place when the impacts of the
9		development occur;
10		
11		c. The necessary facilities are under construction and bonded for completion
12		at the time a final development order is issued;
13		
14		d. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable
15		development agreement that includes the provisions listed in subsections
16		30-36(3)a. through c. of this section, which guarantee is secured by a
17		completion bond, letter of credit or other security acceptable to the city
18		attorney. The agreement must guarantee that the facilities and services will
19		be in place when the impacts of the development occur;
20		
21		e. At the time the final development order is issued, the necessary facilities
22		and services are the subject of an executed, binding contract, bonded for
23		completion and which is acceptable to the city attorney, which provides
24		for the start of construction of the required facilities, or provision of the
25		services, within one year of the issuance of the final development order;
26		
27		f. The necessary facilities and services are shown for actual construction in
28		the first three years of the applicable, adopted state department of
29		transportation five-year work program, and are scheduled to commence
30		construction within the first three years of the five year schedule of capital
31		improvements included within the capital improvements element of the
32		comprehensive plan; or
33		
34		g. The necessary facilities are shown for actual construction by the city in the
35		first three years of the five-year schedule of capital improvements
36		included with the capital improvements element of the plan.
37		
38	(2)	Public schools facilities. The concurrency requirements for public school
39		facilities shall be met if:
10		

1		<u>a.</u>	Adequate school facilities (elementary, middle, and high school) are in
2			place or will be under actual construction within 3 years after the issuance
3			of a final development order or its functional equivalent;
4			
5		<u>b.</u>	Adequate school facilities are available in an adjacent School Concurrency
6			Service Area (SCSA) or will be in place or under actual construction in an
7			adjacent SCSA within 3 years after the issuance of a final development
8			order or its functional equivalent; or
9			•
10		<u>C</u> .	The developer executes a legally binding commitment to provide
11		_	mitigation proportionate to the demand for public school facilities to be
12			created by actual development of the property, including, but not limited
13			to, the options described in Subsection 163.3180(6)(h)2.a., Florida
14			Statutes.
15			
16	(4 <u>3</u>)	Projec	et phasing. In determining the availability of public facilities, an applicant
17	\(\gamma \rightarrow \sigma \rig		ropose and the city may approve proposed projects in stages or phases so
18		(A) (A)	ablic facilities needed for each phase shall be available in accordance with
19			andards set forth in this section.
20			
21	Sectio	n 4. A	rticle III, Division 3 of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is
22	repealed and	deleted	in its entirety as follows.
23	DIVISION 3	PRO	PORTIONATE FAIR-SHARE
24			
25	Sec. 30-37.1.	- Inten	et and purpose.
26	m 1	0.11 1	
27			ivision is to establish a method whereby the impacts of development on
28 29			es can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private as the proportionate fair-share program, as required by and in a manner
30			163.3180(16).
31			100.0100(10).
32	Sec. 30-37.2.	- Findi	ings.
33			
34			finds that transportation capacity is a commodity that has a value to both
35 36	tne public and	private	e sectors, and that the City of Gainesville Proportionate Fair-Share Program:
37	(1)	Provid	les a method by which the impacts of development on transportation
38	X=7	faciliti	es can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private
39		sectors	
10			

1 2	(2)	Allows developers of property to proceed under certain conditions, notwithstanding the failure of transportation concurrency, by contributing their
3		proportionate fair-share of the cost of a transportation modification;
4 5	(3)	Contributes to the provision of adequate public facilities for future growth and
6		promotes a strong commitment to comprehensive facilities planning, thereby
7		reducing the potential for moratoria or unacceptable levels of traffic congestion;
8		
9	(4)	Maximizes the use of public funds for adequate transportation facilities to serve
10		future growth, and may, in certain circumstances, allow the city to expedite
11		transportation modifications by supplementing funds currently allocated for
12		transportation modifications in the capital improvements element (CIE).
13		
14	(5)—	Is consistent with F.S. § 163.3180(16), and Policies 1.2.1 and 1.2.6 in the city's
15		CIE.
16	70	
17	(6) —	Allows proportionate fair-share mitigation to be directed toward one or more
18		specific transportation modifications reasonably related to the mobility demands
19		created by a development and such modifications may address one or more mode of travel.
20		Of travel.
21 22	(7)	Limits proportionate fair-share contributions to ensure that a development
23	(1)	meeting the mitigation requirements is not responsible for the additional cost of
24		reducing or eliminating backlogs.
25		reducing of eminiating eachings.
26	(8)	Recognizes that the funding of any modification that significantly benefits the
27	(0)	impacted transportation system can satisfy transportation concurrency
28		requirements as a mitigation of the development's impact upon the overall
29		transportation system even if there remains a failure of transportation concurrence
30		on other impacted facilities.
31		
32	Sec. 30-37.3.	-Procedures.
33	(a) Applic	ability. Except as listed below in this subsection (a), the proportionate fair-share
34	program shall	apply to all developments that have been notified of a lack of capacity to satisfy
35	transportation	concurrency on a transportation facility in the City of Gainesville Concurrency
36		System (CMS), including transportation facilities maintained by the Florida
37		FTransportation (FDOT) or another jurisdiction that are relied upon for
38		eterminations, pursuant to the requirements of this section. The proportionate fair-
39	share program	does not apply to:
10		
11	(1)	Developments of regional impact (DRIs) using proportionate share under F.S. §
12		163.3180(12); or

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	(
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	

- (2) Developments exempted or excepted from concurrency as provided in the concurrency management element of the comprehensive plan, including without limitation, developments within the city's TCEA Zones A, B and C, as adopted in the city's comprehensive plan prior to July 8, 2009; or
- (3) Developments excepted from concurrency by virtue of being located within the state mandated TCEA that receive a final development order on or after July 8, 2009. Developments in the state-mandated TCEA shall be required to meet the applicable standards in division 4 of this article or in the comprehensive plan, at such time as adopted. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any applicant that filed an application for a development order with the city prior to July 2009, and was being processed with a requirement to comply with the proportionate fair-share program, may elect to proceed with its development under the proportionate fair-share program by entering into a proportionate fair-share agreement with the city; or
- (4) Development on annexed property located within the state-mandated TCEA that does not yet have a city land use category. In accordance with Objective 4.4 and its sub-policies in the city's future land use element and F.S. § 171.062(2), such developments shall continue to be subject to the county land use plan and county zoning or subdivision regulations until such time as the city adopts a comprehensive plan amendment placing a city land use category on the annexed property.
- (b) General requirements. An applicant may choose to satisfy the transportation concurrency requirements of the city by making a proportionate fair-share contribution, pursuant to the following requirements:
 - (1) The proposed development is consistent with the comprehensive plan and applicable land development regulations.
 - The five-year schedule of capital improvements in the city's CIE or the long-term schedule of capital improvements for an adopted long-term concurrency management system includes a transportation modification(s) that, upon completion, will satisfy the requirements of the city's transportation CMS. The provisions of subsection (b)(3) may apply if a project or projects needed to satisfy concurrency are not presently contained within the city's CIE or an adopted long-term schedule of capital improvements.
 - (3) The city may choose to allow an applicant to satisfy transportation concurrency through the proportionate fair-share program by contributing to a transportation modification that, upon completion, will satisfy the requirements of the city's transportation CMS, but is not contained in the five year schedule of capital improvements in the CIE or a long term schedule of capital improvements for an adopted long-term CMS, where the following apply:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
18 19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
+3

- a. The city adopts by resolution a commitment to add the transportation modification(s) to the five year schedule of capital improvements in the CIE or long-term schedule of capital improvements for an adopted long-term CMS no later than the next regularly scheduled update. Additionally, to qualify for consideration under this section, the proposed transportation modification must be: determined to be financially feasible by the city commission for city transportation facilities, or by the governmental entity or entities maintaining the impacted transportation facility for county and state roads, pursuant to F.S. § 163.3180(16)(b)1.; consistent with the comprehensive plan; and in compliance with the provisions of the city's proportionate fair-share program. Financial feasibility for this section shall mean that additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed ten years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities.
- If the funds allocated for the five-year schedule of capital improvements in the CIE are insufficient to fully fund construction of a transportation modification required by the CMS, the city may still enter into a binding proportionate fair-share agreement with the applicant authorizing construction of that amount of development on which the proportionate fair-share is calculated if the proportionate fair-share amount in such agreement is sufficient to pay for one or more projects which, in the opinion of the governmental entity or entities maintaining the transportation facility, (i) are reasonably related to the mobility demands created by the development, and (ii) will significantly benefit the impacted transportation system even if there remains a failure of concurrency on other impacted facilities (also referred to as system-wide transportation projects). In order for the city to enter into the proportionate fair share agreement, the governmental entity or entities maintaining the impacted transportation facilities must provide written findings to the city as to (i) and (ii).
- e. The system-wide transportation projects as mentioned in subsection 30-39(b)(3)b. and subsection 30-39(b)(4) shall include, but not be limited to: the traffic management system (TMS), expansions of the transit fleet to increase service frequency, bus rapid transit corridors, transit service expansion to new areas, park and ride facilities for the transit system, or other mobility projects improving the transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle level of service.
- d. The modification or modifications funded by the proportionate fair-share program shall be adopted into the five-year capital improvements schedule of the comprehensive plan or the long-term schedule of capital

1 2		improvements for an adopted long-term concurrency management system at the next annual CIE update.
3		
4		e. Any modification proposed to meet the developer's fair-share obligation
5		must meet design standards of the city on city roads or Metropolitan
6		Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO) for locally maintained
7		roadways and those of the FDOT for the state highway system.
8		
9	(c) Applie	cation process. Upon notification of a lack of capacity to satisfy transportation
10	concurrency,	the applicant shall also be notified of the opportunity to satisfy transportation
11		through the proportionate fair-share program pursuant to the requirements of section
12	30-39.	
13		
14	(1)	Prior to submitting an application for concurrency certification that involves a
15		proportionate fair-share agreement, a pre-application staff conference shall be
16		held to discuss eligibility, application submittal requirements, potential mitigation
17		options, and related issues. The pre-application meeting may be held in
18		conjunction with a traffic study meeting. If the impacted facility is on the strategic
19		intermodal system (SIS), then the FDOT will be notified and invited to participate
20		in the pre-application meeting.
21		
22	(2)	The applicant shall submit a completed application for concurrency certification
23		at the time of application for development plan review, special use permit
24		approval, subdivision or minor subdivision approval, or planned development
25		rezoning that includes:
26		
27		Name, address and phone number of owner(s), developer and agent;
28		Phasing schedule, if applicable;
20		Thusing selecture, it applicable,
29		Trip generation and trip distribution; and
30		Description of the proportionate fair-share mitigation method(s) that will be
31		provided.
		provided.
32	(2)	D TG 8162 2100(16)()
33	(3)	Pursuant to F.S. § 163.3180(16)(e), proposed proportionate fair-share mitigation
34		for development impacts to facilities on the SIS requires the concurrence of the
35		FDOT. The applicant shall submit evidence of an agreement between the
36		applicant and the FDOT for inclusion in the proportionate fair-share agreement.
37	745	William and a second a second and a second a
38	(4) —	When an application is deemed sufficient, complete, and eligible, the applicant
39		shall be advised in writing and a proposed proportionate fair-share obligation and
10		binding proportionate fair-share agreement will be prepared by the city manager
11		or designee and delivered to the appropriate parties for review, including a copy

to the FDOT for any proposed proportionate fair-share mitigation on a SIS 1 2 facility, Alachua County for any proposed proportion ate fair-share mitigation on a county-maintained facility, or any other municipality whose road facility is 3 significantly impacted and for which proposed proportionate fair-share mitigation 4 5 is required. No proportionate fair-share agreement will be effective until fully executed by the applicant and the city manager or designee. The agreement shall 6 7 specify the date or dates on which payments, dedications, and/or completed construction of projects by the developer are due. 8 9 (d) Determining proportionate fair-share obligation. As provided in F.S. § 163.3180(16)(c), 10 proportionate fair-share mitigation method for transportation concurrency impacts may include, 11 12 without limitation, separately or collectively, private funds, contributions of land, and construction and contribution of facilities. Construction and contribution of facilities shall be 13 subject to final inspection and approval by the appropriate governmental agency. Proportionate 14 15 fair-share mitigation may be directed toward one or more specific transportation modification(s) reasonably related to the mobility demands created by the development and such modification(s) 16 may address one or more modes of travel. 17 18 19 As provided in F.S. § 163.3180(16)(c), a development shall not be required to pay more than its proportionate fair-share. The fair market value of the proportionate 20 fair-share mitigation for the impacted facilities shall not differ regardless of the 21 22 method of mitigation. Proportionate fair share mitigation shall be limited to 23 ensure that a development meeting the requirements of this section mitigates its 24 impact on the transportation system but is not responsible for the additional cost 25 of reducing or eliminating backlogs. 26 27 (2)The methodology used to calculate an applicant's proportionate fair-share 28 obligation shall be as provided for in F.S. § 163.3180(12), as follows: 29 30 "The cumulative number of trips from the proposed development expected to 31 reach roadways during peak hours from the complete build out of a stage or phase being approved, divided by the change in the peak hour maximum service volume 32 (MSV) of roadways resulting from construction of an improvement necessary to 33 34 maintain the adopted LOS, multiplied by the construction cost, at the time of 35 developer payment, of the improvement necessary to maintain the adopted LOS." OR 36 37 Proportionate Fair-Share = off(Development Trips;sub\sub;)/(SV Increase;sub\sub;)] × Cost;sub\sub;] 38

39

Where:

1	Development Trips; sub\sub; - Those net, new peak hour trips from the stage or phase of
2	development under review that are assigned to roadway segment "i" and have triggered a
3	deficiency per the CMS;
4	
5	SV Increase;sub\sub; = Service volume increase provided by the eligible
6	improvement/modification to roadway segment "i" per this section;
7	
8	Cost;sub\sub; = Adjusted cost of the modification to segment "i". Cost shall include all
9	modifications and associated costs, such as design, right of way acquisition, planning,
10	engineering, inspection, and physical development costs directly associated with
11	construction at the anticipated cost in the year it will be incurred.
12	constituench at an anti-pated cost in the year to will so invarious
13	(3) For the purposes of determining proportionate fair-share obligations, the city shall
10 Table 10	determine modification costs based upon the actual cost of the modification as
14	obtained from the CIE, the MTPO/TIP or the FDOT Work Program. Where such
15 16	information is not available, modification cost shall be determined using one of
17	the following methods:
18	the following methods:
19	a An analysis by the city manager or designee of costs by cross-section type
20	that incorporates data from recent projects and is updated annually and
21	approved by the city manager or designee. In order to accommodate
22	increases in construction material costs, project costs shall be adjusted by
23	an inflation factor; or
24	air initation factor, or
25	b. The most recent issue of FDOT Transportation Costs, as adjusted based
26	upon the type of cross-section (urban or rural); locally available data from
27	recent projects on acquisition, drainage and utility costs; and significant
28	changes in the cost of materials due to unforeseeable events. Cost
29	estimates for state road improvements not included in the adopted FDOT
30	Work Program shall be determined using this method in coordination with
31	FDOT District 2.
32	
33	c. If the city has accepted a modification project proposed by the applicant,
34	then the value of the modification shall be determined using one of the
35	methods provided in this section.
36	
37	d. If the city has accepted right-of-way dedication for the proportionate fair-
38	share payment, credit for the dedication of the non-site related right-of-
39	way shall be valued on the date of the dedication by fair market value
40	established by an independent appraisal provided to the city by the
41	applicant, at the applicant's expense. The appraisal is subject to review and
42	approval by the city. The applicant, at its own expense, shall supply to the
43	city: a certified survey and legal description of the land and an owner's
44	title policy insuring the city for the appraised value. If the right-of-way

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 34 34 35 36 36 36 37 37 38 37 38 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37
35 36
37 38 39 40 41

dedication is for either a county-maintained or FDOT roadway facility, the dedication shall be to the appropriate agency and under the same provisions as listed above. If the estimated value of the right-of-way dedication proposed by the applicant is less than the city-estimated total proportionate fair-share obligation for that development, then the applicant must also pay the difference. Prior to purchase or acquisition of any real estate or acceptance of donations of real estate intended to be used for the proportionate fair-share, public or private partners should contact the FDOT for essential information about compliance with federal law and regulations.

- (4) At the discretion of the city, the proportionate fair-share obligation, as calculated in subsection 30-39(d), can be used to fund system-wide transportation project(s) as described in subsection 30-39(b)(3)c, that, in the opinion of the governmental entity or entities having maintenance authority over the impacted transportation facility; (i) are reasonably related to the mobility demands created by the development, and (ii) will significantly benefit the impacted transportation system even if there remains a failure of concurrency on other impacted facilities. In order for the city to enter into the proportionate fair share agreement, the governmental entity or entities maintaining the impacted transportation facilities must provide written findings to the city as to (i) and (ii).
- Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (b)(3)b. and c., the city, at its discretion, may allow smaller developments generating fewer than 1,000 average daily trips (ADT) or 100 peak hour trips (whichever produces the smaller development size in terms of square footage or residential units) to contribute proportionate fair-share funds to system-wide transportation projects. The development shall contribute to both the TMS and the transit system, and all proportionate fair-share calculations shall be based on the total number of peak hour trips. For the purposes of determining proportionate fair-share obligations for system-wide transportation projects such as the TMS or transit services, the city shall determine modification costs based upon the actual cost of the modification as obtained from the city's public works department and regional transit service. These costs shall be updated annually.
 - The TMS cost shall be calculated as follows:
 - Average the daily traffic counts per TMS corridors within city limits and sum them;
 - 2. Translate to peak hour trips using the locally derived 9.1 percent ratio per city studies;

42 43

Calculate the TMS cost minus corridors outside city limits;

1 2	 Divide the sum of all p.m. peak hour corridor counts into the TMS cost within the city limits to obtain a cost per peak trip.
3	to the first the training to the part of the power training to the property of the property training to the property training train
4	b. The transit costs shall be calculated as follows:
5	Development's net, new peak hour trip generation × (TAA Costs/TAA
6	new peak trips) /CF where,
7	
8	TAA Cost = Transit Assessment Area Cost (3 years) of capital and
9	operating costs for enhancements to existing transit service routes that
10	demonstrate the need for service expansion (i.e., full buses, high
1	productivity, customer requests); 5 years of capital and operating costs for
L2	new transit service routes).
13	S. Mariello, Schriftmann, Communication of the American Zo
.4	TAA new peak trips - the new transit trips available in the peak hour
.4 l5	based on the enhancements.
16	oused on the eministration.
	CE - the commission for the officers to the second trial trial trial (-the comment
17	CF = the conversion factor of person-trips to vehicle trips (= the current
.8	vehicle occupancy rate per the local transportation model is 1.09).
.9	(C) ICA '(1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 '
.0	(6) If the city designates any multimodal transportation districts (MMTD), the
11	proportionate fair-share assessments shall be based on the expected costs and
2	transportation benefits of all the required multimodal modifications within the MMTD. The proportionate fair-share assessment shall be based on the percentage
13	ANALOGO CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF TH
.4 !5	of proposed development net, new peak hour trips divided by the total number of trips projected for the MMTD multiplied by the cost to provide all needed
6	mobility modifications within the MMTD.
7	mounty mounteacions within the wiviria.
8	(e) Proportionate fair-share agreements. Upon execution of a proportionate fair-share
9	agreement (agreement), the applicant shall receive a city certificate of preliminary and/or final
0	concurrency (as appropriate). Should the applicant fail to apply for a development permit within
1	the timeframe provided in the Land Development Code, then the agreement shall be considered
2	null and void, and the applicant shall be required to reapply.
3	
4	(1) Payment of the proportionate fair-share contribution is due in full prior to
5	issuance of the final development order, special use permit, second reading of the
6	PD ordinance, or recording of the final plat, whichever is the first to occur, and
7	shall be nonrefundable. If the payment is submitted more than 12 months from the
8	date of execution of the agreement, then the proportionate fair-share cost shall be
9	recalculated at the time of payment based on the best estimate of the construction
0	cost of the required modification at the time of payment, pursuant to subsection
1	30-39(d) and adjusted accordingly.
2	

 (2) All developer modifications authorized under this section must be completed prior to issuance of a building permit, or as otherwise established in a binding agreement that is accompanied by a security instrument that is sufficient to ensure the completion of all required modification(s). It is the intent of this section that any required modification(s) be completed before issuance of building permits.

1/30/15

- (3) Dedication of necessary right-of-way for facility modifications pursuant to an agreement must be completed prior to issuance of the final development order or recording of the final plat.
- (4) Any requested change to a development project subsequent to a development order may be subject to additional proportionate fair-share contributions to the extent the change would generate additional traffic that would require mitigation. If a requested change to a development project reduces its traffic impact subsequent to a development order and prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the applicant may request that the proportionate fair-share agreement be amended and the contribution reduced to reflect the revised mitigation required, if the city has not appropriated the funds. Applicants may submit a letter to withdraw from the proportionate fair share program at any time prior to the execution of an agreement.
- (f) Appropriation of fair-share revenues. Proportionate fair-share revenues shall be placed in the appropriate project account for funding of scheduled modifications in the city's CIE, or as otherwise established in the terms of the proportionate fair-share agreement. At the discretion of the city, proportionate fair-share revenues may be used for operational modifications prior to construction of the capacity project from which the proportionate fair-share revenues were derived. Proportionate fair-share revenues may also be used as the 50 percent local match for funding under the FDOT Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP).
 - (1) In the event a schedule facility modification is removed from the CIE, then the revenues collected for its construction may be applied toward the construction of another modification within that same corridor or sector that is found to mitigate the impacts of development pursuant to the requirements of subsection 30-39(b)(3)b.
 - (2) Where an impacted regional facility has been designated as a regionally significant transportation facility in an adopted regional transportation plan as provided in F.S. §339.155, the city may coordinate with other impacted jurisdictions and agencies to apply proportionate fair share contributions and public contributions to seek funding for improving the impacted regional facility under the FDOT TRIP. Such coordination shall be ratified by the city commission through an interlocal agreement that establishes a procedure for earmarking of the developer contributions for this purpose.

	e-credit for proportionate fair-share mitigation. If the city adopts transportation following provisions shall apply:
fe us	coportionate fair-share contributions shall be applied as a credit against impact es to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is sed to address the same capital infrastructure improvements contemplated by the ty's impact fee ordinance.
de pr or de de	pact fee credits for the proportionate fair-share contribution will be determined then the transportation impact fee obligation is calculated for the proposed evelopment. Impact fees owed by the applicant will be reduced per the coportionate fair-share agreement as they become due per the city's impact fee dinance. If the applicant's proportionate fair-share obligation is less than the evelopment's anticipated road impact fee for the specific stage or phase of evelopment under review, then the applicant or its successor must pay the maining impact fee amount to the city pursuant to the requirements of the city expect fee ordinance.
un to	ajor projects not included within the city's impact fee ordinance or created ider subsection 30-39(b)(3)a. and b. which can demonstrate a significant benefit the impacted transportation system may be eligible at the local government's scretion for impact fee credits.
im im de	ne proportionate fair-share obligation is intended to mitigate the transportation spacts of a proposed development at a specific location. As a result, any road spact fee credit based upon proportionate fair share contributions for a proposed velopment cannot be transferred to any other location unless provided for thin the city's impact fee ordinance.
Sec. 30-37.4 In	tergovernmental coordination.
element of the Ci- jurisdictions, incl- jurisdiction of the	isdictional impacts. Pursuant to policies in the intergovernmental coordination ty of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan, the city shall coordinate with affected uding FDOT, regarding mitigation to impacted facilities not under the local government receiving the application for proportionate fair-share terlocal agreement may be established with other affected jurisdictions for this
	rest of intergovernmental coordination and to reflect the shared responsibilities elopment and concurrency, the city may enter into an agreement with one or

regional transportation facilities. The agreement shall provide for application of the methodology

more adjacent local governments to address cross jurisdictional impacts of development on

in this section to address the cross jurisdictional transportation impacts of development.

1	(c) A development application submitted to the city subject to a transportation concurrency
2	determination meeting all of the following criteria shall be subject to this section:
3	(1) All or part of the proposed development is located within .25 mile(s) of the area
4	which is under the jurisdiction, for transportation concurrency, of an adjacent
5	local government or generates more than 1,000 net, new ADT; and
6	(2) Using its own concurrency analysis procedures, the city concludes that the
7	additional traffic from the proposed development would use five percent or more
8	of the adopted peak hour LOS maximum service volume of a regional
9	transportation facility within the concurrency jurisdiction of the adjacent local
10	government ("impacted regional facility"); and
11	(3) The impacted regional facility is projected to be operating below the level of
12	service standard, adopted by the adjacent local government, when the traffic from
13	the proposed development is included.
14	the proposed development is included.
15	(d) Upon identification of an impacted regional facility pursuant to subsection 30-40(c)(1)
16	(3), the city shall notify the applicant and the affected adjacent local government in writing of the
17	opportunity to derive an additional proportionate fair-share contribution, based on the projected
18	impacts of the proposed development on the impacted adjacent facility.
19	mapara or maparapara so ano mapara majarana managa
20	(e) The adjacent local government shall have up to 30 days in which to notify the city of a
21	proposed specific proportionate fair-share obligation, and the intended use of the funds when
22	received. The adjacent local government must provide reasonable justification that both the
23	amount of the payment and its intended use comply with the requirements of F.S. §
24	163.3180(16). Should the adjacent local government decline proportionate fair-share mitigation
25	under this section, then the provisions of this section would not apply and the applicant would be
26	subject only to the proportionate fair share requirements of the city.
27	man of paper sounds. I make the property of the paper of
28	(f) If the subject application is subsequently approved by the city, the approval shall include
29	a condition that the applicant provides, prior to the issuance of any building permit covered by
30	that application, evidence that the proportionate fair-share obligation to the adjacent local
31	government has" been satisfied.
32	
33	Section 5. Article III, Division 4 of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is
34	repealed and deleted in its entirety as follows.
35	DIVISION 4. STATE MANDATED TRANSPORATION CONCURRENCY
36	EXCEPTION AREA
37	Sec. 30-38.1. Intent and purpose.
38	The purpose of this division is to implement short-term strategies for the area within the city
39	limits that the State of Florida designated as a transportation concurrency exception area as
40	identified in Map 1 (the "state-mandated TCEA") through its enactment of Senate Bill 360 (2009
41	regular session) now known as Chapter Law No. 2009-96. The intent of this division is to

eliminate uncertainty within the development community as to which transportation mobility 1 regulations apply, to encourage urban infill development and redevelopment by providing an 2 exception to the city's transportation concurrency management system and proportionate fair-3 share program, to fund transportation mobility needs in accordance with state law, to reduce 4 5 traffic congestion, and to provide for a range of transportation alternatives that benefits the 6 overall transportation system. Sec. 30-38.2. Findings. 7 8 The city commission finds that a range of transportation alternatives benefits the overall transportation system and has value to both the public and private sectors, that there is a need for 9 short-term strategies to provide for and fund mobility needs until such time as long term 10 strategies can be adopted into the city's comprehensive plan, and that the short-term strategies for 11 the state-mandated TCEA set forth in this division: 12 (1) Provide a method by which developers of property within the state-mandated 13 TCEA can support and fund mobility needs created by development; 14 15 (2) Contribute to the provision of adequate public facilities for future growth and 16 promote a strong commitment to comprehensive facilities planning, thereby 17 reducing the potential for moratoria or unacceptable lack of transportation 18 alternatives to support development: 19 20 Maximize the use of public funds for adequate transportation facilities to serve 21 future growth, and may, in certain circumstances, allow the city to expedite 22 transportation modifications by supplementing funds currently allocated for 23 transportation mobility modifications in the capital improvements element (CIE); 24 25 and 26 Are consistent with Chapter Law No. 2009-96, and Objective 1.10 and its sub-27 policies in the city's concurrency management element. 28 29 Sec. 30-38.3. Procedures. 30 (a) Applicability. These short term strategies shall apply to all developments within the statemandated TCEA identified in Map 1 that receive a final development order on or after July 8, 31 32 2009. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any applicant that filed an application for a development order with the city prior to July 8, 2009, and was being processed with a requirement to comply 33 with the proportionate fair-share program, may elect to proceed with its development under the 34 35 proportionate fair-share program by entering into a proportionate fair-share agreement with the city. These short-term strategies do not apply to: 36

1	
2	(1) Developments within the city's TCEA Zones A, B and C, as adopted in the city's
3	comprehensive plan prior to July 9, 2009. This area is identified as "Existing
4	TCEA" in Map 1 and continues to be subject to the TCEA requirements set forth
5	in the city's adopted concurrency management element; or
6	
7	(2) Development on annexed property located within the state-mandated TCEA that
8	does not yet have a city land use category. In accordance with Objective 4.4 and
9	its sub-policies in the city's future land use element and F.S. § 171.062(2), such
10	developments shall continue to be subject to the county land use plan and county
11	zoning or subdivision regulations until such time as the city adopts a
12	comprehensive plan amendment placing city land use category on the annexed
13	property. The following shall apply when the city places a city land use category
14	on the annexed property:
15	
16	a. Properties that involve a large-scale land use amendment shall be placed
17	in a TCEA zone as part of the large-scale amendment process for the
18	property. This shall be done by simultaneous armendment to the
19	appropriate TCEA maps in the comprehensive plan; or
20	
21	b. Properties that involve a small-scale land use amendment shall be placed
22	in a TCEA zone during the next large-scale amendment cycle, with
23	appropriate TCEA map amendments. During the interim period, after
24	obtaining a city land use category but prior to placement in a TCEA zone,
25	development on property east of 1-75 shall provide for and fund mobility
26	needs by meeting the standards and requirements of the most physically
27	proximate TCEA zone. Development on property west of I-75 shall meet
28	the standards and requirements for Zone D.
29	
30	(b) Requirements. Within the state-mandated TCEA, the city designates property into sub-
31	areas designated as Zones A, B, C, D, E and M as identified in Map 2.
32	
33	(1) Within Zone A, development or redevelopment shall provide the following:
34	
35	 a. Sidewalk connections from the development to existing and planned
36	public sidewalk along the development frontage.
37	
88	b. Cross-access connections/easements or joint driveways, where available
39	and economically feasible.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

- c. Deeding of land or conveyance of required easements along the property frontage to the city, as needed, for the construction of public sidewalks, bus turn out facilities and/or bus shelters. Such deeding or conveyance of required easements, or a portion of same, shall not be required if it would render the property unusable for development. A transit facility license agreement (executed by the property owner and the city) for the placement of a bus shelter and related facilities on private property may be used in lieu of deeding or conveyance of easements if agreeable to the city. The license term shall be for a minimum of ten years.
- d. Closure of existing excessive, duplicative, or unsafe curb cuts or narrowing of overly wide curb cuts at the development site, as defined in the access management portion of the Land Development Code.
- e. Safe and convenient on-site pedestrian circulation such as sidewalks and crosswalks connecting buildings and parking areas at the development site.

Transportation modifications which are required due to traffic safety and/or operating conditions and which are unrelated to transportation mobility needs shall be provided by the developer.

- (2) Within Zones B, C, D, E, or M new development or redevelopment shall provide all of the items listed in (b)(1) a. through e. and meet required standards, as specified in the zone requirements (as relevant to its respective zone), to address transportation mobility needs within the TCEA. Transportation modifications which are required due to traffic safety and/or operating conditions and which are unrelated to transportation mobility needs shall be provided by the developer and any such items provided shall not count towards meeting required standards relevant to the zone.
- (3) Within Zone B, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet the following development standards, provided at developer expense, based on the development's (including all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on transportation mobility needs. The developer shall sign a development agreement or contract with the City of Gainesville for the provision of these standards. The choice of standards shall be subject to the final approval of the city during the plan approval process. The standards chosen shall relate to the particular transportation conditions and priorities in Zone B or adjacent areas. The developer

may choose to provide one or more standards off-site with the city's approval. In recognition of the varying costs associated with the standards, the city shall have the discretion to count some individual standards, based on cost estimates provided by the developer and verified by the city, as meeting two or more standards.

6

Net, new average daily trip generation	Number of standards which must be met
Less than 50	At least one standard
50 to less than 100	At least two-standards
100 to 400	At least three standards
400 to 999	At least five standards
Greater than 1,000 trips but less than 5,000 trips	At least eight standards
Greater than 5,000 trips	At least twelve standards and meet a. or b. below: a. Be on an existing transit route b. Provide funding for a new transit route

Zone B Standards

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

7

Intersection and/or signalization modifications to improve level of service and safety and address congestion management. This may include, but is not limited to: signal timing studies, fiber optic inter-connection for traffic signals, roundabouts, OPTICOM signal preemption, and/or implementation of elements of the Gainesville Traffic Signalization Master Plan Update. Implementation of the master plan includes installation of intelligent transportation system (ITS) features such as state of the art traffic signal controllers, dynamic message signs, and traffic monitoring cameras designed to maximize the efficiency of the roadway network by reducing congestion and delay.

18 19 20

Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus maintenance/operations facility.

21 22 23

Construction of bus shelters built to city specifications or bus shelter lighting using solar technology designed and constructed to city specifications.

25 26

24

Construction of bus turn-out facilities.

27

28

Petition No. PB-13-127 TCH

CODE: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	e. Provision of bus pass programs provided to residents and/or employees of
2	the development. The bus passes must be negotiated as part of a contract
3	with the Regional Transit System.
4	
5	f. Payments to the Regional Transit System, which either increase service
6	frequency or add additional bus service, including express transit service
7	or bus rapid transit, where appropriate.
8	
9	g. Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing.
10	Sidewalk-construction required to meet Land Development Code
11	requirements along property frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA
12	standards.
13	
14	h. Widening of existing public sidewalks to increase pedestrian mobility and
15	safety.
16	
17	i. Deeding of land for the addition and construction of bicycle lanes, or
18	construction of bicycle lanes to city specifications.
19	
20	j. Provision of ride sharing or van pooling programs.
21	
22	k. Use of joint driveways or cross-access to reduce curb cuts.
23	
24	1. Provision of park and ride facilities.
25	14
26	m. Funding of streetscaping/landscaping (including pedestrian scale lighting,
27	where relevant) on public rights-of-way or medians, as coordinated with
28	the implementation of the city's streetscaping plans.
29	
30	n. Business operations that can be proved to have limited or no peak hour
31	roadway impact.
32	
33	o Provision of shading through awnings or canopies over public sidewalk
34	areas to promote pedestrian traffic and provide protection from the
35	weather so that walking is encouraged. The awning or canopy shall
36	provide pedestrian shading for a significant length of the public sidewalk
37	in front of the proposed or existing building.
38	

1	p. Provision of additional bicycle parking over the minimum required by the
2	Land Development Code. Additional bicycle parking may be used to
3	substitute for the required motorized vehicle parking.
4	
5	q. In order to increase the attractiveness of the streetscape and reduce visual
6	clutter along roadways, which promotes a more walkable environment,
7	provision of no ground-mounted signage at the site for parcels with 100
8	linear feet or less of property frontage. Or, removal of non-conforming
9	signage or billboards at the site. Signage must meet all other regulations in
10	the Land Development Code.
11	
12	r. Enhancements to the city's greenway system (as shown in the
13	transportation mobility map series) which increase its utility as a multi-
14	modal transportation route. Such enhancements may include, but not be
15	limited to: 1) trail amenities such as benches, directional signage, or safety
16	systems; 2) bicycle parking at entry points or connecting with transit lines;
17	3) land acquisition for expansion or better connectivity of the greenway
18	system; 4) additional entry points to the greenway system; 5) bridges
19	spanning creeks or wetland areas; and/or, 6) appropriate trail surfacing.
20	
21	s. Participation in a transportation demand management program that
22	provides funding or incentives for transportation modes other than single
23	occupant vehicle. Such demand management programs shall provide
24	annual reports of operations to the city indicating successes in reducing
25	single occupant vehicle trips.
26	
27	t. Clustering of and design of the development for maximum density, or
28	maximum FAR, at the site which preserves open space, reduces the need
29	for development of vacant lands, enhances multi-modal opportunities and
30	provides transit-oriented densities or intensities.
31	
32	u. Construction of new road facilities, including bicycle and pedestrian
33	facilities, which provide alternate routes to reduce congestion.
34	
35	v. Addition of lanes on existing road facilities (including, but not limited to,
36	the expansion of SR 121 north of US 441 to CR 231 to four lanes), where
37	acceptable to the city and/or MTPO, as relevant.
38	
39	w. An innovative transportation-related modification or standard submitted
40	by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the city.

(4)

8 9 10

11 12

13

Net, new av
Less than 50
50 to less-th
100 to 400
400 to 999
Greater than
Creater there

15 16

14

21

22

23 24

25

26 27 Within Zone C, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet the following development standards, provided at developer expense, based on the development's (including all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on transportation mobility needs. The developer shall sign an agreement with the City of Gainesville for the provision of these standards. The choice of standards shall be subject to the final approval of the city during the plan approval process. The standards chosen shall relate to the particular transportation conditions and priorities in Zone C or adjacent areas. In recognition of the varying costs associated with the standards, the city shall have the discretion to count some individual standards, based on cost estimates provided by the developer and verified by the City, as meeting two or more standards.

Net, new average daily trip generation	Number of standards which must be met
Less than 50	At least one standard
50 to less than 100	At least three standards
100 to 400	At least 4.5 standards
4 00 to 999	At least 7.5 standards
Greater than 1,000 trips but less than 5,000 trips	At least twelve standards
Greater than 5,000 trips	At least eighteen standards and meet a. or b. below: a. Be on an existing transit route b. Provide funding for a new transit route

Zone C Standards

Roadway projects to: provide a more interconnected transportation network in the area, provide alternate routes to reduce congestion, and reduce pressure on arterials. All roadway projects shall include bicycle and pedestrian facilities. These projects include, but are not limited to the following projects, and may include projects outside the limits of the TCEA that can be demonstrated to be a direct benefit to the transportation system in the area of the TCEA:

> Extension of SW 40th Boulevard to connect from its terminus south of Archer Road to SW 47th Avenue:

1	 Extension of SW 47th Avenue to connect from its terminus east
2	and south to Williston Road; and
3	
4	3. In areas where redevelopment occurs: extension of streets, deeding
5	of land, or easements to create a more gridded network and provide
6	connectivity; and
7	
8	4. Extension of SW 40th Place from SW 27th Street to SW 47th
9	Avenue.
10	
11	Developers may deed land for right-of-way and/or construct roadway extensions
12	to city specifications. Prior to the donation of the right-of-way, the developer and
13	the city must agree upon the fair market value of the land for the purposes of
14	meeting this standard. In the event the parties cannot agree as to the value of the
15	land, the developer may submit an appraisal acceptable to the city for purposes of
16	establishing value, subject to review by the city.
17	
18	b. Intersection and/or signalization modifications to improve level of service
19	and safety and address congestion management. This may include, but is
20	not limited to: signal timing studies, fiber optic inter-connection for traffic
21	signals, roundabouts, OPTICOM signal preemption, and/or
22	implementation of elements of the Gainesville Traffic Signalization
23	Master Plan Update: Implementation of the master plan includes
24	installation of intelligent transportation system (ITS) features such as state
25	of the art traffic signal controllers, dynamic message signs, and traffic
26	monitoring cameras designed to maximize the efficiency of the roadway
27	network by reducing congestion and delay.
28	
29	c. Construction of bus shelters built to city specifications.
30	
31	d. Bus shelter lighting using solar technology to city specifications.
32	
33	e. Construction of bus turn-out facilities to city specifications.
34	
35	f. Construction of bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities/trails to city
36	specifications. This may include provision of bicycle parking at bus
37	shelters or transit hubs or deeding of land for the addition and construction
38	of bicycle lanes or trails.
39	

1	g. Payments to the Regional Transit System, which either increase service
2	frequency or add additional bus service, including express transit and bus
3	rapid transit, where appropriate.
4	
5	h. Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing or
6	completion of sidewalk connectivity projects. Sidewalk construction
7	required to meet Land Development Code requirements along property
8	frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA standards. The priority for
9	sidewalk construction shall be:
10	
11	 Along SW 35th Place east from SW 34th Street to SW 23rd Street;
12	
13	2. Along SW 37th Boulevard/SW-39th Boulevard (north side) south
14	from Archer Road to SW 34th Street;
15	
16	 Along SW 27th Street from SW 35th Place to Williston Road for
17	pedestrian/transit connectivity; and,
18	
19	4. Along the west side of SW 32nd Terrace from SW 35th Place to
20	the terminus of the University Towne Centre sidewalk system (at
21	the property line).
22	
23	i. Use of joint driveways or cross-access connections to reduce curb cuts.
24	4
25	j. Funding of streetscaping/landscaping on public rights-of-way or medians,
26	as coordinated with the implementation of the city's streetscaping plans.
27	
28	k. Pedestrian-scale lighting in priority areas including:
29	
30	1. SW-35th Place;
31	
32	2. SW 37th/39th Blvd.;
33	
34	3. SW 23rd Terrace; and
35	
36	4. Williston Road.
37	
38	 Business operations that can be proven to have limited or no peak hour
39	roadway impact.
10	

1		m. Design and/or construction studies/plans for projects such as planned
2		roundabouts, road connections, sidewalk systems, and/or bike trails.
3		
4		n. Provision of matching funds for transit or other transportation mobility-
5		related grants.
6		o. Participation in a transportation demand management program that
7		provides funding or incentives for transportation-modes other than single
8		occupant vehicle. Such demand management programs shall provide
9		annual reports of operations to the city indicating successes in reducing
10		single occupant vehicle trips.
11		
12		p. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
13		maintenance/operations facility.
14		
15		q. An innovative transportation-related modification or standard submitted
16		by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the City.
17		
18	(5)—	The city establishes the following priority for projects in Zone C. For
1 9		developments east of SW 34th Street in Zone C the priority shall be:
20		
21		a. Construction of an off-street pedestrian path on one side of SW 35th Place
22		from SW 34th Street to SW 23rd Terrace.
23		
24		b. A roundabout at SW 23rd Terrace and SW 35th Place.
25		
26	Se-	For developments west of SW 34th Street in Zone C the priority shall be:
27		m -
28		a. Construction of a southerly extension of SW 40th Boulevard from its
29		current end south of its intersection with Archer Road to the intersection
30		of SW 47th Avenue. This roadway connection shall include bicycle and
31		pedestrian facilities.
32		F
33		b. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
34		maintenance/operations facility.
35		
36	(6)	Within Zone D, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet the
37 37	(0)	following development standards, provided at developer expense, based on the
38		development's (including all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on
99		transportation mobility needs. The developer shall sign an agreement with the
כנ		transportation mounty needs. The developer shan sign air agreement with the

City of Gainesville for the provision of these standards. The choice of standards shall be subject to the final approval of the city during the plan approval process. The standards chosen shall relate to the particular transportation conditions and priorities in Zone D or adjacent areas. In recognition of the varying costs associated with the standards, the city shall have the discretion to count some individual standards, based on cost estimates provided by the developer and verified by the city, as meeting two or more standards.

8

Net, new average daily trip generation	Number of standards which must be met
Less than 50	At least 1.5 standards
50 to less than 100	At least four standards
100 to 400	At least six standards
400 to 999	At least ten standards
Greater than 1,000 trips At least 16 standards but less than 5,000 trips	
Greater than 5,000 trips	At least 24 standards and meet a. or b. below: a. Be on an existing transit route with minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS b. Provide funding for a new transit route with minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS or provide funding to improve transit headways to minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS. Funding for new routes shall include capital and operating costs for a minimum of five years. Funding for existing route expansions shall include capital and operating costs for three years.

9 10

11

Zone D Standards

Roadway projects to: provide a more interconnected transportation network in the area, provide alternate routes to reduce congestion, and reduce pressure on arterials. All roadway projects shall include bicycle and pedestrian facilities. These projects include, but are not limited to the following projects, and may include projects outside the limits of the TCEA or Zone D that can be demonstrated to be a direct benefit to the transportation system in the area of the TCEA:

17 18 19

Extension of SW 40th Boulevard to connect from its terminus south of Archer Road to SW 47th Avenue;

1	2. Extension of streets, deeding of land, or easements to create a more
2	gridded network and provide connectivity
3	
4	Developers may deed land for right-of-way and/or construct roadway extensions
5	to city specifications. Prior to the donation of the right-of-way, the developer and
6	the city must agree upon the fair market value of the land for the purposes of
7	meeting this standard. In the event the parties cannot agree as to the value of the
8	land, the developer may submit an appraisal acceptable to the city for purposes of
9	establishing value, subject to review by the city.
10	
11	b. Construction of bus shelters built to city specifications.
12	
13	c. Bus shelter lighting using solar technology to city specifications.
14	
15	d. Construction of bus turn out facilities to City specifications.
16	
17	e. Construction of bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities/trails to city
18	specifications. This may include provision of bicycle parking at bus
19	shelters or transit hubs or deeding of land for the addition and construction
20	of bicycle lanes or trails.
21	
22	f. Payments to the Regional Transit System, which either increase service
23	frequency or add additional bus service, including Express Transit and
24	Bus Rapid Transit, where appropriate.
25	
26	g. Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing or
27	completion of sidewalk connectivity projects. Sidewalk construction
28	required to meet Land Development Code requirements along property
29	frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA standards.
30	
31	h. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
32	maintenance/operations main facility.
33	
34	 Business operations that can be proven to have limited or no peak hour
35	roadway impact.
36	
37	j. Design and/or construction studies/plans for projects such as planned
38	roundabouts, road connections, sidewalk systems, and/or bike trails.
39	

1		k. Provision of matching funds for transit or other transportation mobility-
2		related grants.
3		
4		 Construction of Park and Ride facilities built to RTS standards and
5		requirements for the area.
6		
7		m. An innovative transportation-related modification or standard submitted
8		by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the city.
9		
10	(7)	The City establishes the following priority for projects in Zone D.
11		
12		a. Construction of a southerly extension of SW 40th Boulevard from its
13		current end south of its intersection with Archer Road to the intersection
14		of SW 47th Avenue. This roadway connection shall include bicycle and
15		pedestrian facilities.
16		
17		b. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
18		maintenance/operations facility.
19		
20	(8)	Within Zone E, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet the
21		following development standards, provided at developer expense, based on the
22		development's (including all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on
23		transportation mobility needs. The developer shall sign an agreement with the
24		City of Gainesville for the provision of these standards. The choice of standards
25		shall be subject to the final approval of the city during the plan approval process.

Net, new average daily trip generation	Number of standards which must be met
Less than 50	At least 1.5 standards
50 to less than 100	At least four standards
100 to 400	At least six standards
4 00 to 999	At least ten standards
Greater than 1,000 trips but less than 5,000 trips	1991-9600/2001-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-

verified by the city, as meeting two or more standards.

The standards chosen shall relate to the particular transportation conditions and

associated with the standards, the city shall have the discretion to count some

individual standards, based on cost estimates provided by the developer and

priorities in Zone E or adjacent areas. In recognition of the varying costs

51

Petition No. PB-13-127 TCH

26

27

28

29

30 31

CODE: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Greater than 5,000 trips At least 24 standards and meet a. or b. below:

in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS

a. Be on an existing transit route with minimum 15-minute frequencies

b. Provide funding for a new transit route with minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS or provide funding to improve transit headways to minimum 15-minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS. Funding for new routes shall include capital and operating costs for a minimum of five years. Funding for existing route expansions shall include capital and operating costs for three years. Zone E Standards Roadway projects to: provide a more interconnected transportation network in the area, provide alternate routes to reduce congestion, and reduce pressure on arterials. All roadway projects shall include bicycle and pedestrian facilities. These projects include, but are not limited to the following projects, and may include projects outside the limits of Zone E or the TCEA that can be demonstrated to be a direct benefit to the transportation system in the area of the TCEA: Widening of SR 121 to four lanes north of US 441 to CR 231; Extension of streets, deeding of land, or easements to create a more gridded network and provide connectivity; Developers may deed land for right-of-way and/or construct roadway extensions to city specifications. Prior to the donation of the right-of-way, the developer and the city must agree upon the fair market value of the land-for the purposes of meeting this standard. In the event the parties cannot agree as to the value of the land, the developer may submit an appraisal acceptable to the city for purposes of establishing value, subject to review by the city. Construction of bus shelters built to city specifications, where transit service is available. Bus shelter lighting using solar technology to city specifications, where transit service is available.

1

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

1	d. —	Construction of bus turn out facilities to city specifications, where transit
2		service is available.
3		
4	e	Construction of bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities/trails to city
5		specifications. This may include provision of bicycle parking at bus
6		shelters or transit hubs or deeding of land for the addition and construction
7		of bicycle lanes or trails.
8		
9	f.	Payments to the Regional Transit System, which either increase service
10		frequency or add additional bus service, including express transit and bus
11		rapid transit, where appropriate.
12		
13	g.	Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing or
14		completion of sidewalk connectivity projects. Sidewalk construction
15		required to meet Land Development Code requirements along property
16		frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA standards.
17		
18	h.	Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
19		maintenance/operations main facility.
20		
21	i 	Business operations that can be proven to have limited or no peak hour
22		roadway impact.
23		
24	j.	Design and/or construction studies/plans for projects such as planned
25		roundabouts, road connections, sidewalk systems, and/or bike trails.
26		
27	k	Provision of matching funds for transit or other transportation mobility-
28		related grants.
29		
30	1.	Construction of Park and Ride facilities built to RTS standards and
31		requirements for the area.
32		
33	m,	An innovative transportation related modification or standard submitted
34		by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the city.
35		
36	(9) The Ci	ity establishes the following priority for projects in Zone E.
37		
38	a.	widening of SR 121 to four lanes north of US 441 to CR 231.
39		

1	b. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
2	maintenance/operations facility.
3	
4	(10) Within Zone M, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet
5	standards by making a proportionate share payment of the planned costs to fund
6	mobility, including transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular needs, in the zone.
7	This may include projects outside the limits of Zone M (in adjacent areas) that can
8	be demonstrated to be a direct benefit to the transportation system in the area of
9	Zone M. The proportionate share shall be based on the development's (including
10	all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on transportation mobility
11	facilities calculated as set forth in section 30-37.3(d), Determining proportionate
12	fair-share obligation, subsections (1), (2) and (3). In addition to making the
13	proportionate share payment, development or redevelopment that has a net, new
14	average daily trip generation of greater than 5,000 trips shall either be located on
15	an existing transit route with minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m.
16	peak hours for RTS or shall provide funding for a new transit route with minimum
17	15-minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS or provide
18	funding to improve transit headways to minimum 15-minute frequencies in the
19	a.m. and p.m. peak-hours for RTS. Funding for new routes shall include capital
20	and operating costs for a minimum of five years. Funding for existing route
21	expansions, shall include capital and operating costs for three years. The
22	developer shall sign an agreement with the City of Gainesville for the provision of
23	mobility needs. The following is a list of mobility needs/projects in Zone M:
24	
25	a. Roadway projects to: provide a more interconnected transportation
26	network in the area, provide alternate routes to reduce congestion, and
27	reduce pressure on arterials. All roadway projects shall include bicycle
28	and pedestrian facilities. These projects include, but are not limited to the
29	following projects:
30	
31	1. Extension of Hull Road consistent with MTPO Option M;
32	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
33	2. Extension of SW 62nd Boulevard to SW Archer Road in
34	accordance with the MTPO design; and
35	accordance with the Will O design, and
	2 Embouries - Cotune to Anadis - Cl - 1
36	3. Extension of streets, deeding of land, or easements to create a more
37	gridded network and provide connectivity;
38	

1	Developers may deed land for right-of-way and/or construct roadway extensions
2	to city specifications. Prior to the donation of the right-of-way, the developer and
3	the city must agree upon the fair market value of the land for the purposes of
4	meeting this standard. In the event the parties cannot agree as to the value of the
5	land, the developer may submit an appraisal acceptable to the city for purposes of
6	establishing value, subject to review by the city.
7	
8	b. Eight articulated buses.
9	
10	c. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
11	maintenance/operations facility.
12	
13	d. Construction of four transit superstops on SW-20th Avenue built to city
14	specifications.
15	specifications.
16	e. A Park and Ride facility with a minimum of 100 spaces, including transfer
1 0 17	e. A Park and Ride facility with a minimum of 100 spaces, including transfer station and restrooms/information center, built to RTS specifications.
18	station and restrooms/information center, built to KTS specifications.
19 20	f. Traffic management system equipment for transit vehicles operating in
20	Zone M.
21	
22	g. Payments to the Regional Transit System for the capital and operating
23	costs associated with Route 62, which includes two new buses.
24	
25	h. Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing or
26	completion of sidewalk connectivity projects. Sidewalk construction
27	required to meet Land Development Code requirements along property
28	frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA requirements.
29	
30	i. Business operations that can be proven to have limited or no peak hour
31	roadway impact.
32	
33	j. Design and/or construction studies/plans for projects such as planned
34	roundabouts, road connections, sidewalk systems, and/or bike trails.
35	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
36	k. Funding for express transit and bus rapid transit, where appropriate.
77	

1/30/15

Street, and Williston Road due to right-of-way or utility constraints, consistent

1	with	requirements as described in the special area plan for central corridors, City
2	Lan	d Development Code. These design standards requirements shall not override
3	desi	gn standards adopted as part of a special area plan, overlay district, or planned
4	deve	elopment.
5		
6	(2) New	development of automotive-oriented uses located within the TCEA, such as
7	retai	l petroleum sales (gasoline service stations), ear washes, automotive repair,
8	and	limited automotive services (as defined in the Land Development Code), shall
9	be d	esigned to locate service bays and fueling (gas) pumps to the rear of buildings
10	loca	ted on the site. These design standards shall not apply in industrial zoning
11	distr	icts. The number of fueling positions shall be regulated by TCEA policies.
12		
13	(e) Auto-orient	ed uses in the state-mandated TCEA. Automobile-oriented developments/uses
14		ough facilities, surface parking lots as a principal use, parking garages, car
15	-	ne service stations shall be regulated as follows within the TCEA.
16	, ,	•
17	(1) The	City may establish pedestrian, transit, and bicycle oriented areas, through a
18		ial area plan overlay zone adopted within the Land Development Code, which
19	the state of the s	ibit or further regulate automobile-oriented developments/uses beyond the
20		lards set by the TCEA.
21	Stan	intes set by the Telli.
22	(2) Spec	sial area plan overlay district regulations (such as the College Park Special
23		Plan and the Traditional City) that prohibit and regulate automobile-oriented
24		lopments/uses, as described in subsection (e) above, shall not be modified by
25		isions or policies of the TCEA.
26	prov	island of policies of the TOLYI.
27	(3) New	development of surface parking lots as a principal use shall be required to
28		in a special use permit. In addition to the review criteria set in the Land
29		elopment Code for special use permits, the approval of the special use permit
30		be based on consideration of the size/scale of the proposed surface parking
31		nd the inclusion of design and access features which maintain pedestrian,
32		cle and transit safety and do not discourage pedestrian, bicycle and transit use
33		e area.
34	m ui	
	(A) Duiv	e through facilities shall be defined to include benking facilities normant
35		e-through facilities shall be defined to include banking facilities, payment lows; restaurant, food and or/beverage sales, dry cleaning, express mail
36 37		ces and other services that are extended mechanically or personally to
38		omers who do not exit their vehicles. The following uses shall not be
38 39		FOR THE CONTROL OF TH
22	cons	idered drive-throughs: auto fuel pumps and depositories which involve no

1		immediate exchange or dispersal to the customer, such as mail boxes, library book
2		depositories, and recycling facilities.
3		
4	(5)	In addition to the review criteria set in the Land Development Code for special
5		use permits, the following review standards for drive-through facilities shall be
6		included:
7		
8		a. Maximization of pedestrian and bicycle safety and convenience;
9		
10		b. Adequate queuing space for vehicles such that there is no back-up of
11		traffic onto adjacent roadways;
12		
13		e. Provision of a by pass lane or sufficient driveway area around the drive-
14		through lanes to assist internal vehicular circulation;
15		,
16		d. Minimization of the visual impacts of the drive-through lanes on street
17		frontage areas;
18		
19		e. Minimization of the total number of drive-through lanes based on site
20		conditions and the operating conditions of the impacted roadway
21		segments;
22		ora Contractivativa 20
23		f. Minimization of the number of access points to roadways;
24		,
25		g. Design of access points and ingress/egress direction flows to minimize
26		impacts on the roadway and non-motorized traffic;
27		,
28		h. Design of internal pedestrian access and safety as related to the position of
29		the drive-through lane(s); and
30		
31		i. Meeting any additional design criteria established in the Land
32		Development Code.
33		Bevelopment code.
34	(6)	Unless otherwise prohibited or regulated by a special area plan, the development
35	(0)	of new free-standing drive-through facilities or expansion of or development
36		activity at existing free-standing drive through facilities, not meeting the
37		provisions of subsection (e)(7) below, shall be required to obtain a special use
38		permit. These drive-through facilities shall meet the special use permit criteria
7.75		L and a superior and a superio

1	shown in the Land Development Code and review criteria shown in subsection		
2	(e)(5) above. In addition, drive-through facilities not developed under the		
3	provisions of subsection (e)(7) or (e)(8) below shall also meet the following		
4	standards:		
5			
6	a. There shall be a minimum distance of 400 feet between the driveways of		
7	sites with free standing drive-through facilities on roadways operating at		
8	85 percent or more of capacity. Roadway capacity shall be measured using		
9	the latest version of Art Plan or a method deemed acceptable by the		
10	technical advisory committee subcommittee of the Metropolitan		
11	Transportation Planning Organization. Available capacity shall include		
12	consideration of reserved trips for previously approved developments and		
13	the impacts of the proposed development. The 400-foot distance		
14	requirement shall not apply if any of the following criteria are met:		
15			
16	 Joint driveway access or common access is provided between the 		
17	sites with free-standing drive-through facilities.		
18			
19	 Cross access is provided with an adjoining property. 		
20			
21	3. A public or private road intervenes between the two sites.		
22			
23	4. The development provides a functional design of such high quality		
24	that the pedestrian/sidewalk-system and on-site/off-site vehicular		
25	circulation are not compromised by the drive through facility. This		
26	determination shall be made as part of the special use permit and		
27	development plan review process and shall be based on staff and/or		
28	board review and approval.		
29			
30	b. There shall be no credit for pass-by trips in association with the drive-		
31	through facility. Standards which must be met under subsection (b)(3), (4),		
32	(6), (8), or (10) above, as relevant to the zone, shall be based on total trip		
33	generation for the use and shall not include any net reduction for pass-by		
34	trips.		
35			
36	(7) Unless otherwise prohibited or regulated by a special area plan, the development		
37	of new free-standing drive through facilities or expansion of or development		
38	activity at existing free-standing drive-through facilities shall be permitted, by		
39	right, only within shopping centers or mixed-use centers. No direct access		

eonnections from the street to the drive-through shall be allowed. Access to the drive-through shall be through the shopping center or mixed-use center parking area. Mixed-use centers shall be defined as developments regulated by a unified development plan consisting of three or more acres, having a minimum of 25,000 square feet of gross floor area, and providing centralized motorized vehicle access and a mix of at least three uses which may include residential or nonresidential uses in any combination. Mixed-use centers may include planned developments which meet the criteria listed in this policy. Development plan approval for the drive-through facility shall be based on the inclusion of appropriate pedestrian, bicycle and transit features which facilitate and encourage convenience, safety, and nonmotorized use of the site; design of safe internal pedestrian access as related to the position of the drive-through lane(s); and meeting design criteria established in the Land Development Code. Drive-through facilities meeting the criteria shown in this policy shall also receive an internal capture trip credit and credit for pass-by trips.

- (8) The development of new drive-through facilities shall be permitted, by special use permit, when part of a single, mixed-use building, having more than one business or use at the site, where the minimum square footage of the mixed-use building is 25,000 square feet. Only one drive-through use at such-sites shall be allowed. In addition to the review criteria set in the Land Development Code for special use permits, the approval of the special use permit shall be based on the inclusion of pedestrian, bicycle and transit features which facilitate and encourage convenience, safety and nonmotorized use of the site; design of safe internal pedestrian access as related to the position of the drive-through lane(s); and meeting design criteria established in the Land Development Code. Drive-through facilities meeting the criteria shown in this policy shall also receive an internal capture trip credit and credit for pass-by trips.
- (9) Within the TCEA, retail petroleum sales at service stations and/or car washes, either separately, or in combination with the sale of food or with eating places, shall be required to obtain a special use permit. In addition to the review criteria set in the Land Development Code for special use permits, the following review standards shall be included:

a. Site design shall enhance pedestrian/bicycle access to any retail or restaurant facilities on site. Sidewalk connections or marked pedestrian crosswalks shall be shown on the site plan.

1	b. The number and width of driveways shall be minimized.
2	
3	c. Except where more stringently regulated by a special area plan or overlay
4	district, the maximum number of fueling positions shall be set as follows:
5	
6	1. No limitation on fueling positions in the industrial zoning
7	eategories;
8	
9	2. Six fueling positions in the Mixed Use Low land use category or
10	Mixed Use 1 zoning district;
11	
12	3. Until-adoption, in the Land Development Code, of specific
13	architectural and design standards, six fueling positions in all other
14	zoning categories where gasoline service stations (retail petroleum
15	sales) or food stores with accessory gasoline and alternative fuel
16	pumps are allowed. In the interim period before the adoption of
17	architectural and design standards, additional fueling positions, up
18	to a maximum of 12, may be allowed as part of a planned
19	development rezoning or special use permit process, with the final
20	approval of the city commission, based on meeting all of the
21	following conditions:
22	
23	A. The size of the site can safely accommodate the additional
24	fueling positions while meeting all required landscaping,
25	buffering, and other Land Development Code
26	requirements;
27	
28	B. Site access and traffic safety conditions on adjacent
29	roadways and intersections are not compromised by the
30	additional trips generated by the additional fueling
31	positions;
32	
33	C. Pedestrian/bicycle safety and comfort in the area are not
34	compromised by the additional trips generated by the
35	additional fueling positions;
36	
37	D. The architectural and site design are of such high quality
38	that they enhance the site area and promote the city's multi-
39	modal and design goals. As part of a planned development
	61

Petition No. PB-13-127 TCH

1		rezoning or special use permit review process, the
2		developer shall provide a development plan, elevations and
3		architectural renderings of the proposed site including
4		details such as, but not limited to, façade treatment, colors,
5		lighting, roof detail, signage, landscaping, building location
6		relative to the street, and location of access points.
7		
8		E. Cross-access or joint driveway usage is provided to other
9		adjacent developments.
10		
11		F. Retail convenience goods sales or a restaurant are included
12		in the development and designed such that pedestrian or
13		bicycle use of the site is encouraged. The retail
14		convenience goods sales or restaurant building and
15		development shall meet all of the following requirements:
16		
17		i. Building(s) shall be placed close to the public
18		sidewalk for a substantial length of the site's linear
19		frontage;
20		
21		ii. A minimum of 30 percent window area or glazing
22		at pedestrian level (between three feet above grade
23		and eight feet above grade) on all first-floor
24		building sides with street frontage. Windows or
25		glazing shall be at least 80 percent transparent;
26		
27	0	iii. A pedestrian entry is provided from the public
28		sidewalk on the property frontage; or, near a
29		building corner when the building is on a corner lot;
30		
31		iv. Off-street parking shall be located to the side or rear
32		of the building;
33		
34		v. The building height and facade elevation are
35		appropriate for the site and surrounding zoned
36		properties.
37		
Photography .		

1	Until adoption in the Land Development Code of specific architectural and design		
2	standards, ten fueling positions within one-fourth mile of an I-75 interchange. In the		
3	interim period before the adoption of architectural and design standards, additional		
4	fueling positions, to a maximum of 12, may be allowed as part of a planned development		
5	rezoning or special use permit process, with the final approval of the city commission,		
6	based on meeting all of the conditions shown in subsections A. F. above.		
7			
8	(10) Within the TCEA, development plans for the placement of new parking garages		
9	as a principal or accessory use shall address:		
10			
11	 Minimizing conflict with pedestrian and bicycle travel routes; 		
12			
13	b. Providing parking for residents, employees, or customers in order to		
14	reduce the need for on-site surface parking;		
15			
16	c. Being located and designed to discourage vehicle access through		
17	residential streets;		
18	, and the second of the second		
19	d. Designing facilities for compatibility with neighborhoods by including		
20	ground floor retail, office, or residential use/development (as appropriate		
21	for the zoning district) when located on a public street. The facility shall		
22	also have window and facade design that is sealed to relate to the		
23	surrounding area.		
24			
25	(f) Streetseaping and landscaping requirements in the state-mandated TCEA.		
26			
27	(1) New development within Zones B, C, D, E, and M shall be required to plant		
28	minimum 65-gallon-sized trees, 18 feet tall and 3.5 inches in trunk caliper, or		
29	their equivalent in winter-dug and hardened-off balled and burlapped trees for the		
30	required landscaping along roadways within Zone B as listed in the annual level		
31	of service report produced by the North Central Florida Regional Planning		
32	Council, selected from the tree list in the Land Development Code.		
33			
34	(2) Within Zones C, D, E and M the 65 gallon tree landscaping requirement shall		
35	apply to all public or private streets. If 65-gallon or equivalent trees are not		
36	available, the number of required shade trees can be appropriately increased with		
37	the approval of the city arborist or designee.		
12	and a Time of the second control of the seco		

1	(3)	All new development sites within Zones B, C, D, E and M shall also be required			
2		to install an automated irrigation system to preserve new landscaping.			
3					
4	(4)—	Redevelopment sites shall be required to meet this landscaping policy at a 50			
5	73.15.	percent rate. Redevelopment sites where 40 percent or more of the developed area			
6		(as defined in the Land Development Code) of the site is being altered shall also			
7		be required to meet the automated irrigation system requirement.			
8					
9	(5)	Trees shall be planted on private property within buffer areas or on right-of-way,			
10	3.151	if approved by the city. Land Development Code regulations shall specify the			
11		type, size, and other standards for trees planted to meet TCEA requirements.			
12					
13	(6) —	Developments within areas designated in the Land Development Code as			
14		landscape exempt, areas within special area plans with pedestrian-oriented build-			
15		to line provisions, area within the approach and clear zone areas as specified on			
16		the Gainesville Regional Airport master plan, and developments meeting the			
17		criteria for rapid review as shown in the Land Development Code shall be			
18		excluded from these requirements.			
19					
20	(g) Parking in the state mandated TCEA. Within the TCEA, parking in excess of the				
21	minimum required by the Land Development Code shall not be allowed. Developments may				
22	apply for a parking reduction based on criteria in the Land Development Code.				
23					
24	NOTE: the two maps that are a part of this subsection are also repealed and deleted.				
25	Sec. 30-39. Sunset provision.				
26	The provision	s of division 4 entitled "State-mandated Transportation Concurrency Exception			
27	Area" within this article III shall sunset and be of no further force and effect on the date that the				
28	comprehensive plan amendments contained in Ordinance No. 090184 and Ordinance No. 090185				
29	become effective. Any application for a permit, development order or other official action of the				
30	city requiring transportation concurrency properly filed with the city prior to the effective date of				
31	the comprehensive plan amendments contained in Ordinance No. 090194 and Ordinance No.				
32	090185 shall not be affected by this sunset provision and shall be subject to division 3 and				
33	division 4, as applicable. Any application for a permit, development order or other official action				
34	of the city requiring transportation concurrency filed after the effective date of the				
35	comprehensive plan amendments contained in Ordinance No. 090184 and Ordinance No. 090185				
36	shall be subject to the goals, objectives and policies relating to the transportation concurrency				
37	exception are	a within the comprehensive plan.			
38					

Petition No. PB-13-127 TCH

CODE: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

- Section 6. A new Article III, Division 3 of the City of Gainesville Land Development
- 2 Code is created as follows.

3 <u>DIVISION 3. - TRANSPORTATION MOBILITY PROGRAM</u>

- 4 Sec. 30-37.1. Intent and purpose.
- 5 The purpose of this division is to implement the Transportation Mobility Program (TMP)
- 6 adopted in the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan. The intent of this division is to clarify
- 7 which transportation mobility regulations apply, encourage urban infill development and
- 8 redevelopment, fund transportation mobility needs, reduce traffic congestion, and provide a
- 9 range of transportation alternatives to benefit the overall transportation system.

11 Sec. 30-37.2. - Findings.

12 13

14

15

16

10

The City Commission finds that a range of transportation alternatives benefits the overall transportation system and has value to both the public and private sectors and that there is a need for strategies to provide for and fund mobility needs, and that the strategies set forth in the Comprehensive Plan's Transportation Mobility Element (Goal 10 and its associated objectives and policies):

17 18 19

(1) Provide a method by which developers can support and fund mobility needs created by development:

20 21 22

23

24

(2) Contribute to the provision of adequate public facilities for future growth and promote a strong commitment to comprehensive facilities planning, thereby reducing the potential for moratoria or unacceptable lack of transportation alternatives to support development; and

25 26 27

28

29

(3) Maximize the use of public funds for adequate transportation facilities to serve future growth and may, in certain circumstances, allow the city to expedite transportation modifications by supplementing funds currently allocated for transportation mobility modifications in the Capital Improvements Element (CIE).

30 31 32

Sec. 30-37.3. - Procedures.

33 34

35

(a) Applicability. The TMP shall apply to all development within city limits, with the exception of annexed properties that do not yet have an adopted City of Gainesville land use category.

- 38 (b) Requirements. The TMP requirements shall be administered according to the
 39 Transportation Mobility Program Area (TMPA), which shall include all property within city
 40 limits and shall be subdivided into designated Zones A, B, C, D, E and M as mapped in the
- 41 Transportation Mobility Element Data and Analysis Report and in the city's Geographic

DRAFT 1/30/15

1 2 3 4 5	Information System (GIS). Any development within these zones shall comply with the associated transportation mobility criteria as set forth in the Comprehensive Plan's Transportation Mobility Element (Goal 10 and its associated objectives and policies). Section 7. Section 30-333 in the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is				
6	amended as follows.				
7	Sec. 30-333 Excess parking requirements.				
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	more than ten percent, whichever is greater, is termed excess parking. Excess parking is prohibited in the <u>Transportation Mobility Program Area (TMPA) TCEA (transportation concurrency exception area)</u> . If a proposed development provides excess parking, the following requirements apply and may be imposed by the appropriate reviewing board at the time of development plan review or by the <u>director of pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices Department Director</u> or designee if <u>eity plan</u> board review is not required:				
15 16 17	(1) Excess parking may be provided in grass or stabilized pervious surface areas where it has been determined that:				
18	a. There will be a low frequency of use;				
19	b. The nature of the proposed use is suitable to such parking surfaces; and				
20 21 22	c. There is reasonable certainty that grass or pervious parking will not deteriorate the parking environment.				
23 24 25 26 27 28	(2) If excess parking is hard-surfaced, the parking facilities shall be landscape accordance with aArticle VIII, landscaping sections, except that the amou landscaping materials required for the excess parking spaces shall be double required amount and shall be distributed throughout the site in accordance aArticle VIII.				
29	Section 8. Appendix A, Section 4, Exhibit B (Special Area Plan for the Traditional City				
30	Area Minimum Development Standards), Subsection (s)(2) of the City of Gainesville Land				
31	Development Code is amended as follows. Except as amended herein, the remainder of				
32	Appendix A, Section 4, Exhibit B remains in full force and effect.				
33	SECTION 4 SPECIAL AREA PLAN FOR TRADITIONAL CITY				

Exhibit B. Special Area Plan for the Traditional City Area Minimum Development Standards

1	(s) Specially regulated uses.		
2	(2) Standard. The following uses are allowed by special use permit.		
3 4 5	 Drive-throughs. In addition to conforming to the provisions of the transportation concurrency exception area <u>Transportation Mobility Program Area</u> (TMPA), drive-throughs: 		
6 7	a. shall not have an entrance or exit onto an "A" street;		
8 9 10	b. shall have only one drive-through lane; and		
11	c. shall be located at the rear or side of the building.		
12 13	Section 9. Appendix A, Section 5, Exhibit B (Special Area Plan for the Central		
14	Corridors Minimum Development Standards), Subsection (h) of the City of Gainesville Land		
15	Development Code is amended as follows. Except as amended herein, the remainder of		
16	Appendix A, Section 5, Exhibit B remains in full force and effect.		
17	SECTION 5 SPECIAL AREA PLAN FOR CENTRAL CORRIDORS		
18	Exhibit B. Special Area Plan for the Central Corridors Minimum Development Standards		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	(h) Required compliance. All new commercial, office, eivie civic and multi-family buildings and developments shall be required to comply with the sections of the text labeled "standards." Automotive dealers (both new and used vehicles) located on N. Main Street north of N. 16 th Avenue and south of N. 53 rd Avenue are exempt from standards of this special area plan as applied through the Transportation Concurrency Exception Area Transportation Mobility Program Area (TMPA).		
26	Section 10. It is the intent of the City Commission that the provisions of Sections 1		
27	through 9 of this ordinance shall become and be made a part of the Code of Ordinances of the		
28	City of Gainesville, Florida, and that the sections and paragraphs of this ordinance may be		
29	renumbered or relettered in order to accomplish such intent.		
30	Section 11. If any word, phrase, clause, paragraph, section or provision of this ordinance		
31	or the application hereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid or unconstitutional, such		

DRAFT 1/30/15

1	finding shall not affect the other provisions or applications of this ordinance that can be give					
2	effect without the invalid or unconstitutional provision or application, and to this end the					
3	provisions of this ordinance are declared severable.					
4	Section 12. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are to the extent of					
5	such conflict hereby repealed.					
6	Section 13. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon adoption.					
7	PASSED AND ADOPTED this	day of	, 2015.			
8 9 10 11 12 13 14		EDWARD B. BRADD MAYOR	<u>Y</u>			
15 16 17 18	Attest:	Approved as to form an	d legality:			
19	KURT M. LANNON	NICOLLE M. SHALLI	EY			
20	CLERK OF THE COMMISSION	CITY ATTORNEY				
21						
22 23	This ordinance passed on first reading this	day of	, 2015.			
24	This ordinance passed on second reading this	day of	, 2015.			