An ordinance of the City of Gainesville, Florida, amending the Land
Development Code regarding concurrency and transportation mobility for
consistency with the Comprehensive Plan; by amending definitions in Section
30-23; by amending the title of Article III; by amending concurrency
management provisions in Article III, Division 2; by repealing and deleting
in its entirety Article III, Division 3 regarding proportionate fair-share; by
repealing and deleting in its entirety Article III, Division 4 regarding the
State Mandated Transportation Concurrency Exception Area (TCEA); by
creating a new Article III, Division 3 regarding the Transportation Mobility
Program (TMP); by amending excess parking requirements in Section 30-
333; by amending provisions in Appendix A, Section 4 regarding the Special
Area Plan for Traditional City Minimum Development Standards; by
amending provisions in Appendix A, Section 5 regarding the Special Area
Plan for Central Corridors Minimum Development Standards; providing
directions to the codifier; providing a severability clause; providing a
repealing clause; and providing an immediate effective date.

WHEREAS, on August 15, 2013, the City Commission of the City of Gainesville adopted Ordinance No. 120370, which amended the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan pursuant to the periodic evaluation and appraisal process set forth in Section 163.3191, Florida Statutes, to comply with changes to Florida Statutes, address changes in local conditions, correct internal inconsistencies, and provide clarification to Comprehensive Plan objectives and policies; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan amendments in Ordinance No. 120370, among other things, rescinded transportation concurrency by deleting the Concurrency Management Element and the Transportation Concurrency Exception Area (TCEA), and implemented an alternative system called the Transportation Mobility Program (TMP); and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan amendments in Ordinance No. 120370 became effective on October 12, 2013; and

1 WHEREAS, notice was given as re	required by law that the text of the Land Development
-----------------------------------	---

- 2 Code of the City of Gainesville, Florida, be amended; and
- 3 WHEREAS, the amendments to the text of the Land Development Code as proposed
- 4 herein are intended to bring the Land Development Code into consistency with the
- 5 Comprehensive Plan; and
- WHEREAS, on April 24, 2014, a public hearing was held by the City Plan Board, which
- 7 acts as the local planning agency pursuant to Section 163.3174, Florida Statutes, where it
- 8 recommended to the City Commission approval of the proposed amendments to the Land
- 9 Development Code; and
- WHEREAS, at least ten (10) days' notice has been given once by publication in a
- 11 newspaper of general circulation notifying the public of this proposed ordinance and of public
- 12 hearings in the City Hall Auditorium located on the first floor of City Hall, in the City of
- 13 Gainesville; and
- 14 WHEREAS, public hearings were held pursuant to the notice described above at which
- 15 hearings the parties in interest and all others had an opportunity to be and were, in fact, heard.
- 16 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE
- 17 CITY OF GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA:
- Section 1. Section 30-23 of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is amended
- as follows. Except as amended herein, the remainder of Section 30-23 remains in full force in
- 20 effect.
- 21 Sec. 30-23. Definitions.

- 1 Capital improvements element means the eCapital iImprovements eElement of the 1991-2001
- 2 Comprehensive Plan as it may be amended from time to time.
- 3 Comprehensive plan or comprehensive development plan means the City of Gainesville 1991
- 2001 Comprehensive Plan adopted by the eCity eCommission, and all amendments thereto as 4
- may be amended from time to time. 5
- De minimis development means a development of such low intensity as to have a de minimis 6
- effect, if any, on the level of service standards adopted in the eComprehensive pPlan. De 7
- minimis development includes one single-family dwelling or one two-family dwelling on a lot of 8
- record as of November 13, 1991. the effective date of adoption of this chapter. It also includes 9
- additions to or the erection of structures smaller than 200 square feet. De minimis development 10
- 11 shall be eligible for a certificate of concurrency exemption. For the purposes of traffic
- circulation concurrency only, developments generating fewer than 20 peak hour, peak direction 12
- trips shall be considered de minimis and thus exempt from the traffic circulation LOS standards. 13
- 14
- Public facilities means roads transportation facilities (including but not limited to roads, transit, 15
- sidewalks, and bicycle trails), sanitary sewer wastewater facilities, solid waste facilities, 16
- stormwater management facilities, potable water facilities, mass transit water supply facilities, 17
- public school facilities and parks and recreation facilities. 18

- Section 2. The title of Article III of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is
- amended as follows. 21
- ARTICLE III. VESTED RIGHTS REVIEW, CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT, 22
- PROPORTIONATE FAIR-SHARE AND STATE-MANDATED TRANSPORTATION 23
- CONCURRENCY EXCEPTION AREA TRANSPORTATION MOBILITY PROGRAM. 24
- **Section 3.** Article III, Division 2 of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is 25
- 26 amended as follows.
- 27 **DIVISION 2. - CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT.**
- 28
- 29 Sec. 30-30. - Intent and purpose.
- 30
- This division is intended to implement the City of Gainesville 1991-2001 Comprehensive Plan, 31
- as required by Section 163.3202, Florida Statutes, by ensuring that development approved by the 32
- city shall not result in a reduction of service below the adopted level of service (LOS) standards 33
- adopted contained in the Comprehensive Plan plan, as required by F.S. § 163.3202(2)(g). This 34
- 35 intent is implemented by means of a concurrency management system which that shall measure
- the potential impact of development order(s) on the adopted level of service standards. 36
- Sec. 30-31. Implementation of comprehensive plan. 37

- In order tTo implement the provisions of the Comprehensive Plan that require public facilities
 that meet the adopted LOS standards to be available concurrent with the impacts of development,
 plan requiring that adequate public facilities are available to handle the impacts of development,
 and maintain the city's adopted LOS standards concurrent with those impacts, the city
 establishes, pursuant to this division:
 - (1) A concurrency management system which that enables the city to determine whether it is adhering to the adopted LOS standards and its five5-year schedule of capital improvements; and
 - (2) A regulatory program that ensures each public facility is available to serve development concurrent with the impacts of development on public facilities.; and
 - (3) A Transportation Mobility Program (TMP) as an alternative to transportation concurrency. The TMP is an alternative mobility funding system that is not mobility fee-based.

Sec. 30-32. - Concurrency management system.

- (a) Generally. In order to ensure that adequate public facilities are available concurrent with the impacts of development on public facilities, the city shall establish the following monitoring practices.
- (b) Annual report on facility capacity. On an annual basis the planning and development services department shall issue a facility capacity report indicating the facility capacity status for each public facility having an adopted LOS standard. The extent of the remaining capacity available for each fiscal year shall be made available to the general public within 30 days of the start of each fiscal year. Gainesville Regional Utilities shall be responsible for determining the water supply and water and wastewater facility capacity status to be included in the facility capacity report-, as Capacity status shall be determined in accordance with Gainesville Regional Utilities' water/wastewater capacity policy.
 - (1) Nothing herein shall preclude the issuance and effectiveness of amendments to the annual report if updating or correction is deemed necessary for to update or correct: errors in preparation; the impact of issued development orders or permits, as monitored by the planning and development services department if such monitoring by the Planning and Development Services Department indicates an unacceptable degradation to an adopted LOS standard; or changes in the status of capital improvement projects of the state or any local government which that changes the underlying assumption of the annual report.

1 (2) Under no circumstances will aAn amended annual report shall not divest those 2 rights acquired prior to the amended annual statement report, except where a 3 divestiture of such rights is determined clearly established by the eCity 4 eCommission to be essential to the health, safety or welfare of the general public 5 and otherwise in accordance with law.

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18 (c) Annual eCapital iImprovements eElement update. As provided in the Comprehensive Plan plan, the eCapital iImprovements eElement shall be updated annually during the budget review process. The annual report on facility capacity, prepared in conjunction with the budget review process, shall include a forecast of the capacity of existing and planned capital improvements identified in the five5-year schedule of capital improvements. The forecast shall be based on the most recently updated schedule of capital improvements for each public facility. The pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment shall also annually revise relevant population projections, update public facility inventories, update unit costs, and update revenue forecasts in cooperation with the oOffice of mManagement and bBudget, the fFinance dDepartment and Gainesville Regional Utilities (GRU). The findings of the Planning and <u>dD</u>evelopment <u>sS</u>ervices <u>dD</u>epartment shall be fully considered in preparing any proposed amendments to the eCapital iImprovements eElement, any proposed amendments to the city annual budget for public facilities, any proposed amendments to GRU's annual budget for public

20 21 22

23 24

25

26

28

19

(d) Recommendations on amendments to the eCapital iImprovements eElement, city annual budget and GRU annual budget. Based upon the Planning and Development Services dDepartment report described above, the eCity mManager and gGeneral mManager of uUtilities shall annually propose to the eCity eCommission any amendments to the eCapital ilmprovements eElement, the city's annual budget and the GRU annual budget for capital improvements made necessary by circumstances described in the report.

facilities, and the review of and issuance of development orders during the next year.

27

Sec. 30-33. - Mandatory and optional review of development orders.

29 Generally. It is the policy of the city that, after the effective date of this chapter, no development order shall be issued unless adequate public facilities are available to serve the 30 project, which is the subject of the development order, at adopted LOS standards. The 31 32 responsibility for providing information to show compliance with the adopted LOS standards and 33 meeting concurrency requirements shall be upon the applicant. In order to ensure that adequate

34 public facilities are available concurrent with the impacts of development on each public facility. 35

the following procedures shall govern the issuance of development orders.

36 37

38

(b) Exemptions. Developments may be exempt from the concurrency requirements of this chapter, either automatically based on the development meeting certain threshold criteria and

- therefore requiring no concurrency review or certification, or subject to review and issuance of a 1 certificate of concurrency exemption as further provided in this chapter. Exemption can either 2 3 be: automatically exempt which require no concurrency review or certification, based on meeting certain threshold criteria; or, subject to review which require the issuance of a certificate of 4 concurrency exemption as further provided in this chapter. 5 6 The following types of development fall below the threshold for any concurrency review and are deemed automatically exempt: 7 Building permits for single-family dwellings (including expansions and 8 (1) 9 remodeling) on lots of record which that existed on or before June 10, 1992. 10 (2) Building permits for two-family dwellings (including expansions and remodeling) 11 on lots of record which that existed on or before June 10, 1992. 12 13 (3) 14 Developments which that meet the criteria for rapid review as provided in section 30-1595. 15 16 (4) Concept review of a development as specified in section 30-161(b)164. 17 18 (5) Zoning compliance permits with no associated change of use as defined in section 19 30-23. 20 21 22 (6) Lot splits. 23 Building permits for the construction or reconstruction of single-family or two-(7) 24 family dwellings which that previously have met all-of the concurrency 25 requirements of this chapter. 26 27 (8) Changes to a new use allowed under the applicable zoning district within an 28 29 existing neighborhood shopping center, regional shopping center or shopping 30 center which that do not involve adding any new square footage and/or impervious surface to the existing shopping center. 31 32 All other development that is not specifically enumerated above shall be exempt from the 33 concurrency requirements of this chapter only upon subject to review and require the issuance of 34 35 a certificate of concurrency exemption.
 - (c) Issuance of certificate of exemption. Upon application by an owner of for a project which that is exempt pursuant to the terms in this section, the director of the pPlanning and

<u>dD</u>evelopment <u>sS</u>ervices <u>dD</u>epartment <u>Director</u>, or <u>his/her</u> designee, shall issue a certificate of concurrency exemption, using the procedures described below. There shall be no fee for a certificate of concurrency exemption.

(d) Mandatory certification of preliminary development orders. Prior to the final approval of a preliminary development order, as defined in this chapter, the owner/developer of a project shall have obtained either a certificate of concurrency exemption, a certificate of preliminary concurrency or a certificate of conditional concurrency reservation. Renewals of approvals for preliminary development orders shall consider the implications for concurrency management.

 (e) Mandatory certification of final development orders. Prior to the final approval of a final development order, as defined in this chapter, the owner/developer of a project must shall demonstrate that a valid and unexpired certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of final concurrency or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation exists for the project. A valid and unexpired certificate of preliminary concurrency for a A project under consideration for which is seeking approval of a final development order that has a valid and unexpired certificate of preliminary concurrency and that and which has not been amended in a fashion to change densities and/or intensities of use shall be automatically granted a certificate of final concurrency. Renewals of approvals for final development orders shall consider the implications for concurrency management.

(f) Succession. As long as the original certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation remains valid and unexpired, it shall serve all subsequent development permits for that specific project.

(g) Optional review of projects. Any person may submit an application for a concurrency determination at any time subject to the payment of the appropriate application fee as set out in Appendix A (Schedule of Fees, Rates and Charges). A concurrency determination reserves no capacity and is in no way binding on the city. Applications for a concurrency determination must contain sufficient data on the project to prepare a concurrency determination.

(h) Credit for redevelopment, reuse or demolition of existing structure. If an applicant proposes to redevelop, reuse or demolish a building or part of a building as part of the redevelopment of a property and desires to receive public facility impact credits for that portion of the property being redeveloped, reused or demolished in accordance with this section, application shall be made and approved prior to such action. Construction must commence within one year after receiving a the timeframe established in the final development order to obtain the benefits of the credit. The applicant must provide sufficient information about the previous use of the structure(s) so that credits can be calculated. Credits are not transferable to

any other site. In the case of reuse or redevelopment of a building, full credit shall be provided for the existing square footage of the building even if a change of use occurs. However, any additional square footage added to the building or development shall be subject to concurrency and TMP review.

Sec. 30-34. - Administrative procedures.

(a) *Issuance and validity of certificates.*

(1) *Time of application*. An application for a certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency, concurrency determination or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation may be submitted at any time during the year.

(2) Assignability and transferability. A certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation shall run with the land as long as it is valid and unexpired, but shall not be assignable to any other project.

(3) Expiration and effect. A certificate of concurrency exemption shall be valid for one year. A certificate of preliminary concurrency and certificate of final concurrency shall be valid for the time period that the appropriate development order is valid and unexpired. If either a preliminary or final development order does not have an expiration date, the certificate of preliminary concurrency or certificate of final concurrency shall expire in one year. A certificate of conditional concurrency reservation shall be valid for the time period reserved in the certificate. A new application for a certificate of preliminary or final concurrency must be submitted if the project does not continue in good faith. A new fee will be assessed for the resubmittal of an application for a certificate of preliminary or final concurrency.

(b) Determination of exemption or capacity.

(1) Submission of application. Applications for a concurrency determination, certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency and certificate of conditional concurrency reservation shall be available in the pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment. Fees for each type of application are set forth out in Appendix A (Schedule of Fees, Rates and Charges). The application shall consist of such

1			mation as needed by the $p\underline{P}$ lanning and $d\underline{D}$ evelopment $s\underline{S}$ ervices
2		<u>an</u> er	partment to determine concurrency status.
3	(2)	Ingo	mulate applications. After receipt of an application tThe pDlenning and
4	(2)		mplete applications. After receipt of an application, tThe pPlanning and
5			velopment <u>sServices dDepartment</u> shall determine whether <u>an application</u> it is
6			plete within five working days after the date the application was received the
7			of submission. If it is determined that the application is not incomplete,
8			ten notice shall be mailed to the applicant specifying the deficiencies shall be ed to the applicant within five working days after the date the application was
9			
10			ived of submission. The applicant shall have 30 days to correct deficiencies
11 12			ne application after which time a new application with updated information
12			t be submitted and a new application fee will be incurred. The <u>pPlanning</u> and
13			velopment <u>sServices</u> development dDepartment shall take no further action
14 15		on u	ne application unless the deficiencies are remedied.
15 16	(3)	Davi	ew and comment on applications. Applications shall be reviewed The review
10 17	(3)		ew and comment on applications. Applications shall be reviewed the review oplications shall be completed within 12 working days after the date an
18		_	ication has been received and deemed complete determination of a complete
19			ication form. The $\frac{1}{9}$ Planning and $\frac{1}{9}$ Development $\frac{1}{9}$ Ervices $\frac{1}{9}$ Per partment shall
20			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21			esponsible for coordinating application review with the following departments agencies (as needed): under this article. The following departments and
22			agencies (as needed), under this article. The following departments and development services
23		_	artment in this process:
24		чере	utilient in une process.
25		a.	Gainesville Regional Utilities.
26		a.	Gamesvine Regional Offices.
27		b.	Public w Works d Department.
28		0.	Tuone www.orks.ub.epartment.
29		c.	Traffic engineering department Alachua County School Board (for
30		0.	residential developments).
31			residential de veropinonts j.
32		d.	Recreation and parks division Parks, Recreation and Cultural Affairs.
33		4.	1 wild, 1001 and Outland 1 mind,
34		e.	Regional ‡ <u>T</u> ransit <u>sS</u> ystem.
35			
36		f.	Alachua County p Public w Works d Department.
37			
38		g.	Any other departments, divisions or agencies as may be deemed necessary
39		J	by the \underline{PP} lanning and \underline{dD} evelopment \underline{sS} ervices \underline{dD} epartment.
40			- 1 <u> </u>

These agencies, departments and divisions shall forward their determinations of capacity to the <u>pP</u>lanning and <u>dD</u>evelopment <u>sS</u>ervices <u>dD</u>epartment, <u>which shall</u> then. The planning and development services department shall be responsible for compiling all the determinations of capacity into a report and issuing the relevant certification or denial of certification.

- (4) Limitation of approval. A concurrency determination, certificate of concurrency exemption, certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency and a certificate of conditional concurrency reservation shall apply only to the specific land uses, densities and intensities based on information provided in the application, and, where applicable, the preliminary and final development orders. All applicants, to the extent required by the pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment, shall submit such applications for entire projects, rather than portions of projects, except in cases of phased developments.
- (5) Issuance of certification. After concurrency review has been completed, the director of the pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices dDepartment Director or his/her designee shall either approve or deny the application conclude that the application is approved or denied. If denied, the denial shall be in writing and shall include the reasons for denial. If a certificate of conditional concurrency reservation is approved, the approval shall require the payment of the relevant reservation fee or a bond equal to the amount of the reservation fee for reserving capacity, as specified in the executed development agreement. This fee must be paid within ten working days of the issuance of the certificate of concurrency reservation or the certificate shall be cancelled. In either case, the final development order shall not be issued until the capacity reservation fee is paid. Public facility capacity shall be granted on a first-come, first-served basis, determined as of the date and time a certificate of preliminary concurrency, certificate of final concurrency or certificate of conditional concurrency reservation is issued.
- (6) Deferral of capacity reservation. Preliminary and final development orders may be issued without a concurrency reservation and payment of reservations fees only for water and/or wastewater facilities. An applicant may elect to defer a water and/or wastewater concurrency reservation and payment of fees until an application for a building permit is made. Deferrals are subject to the following procedures:

a. Preliminary and final development orders undergo a concurrency review at the time of application. A determination shall be made of whether

1				ent capacity exists at that time to permit the development under the
2				rency procedures. Under no circumstances shall a project be
3			_	d a deferral of capacity reservation if, at the <u>time of</u> application
4			point , 1	there is insufficient capacity to meet concurrency requirements.
5				
6		b.	_	oplicant shall must sign a deferral of capacity reservation affidavit
7			acknov	wledging that:
8				
9			1.	Water and/or wastewater capacity reservation is being deferred at
10				this stage.
11				
12			2.	No rights to obtain a final development order or building permit,
13				nor any other rights to develop the subject property, have been
14				guaranteed, granted or implied by the city's approval of the
15				preliminary and/or final development order without a concurrency
16				reservation.
17				
18			3.	No guarantee of water and/or wastewater capacity availability is
19				made.
20				
21			4.	A concurrency review must be made prior to the issuance of the
22				building permit to determine whether sufficient capacity exists for
23				the proposed project and no building permit will be issued until
24				sufficient capacity is available.
25				• •
26		c.	The a	pplicant may elect to cancel a deferral and reserve water and/or
27			-	water capacity at any time prior to the building permit application
28				under the following conditions:
29			Ü	Ç
30			1.	Treatment plant capacity is available to meet the needs of the
31				project; and
32				rJ,
33			2.	Full payment of water and/or wastewater reservation fees are made
34				to Gainesville Regional Utilities.
35				to Gamestine regional Canades.
36	(7)	Other	r proced	dures concerning concurrency. Any other procedures concerning
37	(1)		_	administration, calculations and methodologies, and determinations
38			-	aged by the $\frac{1}{2}$ Planning and $\frac{1}{2}$ Pevelopment $\frac{1}{2}$ Pervices $\frac{1}{2}$ Pepartment.
39				l mManager for uUtilities shall develop policies to administer the
J.J.		TIIC Z	<u>, u</u> onora	i minimus or tor definition sharr develop policies to administer the

1		water/wastewater capacity system, including capacity reservations and the
2		requirement for adequate water supply.
3		
4	(8)	Appeals.
5		
6		a. Application procedure. An applicant may appeal any final decision,
7		pursuant to this section, made by the director of the pPlanning and
8		<u>dD</u> evelopment <u>sS</u> ervices <u>dD</u> epartment <u>Director</u> or his/her designee by
9		filing an application for a hearing before the e <u>City</u> $pPlan bBoard$.
10		Applications for appeal shall be available in the $\frac{1}{2}$ lanning and
11		\underline{dD} evelopment \underline{sS} ervices \underline{dD} epartment. The appeal must be filed within 20
12		calendar days after such decision. The application for appeal shall be
13		accompanied by a fee as set forth out in Appendix A (Schedule of Fees,
14		Rates and Charges). The director shall set a date for a hearing to be held
15		by the e \underline{C} ity \underline{P} lan \underline{b} Board within 30 calendar days of an application for an
16		appeal and shall notify the applicant and the e \underline{C} ity \underline{P} Plan \underline{b} Board of the
17		date, time and place of the hearing. The notice shall be mailed to the
18		applicant not less than ten calendar days prior to the date of the hearing.
19		At the applicant's option and with the e \underline{C} ity \underline{P} Ian \underline{b} Board's concurrence,
20		stipulations and sworn affidavits may be submitted in lieu of testifying at
21		the e <u>City pP</u> lan b Board hearing.
22		
23		b. Conduct and recording of eCity pPlan bBoard hearing. At the eCity pPlan
24		bBoard hearing, the applicant making the appeal shall present all of the
25		evidence in support of the appeal. The city shall accurately and completely
26		preserve all testimony in the proceeding, and, at on the request of any
27		party, shall make a full or partial hearing record available at no more than
28		actual cost. At the conclusion of the testimony, the eCity pPlan bBoard
29		shall adopt a decision of approval, denial, or approval with conditions or
30		continue the proceedings to a date certain. A written decision shall follow
31		in not more than ten (10) calendar days.
32		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
33		c. Appeals to hearing officer. No person shall be deemed to have exhausted
34		his/her administrative remedies for the purpose of seeking judicial review
35		unless the party first obtains review of the director or eCity pPlan bBoard's
36		decision by a hearing officer as provided herein. The hearing officer
37		appeal process provided in this article is designed to allow for an appeal of
38		the e \underline{C} ity p \underline{P} lan b \underline{B} oard action after a full and complete hearing. This
39		appeal shall be construed in its broadest, nontechnical sense, which is

1	· ·	a application to a higher authority for a review of the eCity pPlan
2	<u>⊎B</u> oard a	ction taken.
3		
4	If the e <u>C</u> i	ty $p\underline{P}$ lan $b\underline{B}$ oard record of their hearing is full and complete, the
5	hearing o	fficer may determine that the record is the only evidence that is
6	necessary	7. However, the hearing officer may determine that additional
7	evidence	and oral or written testimony, including cross examination, is
8	necessary	to properly evaluate the e \underline{C} ity \underline{P} lan \underline{b} Board's action and render
9	a decision	n as to its validity. The hearing officer shall have the authority to
10	determine	e the need for additional evidence and/or testimony.
11		
12	$1. \qquad F$	iling of appeal; records; notice of decision. The procedure for
13	fi	ling an appeal shall be as follows:
14		
15	i.	An applicant must file a notice of appeal with the director
16		within twenty (20) calendar days of the eCity pPlan
17		₽Board's final decision. The notice of appeal must be
18		accompanied by a filing fee as set forth out in Appendix A
19		(Schedule of Fees, Rates and Charges).
20		
21	ii	. The notice of appeal shall set forth in detail the basis of the
22		appeal.
23	•	
24	ii	i. All expenses associated with the hearing officer appeal
25		process, except attorney fees, shall be the responsibility of
26		the nonprevailing party.
27		
28	iv	v. The city shall accurately and completely preserve all
29		testimony in the proceeding, and, at on the request of any
30		party, shall make a full or partial hearing record available a
31		no more than actual cost.
32		
33	V	In any case where a notice of appeal has been filed, the
34		decision of the eCity pPlan bBoard shall be stayed pending
35		the final determination of the case.
36		
37	v	i. Following the hearing, the hearing officer shall prepare the
38		written findings and decision; copies of the findings and
39		decision shall be mailed by the hearing officer to each party

1 2			to the appeal and to the director, with a copy provided to the e <u>C</u> lerk of the e <u>C</u> ommission.
3			_
4	2	Condu	act of hearing. Conduct of the hearing before the hearing
5		officer	shall be as follows:
6			
7		i.	The hearing officer shall set forth at the outset of the
8			hearing the order of the proceedings and the rules under
9			which the hearing shall be conducted.
10			
11		ii.	The order of presentation at the hearing shall be as follows:
12			(a) Receipt of the transcript, minutes and exhibits from the
13			\underline{eC} ity \underline{pP} lan \underline{bB} oard and any records of the director, if any.
14			
15			(b) Opening statements by the parties.
16			
17			(c) Appellant's case.
18			
19			(d) Respondent's case.
20			(d) Respondent's cuse.
			(a) Debutted by one ellent
21			(e) Rebuttal by appellant.
22			
23			(f) Summation by respondent.
24			
25			(g) Summation by appellant.
26			·
27			(h) Conclusion of the hearing by the hearing officer.
28			
29		iii.	The director's records and the record of the eCity pPlan
30			bBoard's hearing and decision, including all exhibits, shall
31			be received and constitute a part of the record.
32			
33		iv.	The hearing officer shall have the authority to determine
34			the applicability and relevance of all materials, exhibits and
35			testimony and to exclude irrelevant, immaterial or
36			repetitious matter.
37			

1 2		V.	The hearing officer is authorized to administer oaths to witnesses.
3			witnesses.
4		vi.	A reasonable amount of cross examination of witnesses
5		VI.	shall be permitted at the discretion of the hearing officer.
6			shall be permitted at the discretion of the hearing officer.
7		vii.	The time for presentation of a case shall be determined by
8		V11.	the hearing officer.
9			the hearing officer.
10		viii.	The hearing officer may allow the parties to submit written
10 11		V111.	findings of fact and conclusions of law following the
12			hearing, and shall advise the parties to the timetable for so
13			doing if allowed.
14			doing it anowed.
15	3.	Dacisi	ion by hearing officer. The decision of the hearing officer
16	5.		be based on the following criteria and rendered as follows:
17		SHall	be based on the following effects and rendered as follows.
18		i.	The hearing officer shall review the director's records and
19		1.	record and testimony presented at the hearing before the
20			eCity pPlan bBoard, and at the hearing officer's hearing.
21			Although additional evidence may be brought before the
22			hearing officer, the hearing shall not be deemed a hearing
23			de novo, and the record before the e \underline{C} ity \underline{P} Plan \underline{b} Board shall
24			be incorporated into the record before the hearing officer,
25			supplemented by such additional evidence as may be
26			brought before the hearing officer.
27			brought before the hearing officer.
28		ii.	The hearing officer shall be guided by the LOS level of
29		11.	service standards and the TMP requirements adopted in the
30			Comprehensive Plan plan and in this chaptersection, the
31			Concurrency Procedures Manual, technical evidence and
32			calculations, and established-case general law.
33			carotitations, and established case general law.
34		iii.	The burden shall be upon the appellant to show that the
35		1111	decision of the e \underline{C} ity \underline{P} Plan \underline{b} Board cannot be sustained by
36			a preponderance of evidence or the e \underline{C} ity p \underline{P} lan b \underline{B} oard
37			decision departs from the essential requirements of law.
38			acoloron doparto from the essential requirements of law.
39		iv.	The hearing officer's determination shall include
40		~ · •	appropriate findings of fact, conclusions of law and
			TI T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T

1 2 3			decisions in the matter of the appeal. The hearing officer may affirm, affirm with conditions or reverse the decision of the e <u>C</u> ity <u>pP</u> lan <u>bB</u> oard.
4			The Leaving of Community of the Line of the Community of
5		v.	The hearing officer shall file his or her written
6			determination on each appeal with the director within thirty
7			(30) calendar days of the date of the appeal hearing and a
8 9			copy shall be provided to the e <u>C</u> lerk of the e <u>C</u> ommission,
9 LO			the applicant and the director.
11		vi.	The design of the heaving officer shall be final for the
12		٧1.	The decision of the hearing officer shall be final for the purpose of administrative appeals.
13			purpose of administrative appears.
13 14	4.	hidi	cial appeal. Judicial review of the hearing officer's decision is
15	т.		lable to the applicant and the city and shall be by the circuit
16			t having jurisdiction over the city. In any case where judicial
17			ew is sought, the decision of the hearing officer shall be stayed
18			ling the final determination of the appeal.
19		pone	ang the man determination of the appear.
20	d. App	ointmen	at and qualifications of hearing officer. The eCity commission
21	11		e a hearing officer to conduct appeal hearings. No hearing
22		_	act as agent or attorney or be otherwise involved with any
23			h will come before the city during the term of the hearing
24			pointment. Further, no hearing officer shall initiate or consider
25			other communication with any party of interest to the hearing
26	_		the substance of any proceeding to be heard by the hearing
27		_	ept such expert advice as the hearing officer may determine
28			and solicit.
29	11	•	
30	(9) — Concurrenc	y fees ii	n designated redevelopment areas. In order to encourage
31		- *	reas so designated in the future land use element of the plan,
32			e the applicable concurrency fees by fifty (50) percent for
33			viects in those areas.
34	Sec. 30-35. Level of servi	ce stanc	dards.
35	The following level of serv	rice (LC	OS) standards ("LOS") shall be used to determine whether
36		•	acilities except transportation mobility. Transportation
37	_		for planning purposes, as the city has rescinded transportation
38	concurrency.÷		

1	(1)	Traffic	e circulation.
2			
3		a.	LOS "C" for limited access highways, controlled access highways and the
4			Florida intrastate highway system as defined and shown in the Mobility
5			Element of the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan; LOS "D" for
6			state two-way arterials in the roadway network as defined and shown in
7			the Mobility Element of the City of Gainesville-Comprehensive-Plan,
8			except on those specific highway segments within the Central City
9			Transportation Concurrency Management Area (TCMA) as provided in
10			paragraph (d) below or except on those specific segments designated as
11			backlogged or constrained by the Florida Department of Transportation
12			(FDOT) and shown in the Level of Service Report as provided in
13			paragraph (e) below.
14			
15		b	LOS "E" for nonstate roadways (including nonstate roadways functioning
16			as arterials) for the city-maintained facilities in the roadway network as
17			shown in the Mobility Element of the City of Gainesville Comprehensive
18			Plan, except on those specific segments within the Central City TCMA as
19			provided in paragraph (d) below or except for those specific segments
20			designated as backlogged or constrained by FDOT and shown in the level
21			of service report as provided in paragraph (e) below.
22			
23		e	LOS "D" for non-state roadways (including non-state roadways
24			functioning as arterials) for Alachua County-maintained-facilities in the
25			roadway network as shown in the Mobility Element of the Comprehensive
26			Plan, except those on specific segments within the Central City TCMA as
27			specified in paragraph (d) below or except for those specific segments
28			designated as backlogged or constrained by FDOT and shown in the level
29			of service report as specified in paragraph (e) below.
30			
31		d.	Within the Central City TCMA, as legally described in the Mobility
32			Element of the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan, the LOS
33			standards are as shown in Policy 1.1.5 of the Mobility Element of the
34			Comprehensive Plan.
35			
36		e	New development shall not degrade constrained and backlogged facilities
37			located outside the Central City TCMA as shown in the level of service
38			report as prepared by the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council

and on file with the planning and development services department. The constrained facilities shall be at least maintained at or below the maximum

39

1	service volume as identified in the most current level of service report.
2	The interim level of service for backlogged facilities shall be at least
3	maintained at or below the maximum service-volume as identified in the
4	most current level of service report until improvements are undertaken by
5	the appropriate agency responsible for maintenance of the roadway
6	segment to improve the level of service to the adopted LOS.
7	
8	1. Proposed development within 1/4 mile access of roadway facilities
9	designated as constrained or backlogged shall be of a land use not
LO	likely to exacerbate peak hour conditions; or
11	
L2	2. Proposed development within 1/4 mile access of roadway facilities
13	designated as constrained or backlogged shall have a traffic
14	mitigation program that limits impacts on peak hour capacity;
15	and/or
16	
17	3. Reuse of existing structures shall be permitted that does not
18	increase the trip generation over that generated by the most recent
19	use of such existing buildings.
20	
21	f. In order to meet concurrency requirements for development projects
22	within 1/4 mile of the following categories of roadway facilities:
23	
24	1. Any state or county maintained arterial or collector in the
25	Gainesville Urbanized Area Transportation Study ("GUATS")
26	network which has a median average annual daily trips (AADT)
27	within 85 percent of maximum service volumes allowed at LOS
28	"D" when calculated using Art-plan Analysis as identified in the
29	Florida Highway System Plan, Level of Service Manual published
30	by FDOT April 12, 1992 ed.;
31	, , ,
32	2. Any state—or county-maintained arterial or collector in the GUATS
33	network which has a median AADT within 85 percent of any
34	negotiated maximum volume; or
35	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
36	3. Any city-maintained collector in the GUATS network which has a
37	median AADT within 85 percent of maximum service volumes
38	allowed at LOS "E" when calculated using Art-plan analysis;
30	= 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1

1			muiti i	nodal access improvements, such as, but not limited to: (1) bicycle
2			parking	g; (ii) greenway/biketrail-connections; (iii) sidewalk connections
3			from n	ew and existing building(s) on the subject site to the public
4			sidewa	ulk; (iv) completion of public sidewalk serving the subject property
5			to an e	xisting sidewalk or to the nearest intersection where existing
6			sidewa	alk systems are incomplete and located on the same side of the
7			street;	(v) joint driveway access for adjacent parcels, if feasible; and (vi)
8			the clo	sing of poorly located, overly wide or duplicative curb cuts, shall be
9			require	ed when there is any new development; or, any redevelopment of an
LO			_	g site which results in a net increase in trip-generation.
l 1				
12	(1)	Trans	portatio	n mobility.
13				
14		a.	Roady	vay LOS:
15				
16			<u>1.</u>	The LOS for all roadways in city limits shall be LOS E, except for
17				I-75 and roadways operating as backlogged or constrained.
18				
19			<u>2.</u>	The LOS for I-75 segments that fall within city limits shall be
20			_	maintained at LOS D to the extent feasible, recognizing that I-75
21				serves land areas and traffic outside city limits.
22				
23			<u>3.</u>	The city shall attempt to maintain the 2012 operating LOS on all
24				backlogged and constrained roadways in city limits.
25				
26		b .	Transi	it LOS:
27		<u> </u>		
- <i>-</i> 28			<u>1.</u>	The city shall strive to provide fixed-route transit service within 1/4
29				mile of 80% of all medium and high density residential areas
30				identified on the Future Land Use Map, and within the RTS service
31				area.
32				<u></u>
33			<u>2.</u>	The city shall strive to provide peak hour frequencies of 20
34			<u>2.</u>	minutes or less within ¼ mile of all high density residential and
35				UMU-1 and UMU-2 land use areas in city limits.
36				One T and One 2 land about out in one in the
37			<u>3.</u>	The city shall strive to provide and maintain fixed-route transit
38			<u> </u>	service to all Existing Transit Hubs & Transit-Supportive Areas (as
39				mapped in the Transportation Mobility Element Map Series) with
40				peak hour frequencies of 30 minutes or less.
40				peak nour nequencies of 50 minutes of less.

1				
2			<u>4.</u>	The city shall strive to operate 80% of fixed-route transit routes for
3				at least 14 hours per day.
4				
5		c.	Pede	strian LOS:
6				
7			<u>1.</u>	The city shall install at least one linear mile of sidewalk annually
8				to retrofit existing areas without sidewalks.
9				
10			<u>2.</u>	Sidewalk construction shall be required for all new development,
11				except in areas designated with the Industrial land use category.
12				
13			<u>3.</u>	New streets shall be designed and constructed to include
14				sidewalks.
15				
16		<u>d.</u>	Bicy	cle and Trail LOS:
17				
18			<u>1.</u>	The city shall add an average of at least one mile of bicycle
19				facilities annually, including multi-modal trails.
20				
21			<u>2.</u>	New streets shall be designed and constructed to include bicycle
22				<u>facilities.</u>
23				
24	(2)	Stori	mwater	management.
25				
26		a.		LOS standard for all stormwater management facilities shall be the
27				year critical duration storm. The LOS standard for water quality
28				ment shall be treatment of first one inch of runoff; and compliance
29				the stormwater management facility design and performance
30				dards established by the applicable water management district to
31				re that the receiving water quality standards of Chapter 62-302 F.A.C.
32				net and to ensure that receiving surface waters maintain their
33			class	sifications as established in Chapter 62-302 F.A.C.
34				
35		b.		se standards shall apply to all new development and redevelopment.
36				exemptions, exceptions or thresholds in the Florida Administrative
37			Cod	e (F.A.C.) citations are not applicable.
38		_		
39	(3)	Pota	ıble wai	ter/wastewater <u>and water supply</u> .

Park	Adopted LOS Standard ¹	Existing LOS ²
Neighborhood park	0.08 <u>0.80</u> acres	1.33 acres
Community park ·	2.00 acres	2.13 acres
Local nature/conservation	6.00 acres	15.71 acres
Total acres per 1,000 people	8.80 acres	19.73 acres

¹ Park standards are in acres per 1,000 people.

² Existing LOS is based on 2011 city population estimate and available facilities.

Source: City of Gainesville, Planning and Development Services Department and the Department of Parks, Recreation and Cultural Affairs, 2011.

b. Park design and function standards.

- 1. Neighborhood parks. Moderately sized recreation areas located to provide convenient access (no more than one-half mile) from neighborhoods served. Includes tennis courts, racquetball courts, shade trees, picnic facilities, child play areas, and a limited number of soccer and baseball fields. Size ranges from five to 20 acres, although the presence of certain types of facilities may classify certain sites less than five acres as neighborhood parks. (These smaller sites must provide at least two facilities of different types from the following list: basketball courts, tennis courts, racquetball courts, baseball/softball fields, gymnasium or recreation center, and soccer fields.) Service radius is one-half mile. Access is by local streets, with facilities for pedestrians and bicycles.
- 2. Community parks. Intensive-use, activity-based recreation areas that serve an entire planning quadrant. Includes a wide range and large concentration of facilities: lighted tennis courts, racquetball courts, soccer and baseball fields, a swimming pool, off-street parking, playgrounds and picnic facilities. Sites 20 acres or larger are classified as "undeveloped" if the site does not contain at least two different types of these facilities. If LOS standards require community park acres, but the quadrant is not deficient in any of these facilities, the following facilities may be substituted: basketball courts, tennis courts or racquetball courts. Size ranges from 20 to 100 acres, although certain types of facilities may classify certain sites less than 20 acres as community parks. (Parks between ten to 20 acres can be classified as a community park if at least two different types of the following facilities are provided: baseball/softball fields, swimming pool, gymnasium, recreation center, and/or soccer or football fields.) Service radius is 1½ miles or the planning quadrant. Access is by collector or arterial streets, with facilities for pedestrians, bicycles, autos. and buses.
- 3. Local nature parks. Moderately sized, resource-based parks that offer physical or visual access to environmentally significant open spaces. Such parks include trails, benches, picnic facilities.

1		handreally and archibits Circlis composity loss than 100 sames (All
		boardwalks, and exhibits. Size is generally less than 100 acres. (All
2		resource-based parks owned by the city or county are designated
3		local nature parks, regardless of size.) Service radius is urban area-
4		wide. Access is variable. Motorized vehicles are prohibited from
5		pedestrian/bicycle corridors. Public properties containing
6		environmentally significant features that have not been developed
7		to accommodate passive recreation are known as "conservation
8		areas."
9		
10	(5) <i>Ma</i> e	ss transit.
11		
12	a.	The city shall provide main bus service to each medium and high intensity
13		mixed use area identified on the future land use map of the comprehensive
14		plan unless the city commission determines that there is inadequate
15		ridership to support this service.
16		
17	b.	The city shall provide main bus service to the medium and high intensity
18		mixed use areas identified on the future land use map of the
19		comprehensive plan with minimum-headways of one (1) hour during peak
20		hours. Peak hours shall be operating hours before 9:00 a.m. and between
21		3:30 p.m. and 7:00 p.m.
22		
23	c.	The city shall provide main bus service within one fourth mile of eighty
24		(80) percent of all medium and high density residential areas designated
25		on the future land use map of the comprehensive plan, and within the RTS
26		main bus service area as shown on the existing and future RTS main bus
27		service area map in the mass transit element of the comprehensive plan.
28		
29	d.	The city shall provide a transit system that can accommodate at least one
30		and-three fourths (13/4) percent of all Gainesville-urbanized area daily
31	•	person trips as determined by the FDOT trip-generation model developed
32		by John Harris (1986).
33		·
34	(5) <i>Pul</i>	blic schools facilities. The uniform, district-wide LOS standards for
35	ele	mentary, middle and high schools shall be 100% of Program Capacity as
36	anr	nually adjusted by the School Board. This LOS standard shall apply to all
37		ool concurrency services areas (SCSAs) (within Gainesville's city limits) as
38		opted in the Interlocal Agreement. For combination schools, the School Board
39		Ill separately determine the capacity of each school to accommodate

1 2 3			entary, middle and high school students, and shall apply the LOS standard bribed above for elementary, middle and high school levels respectively.
4	(6)	Solia	waste. The following LOS standard for disposal and collection capacity
5	(*)		be established: 0.655 tons of solid waste per capita per year disposed (3.6
6			ids of solid waste per capita per day disposed).
7	Sec. 30-36. S	Standa	rds of concurrency review.
8	The followin	g stand	lards of review shall be utilized used in concurrency review. to determine
9		_	andards have been met:
10	(1)	Pota	ble water, solid waste, stormwater management, water supply, wastewater
11		and i	recreation and wastewater. The eConcurrency requirements for potable
12		wate	er, solid waste, stormwater management and wastewater for potable water,
13		solid	waste, stormwater management, water supply, wastewater and recreation
14		shall	be met by any one of the following standards:
15			
16		a.	The necessary facilities and services are in place at the time a final
17			development order is issued;
18			
19		b.	A final development order is issued subject to the condition that the
20			necessary facilities and services will be in place and available to serve the
21			development no later than the issuance of a certificate of occupancy or its
22			functional equivalent when the impacts of the development occur;
23			
24		c.	The necessary facilities are under construction and bonded for completion
25			at the time a final development order is issued; or
26			
27		d.	The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable
28			development agreement that includes the provisions listed in subsections
29			30-36(1)a. through c. of this section, which guarantee is secured by a
30			completion bond, letter of credit is secured by a completion bond, letter of
31			credit, or other acceptable form of security acceptable subject to review
32			and approval as to form and legality by to the eCity aAttorney. The
33			agreement must guarantee that the necessary facilities and services will be
34			in place and available to serve the development no later than the issuance
35			of a certificate of occupancy or its functional equivalent when the impacts
36			of the development occur.

1		e. Prior to approval of a building permit or its functional equivalent, the city
2		shall consult with Gainesville Regional Utilities to determine whether
3		adequate water supplies to serve the new development will be available no
4		later than the anticipated date of issuance by the city of a certificate of
5		occupancy or its functional equivalent.
6	-	
7	(2)	Recreation. The concurrency requirements for recreation shall be met by any one
8		of the following standards:
9		
10		a. The necessary facilities and services are in place at the time a final
11		development order is issued;
12		
13		b. A final development order is issued subject to the condition that the necessary
14		facilities and services will be in place when the impacts of the development
15		occur;
16		
17		c. The necessary facilities are under construction and bonded for completion
18		at the time a final development order is issued;
19		
20		d. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable
21		development agreement that includes the provisions listed in subsections
22		30-36(2)a. through c. of this section, which guarantee is secured by a
23		completion bond, letter of credit or other security acceptable to the city
24		attorney. The agreement must guarantee that the facilities and services will
25		be in place when the impacts of the development occur;
26		
27		e. At the time the final development order is issued, the necessary facilities
28		and services are the subject of an executed, binding contract, bonded for
29		completion and which is acceptable to the city attorney, which provides
30		for the start of construction of the required facilities, or provision of the
31		services, within one (1) year of the issuance of the final development
32		order; or
33		
34		f. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable
35		development agreement requiring commencement of actual construction
36		of the facilities or the provision of services within one year from issuance
37		of the applicable development order, which guarantee is secured by a
38		completion bond, letter of credit or other security acceptable to the city
39		attorney.
40		·

1	(3) -	- Traffic circulation and mass transit. The concurrency requirements for traffic
2		circulation and mass transit shall be met by any one of the following standards:
3		
4		a. The necessary facilities are in place at the time a final development order
5		is issued;
6		
7		b. — A final-development order is issued subject to the condition that the
8		necessary facilities and services will be in place when the impacts of the
9		development occur;
10		
11		c. The necessary facilities are under construction and bonded for completion
12		at the time a final development order is issued;
13		
14		d. The necessary facilities and services are guaranteed in an enforceable
15		development agreement that includes the provisions listed in subsections
16		30-36(3)a. through c. of this section, which guarantee is secured by a
17		completion bond, letter of credit or other security acceptable to the city
18		attorney. The agreement must guarantee that the facilities and services will
19		be in place when the impacts of the development occur;
20		
21		e. At the time the final development order is issued, the necessary facilities
22		and services are the subject of an executed, binding contract, bonded for
23		completion and which is acceptable to the city attorney, which provides
24		for the start of construction of the required facilities, or provision of the
25		services, within one year of the issuance of the final development order;
26		
27		f. The necessary facilities and services are shown for actual construction in
28		the first three years of the applicable, adopted state department of
29		transportation five year work program, and are scheduled to commence
30		construction within the first three years of the five-year schedule of capital
31		improvements included within the capital improvements element of the
32		comprehensive plan; or
33		
34		g. The necessary facilities are shown for actual construction by the city in the
35		first three years of the five year schedule of capital improvements
36		included with the capital improvements element of the plan.
37		
38	(2)	Public schools facilities. The concurrency requirements for public school
39		facilities shall be met if:
40		

1		<u>a.</u>	Adequate school facilities (elementary, middle, and high school) are in
2			place or will be under actual construction within 3 years after the issuance
3			of a final development order or its functional equivalent;
4			
5		<u>b.</u>	Adequate school facilities are available in an adjacent School Concurrency
6			Service Area (SCSA) or will be in place or under actual construction in an
7			adjacent SCSA within 3 years after the issuance of a final development
8			order or its functional equivalent; or
9			
10		<u>c.</u>	The developer executes a legally binding commitment to provide
11			mitigation proportionate to the demand for public school facilities to be
12			created by actual development of the property, including, but not limited
13			to, the options described in Subsection 163.3180(6)(h)2.a., Florida
14			Statutes.
15			
16	(4 <u>3</u>)	Proje	ect phasing. In determining the availability of public facilities, an applicant
17		may	propose and the city may approve proposed projects in stages or phases so
18		that p	public facilities needed for each phase shall be available in accordance with
19		the s	tandards set forth in this section.
20			
21	Section	on 4.	Article III, Division 3 of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is
22	repealed and	delete	d in its entirety as follows.
23	DIVISION :	3 PR	OPORTIONATE FAIR-SHARE
24			
25	Sec. 30-37.1	. Into	ent and purpose.
26	T1	- C 41- ! -	Aladalan in talanta linka and alada and and and and and and and and and
27 28			division is to establish a method whereby the impacts of development on ties can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private
28 29			n as the proportionate fair-share program, as required by and in a manner
30			- §-163.3180(16).
31			
32	Sec. 30-37.2	Fin	dings.
33 ·	eret to		
34			on finds that transportation capacity is a commodity that has a value to both
35 36	ine public ar	ia priva	nte sectors, and that the City of Gainesville Proportionate Fair Share Program:
30 37	(1)	Prov	rides a method by which the impacts of development on transportation
38	(1)		ities can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private
39		secto	
40			

1	(2)—	Allows developers of property to proceed under certain conditions,
2		notwithstanding the failure of transportation concurrency, by contributing their
3		proportionate fair share of the cost of a transportation modification;
4		
5	(3)	Contributes to the provision of adequate public facilities for future growth and
6		promotes a strong commitment to comprehensive facilities planning, thereby
7		reducing the potential for moratoria or unacceptable levels of traffic congestion;
8		
9	(4)	Maximizes the use of public funds for adequate transportation facilities to serve
10		future growth, and may, in certain circumstances, allow the city to expedite
11		transportation modifications by supplementing funds currently allocated for
12		transportation modifications in the capital improvements element (CIE).
13		
14	(5)	Is consistent with F.S. § 163.3180(16), and Policies 1.2.1 and 1.2.6 in the city's
15	()	CIE.
16		
17	(6) —	Allows proportionate fair share mitigation to be directed toward one or more
18	,	specific transportation modifications reasonably related to the mobility demands
19		created by a development and such modifications may address one or more modes
20		of travel.
21		
22	(7) —	Limits proportionate fair share contributions to ensure that a development
23		meeting the mitigation requirements is not responsible for the additional cost of
24		reducing or eliminating backlogs.
25		
26	(8)	Recognizes that the funding of any modification that significantly benefits the
27	. ,	impacted transportation system can satisfy transportation concurrency
28		requirements as a mitigation of the development's impact upon the overall
29		transportation system even if there remains a failure of transportation concurrency
30		on other impacted facilities.
31		•
32	Sec. 30-37.3.	- Procedures.
33	(a) Applie	cability. Except as listed below in this subsection (a), the proportionate fair shore
34		cability. Except as listed below in this subsection (a), the proportionate fair share lapply to all developments that have been notified of a lack of capacity to satisfy
35		1 concurrency on a transportation facility in the City of Gainesville Concurrency
36	Management	System (CMS), including transportation facilities maintained by the Florida
37		of Transportation (FDOT) or another jurisdiction that are relied upon for
38		determinations, pursuant to the requirements of this section. The proportionate fair
39		n does not apply to:
40	Share program	in does not apprij to.
41	(1)	Developments of regional impact (DRIs) using proportionate share under F.S. §
42	(1)	163.3180(12); or

- (2) Developments exempted or excepted from concurrency as provided in the concurrency management element of the comprehensive plan, including without limitation, developments within the city's TCEA Zones A, B and C, as adopted in the city's comprehensive plan prior to July 8, 2009; or
- (3) Developments excepted from concurrency by virtue of being located within the state mandated TCEA that receive a final development order on or after July 8, 2009. Developments in the state mandated TCEA shall be required to meet the applicable standards in division 4 of this article or in the comprehensive plan, at such time as adopted. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any applicant that filed an application for a development order with the city prior to July 2009, and was being processed with a requirement to comply with the proportionate fair share program, may elect to proceed with its development under the proportionate fair share program by entering into a proportionate fair share agreement with the city; or
- (4) Development on annexed property located within the state mandated TCEA that does not yet have a city land use category. In accordance with Objective 4.4 and its sub-policies in the city's future land use element and F.S. § 171.062(2), such developments shall continue to be subject to the county land use plan and county zoning or subdivision regulations until such time as the city adopts a comprehensive plan amendment placing a city land use category on the annexed property.
- (b) General requirements. An applicant may choose to satisfy the transportation concurrency requirements of the city by making a proportionate fair share contribution, pursuant to the following requirements:
 - 1) The proposed development is consistent with the comprehensive plan and applicable land development regulations.
 - (2) The five year schedule of capital improvements in the city's CIE or the long-term schedule of capital improvements for an adopted long-term-concurrency management system includes a transportation modification(s) that, upon completion, will satisfy the requirements of the city's transportation CMS. The provisions of subsection (b)(3) may apply if a project or projects needed to satisfy concurrency are not presently contained within the city's CIE or an adopted long-term schedule of capital improvements.
 - (3) The city may choose to allow an applicant to satisfy transportation concurrency through the proportionate fair share program by contributing to a transportation modification that, upon completion, will satisfy the requirements of the city's transportation CMS, but is not contained in the five year schedule of capital improvements in the CIE or a long-term schedule of capital improvements for an adopted long term CMS, where the following apply:

a. The city adopts by resolution a commitment to add the transportation modification(s) to the five year schedule of capital improvements in the CIE or long term schedule of capital improvements for an adopted long term CMS no later than the next regularly scheduled update. Additionally, to qualify for consideration under this section, the proposed transportation modification must be: determined to be financially feasible by the city commission for city transportation facilities, or by the governmental entity or entities maintaining the impacted transportation facility for county and state roads, pursuant to F.S. § 163.3180(16)(b)1.; consistent with the comprehensive plan; and in compliance with the provisions of the city's proportionate fair share program. Financial feasibility for this section shall mean that additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed ten years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities.

If the funds allocated for the five year schedule of capital improvements in the CIE are insufficient to fully fund construction of a transportation modification required by the CMS, the city may still enter into a binding proportionate fair-share-agreement with the applicant authorizing construction of that amount of development on which the proportionate fair share is calculated if the proportionate fair share amount in such agreement is sufficient to pay for one or more projects which, in the opinion of the governmental entity or entities maintaining the transportation facility, (i) are reasonably related to the mobility demands created by the development, and (ii) will significantly benefit the impacted transportation system even if there remains a failure of concurrency on other impacted facilities (also referred to as system-wide transportation projects). In order for the city to enter into the proportionate fair share agreement, the governmental entity or entities maintaining the impacted transportation facilities must provide written findings to the city as to (i) and (ii).

c. The system wide transportation projects as mentioned in subsection 30-39(b)(3)b. and subsection 30-39(b)(4) shall include, but not be limited to: the traffic management system (TMS), expansions of the transit fleet to increase service-frequency, bus rapid transit corridors, transit service expansion to new areas, park and ride facilities for the transit system, or other mobility projects improving the transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle level of service.

d. The modification or modifications funded by the proportionate fair-share program shall be adopted into the five-year capital improvements schedule of the comprehensive plan or the long term schedule of capital

1		improvements for an adopted long term concurrency management system
2		at the next annual CIE update.
3		•
4	•	e. Any modification proposed to meet the developer's fair share obligation
5		must meet design standards of the city on city roads or Metropolitan
6		Transportation Planning Organization (MTPO) for locally maintained
7		roadways and those of the FDOT for the state highway system.
8		
9	(c) Applica	tion process. Upon notification of a lack of capacity to satisfy transportation
10	concurrency. th	ne applicant shall-also be notified of the opportunity to satisfy transportation
11		rough the proportionate fair share program pursuant to the requirements of section
12	30-39.	
13	0005.	
14	(1)	Prior to submitting an application for concurrency certification that involves a
15	(*)	proportionate fair share agreement, a pre application staff conference shall be
16		held to discuss eligibility, application submittal requirements, potential mitigation
17		options, and related issues. The pre application meeting may be held in
18		conjunction with a traffic study meeting. If the impacted facility is on the strategic
19		intermodal system (SIS), then the FDOT will be notified and invited to participate
20		in the pre application meeting.
21		in the pre approached meeting.
22	(2)	The applicant shall submit a completed application for concurrency certification
23		at the time of application for development plan review, special use permit
24		approval, subdivision or minor subdivision approval, or planned development
25		rezoning that includes:
25 26		rezoning that merades:
		NT
27		Name, address and phone number of owner(s), developer and agent;
28		Phasing schedule, if applicable;
29		Trip generation and trip distribution; and
30		Description of the proportionate fair-share mitigation method(s) that will be
31		provided.
32		
33	(3)	Pursuant to F.S. § 163.3180(16)(e), proposed proportionate fair-share mitigation
34	(3)	for development impacts to facilities on the SIS requires the concurrence of the
35		FDOT. The applicant shall submit evidence of an agreement between the
36		applicant and the FDOT for inclusion in the proportionate fair share agreement.
37		approant and the 1 201 for merasion in the proportionate fair share agreement.
38	(4)	When an application is deemed sufficient, complete, and eligible, the applicant
39	(1)	shall be advised in writing and a proposed proportionate fair share obligation and
40		binding proportionate fair share agreement will be prepared by the city manager
41		or designee and delivered to the appropriate parties for review including a conv
T-T-		OF ANOMETING WHAT HOLLY CITED OF THE PART HATCH THE TOTAL AND THE PART HATCH THE PART HATCH THE TOTAL AND THE PART HATCH THE P

1		to the FDOT for any proposed proportionate fair-share mitigation on a SIS
2		facility, Alachua County for any proposed proportionate fair share mitigation on a
3		county maintained facility, or any other municipality whose road facility is
4		significantly impacted and for which proposed proportionate fair share mitigation
5		is required. No proportionate fair share agreement will be effective until fully
6		executed by the applicant and the city manager or designee. The agreement shall
7		specify the date or dates on which payments, dedications, and/or completed
8		construction of projects by the developer are due.
9		
10	(d) Deter	mining proportionate fair share obligation. As provided in F.S. § 163.3180(16)(c),
11	proportionate	-fair share mitigation method for transportation concurrency impacts may include,
12		ation, separately or collectively, private funds, contributions of land, and
13		and contribution of facilities. Construction and contribution of facilities shall be
14	subject to fin-	al-inspection and approval by the appropriate governmental agency. Proportionate
15	fair-share mit	igation may be directed toward one or more specific transportation modification(s)
16	reasonably re	lated to the mobility demands created by the development and such modification(s)
17		one or more modes of travel.
18		
19	(1)	As provided in F.S. § 163.3180(16)(c), a development shall not be required to pay
20		more than its proportionate fair share. The fair market value of the proportionate
21		fair share mitigation for the impacted facilities shall not differ regardless of the
22		method of mitigation. Proportionate fair share mitigation shall be limited to
23		ensure that a development meeting the requirements of this section mitigates its
24		impact on the transportation system but is not responsible for the additional cost
25		of reducing or eliminating backlogs.
26		
27	(2)	The methodology-used to calculate an applicant's proportionate fair-share
28		obligation shall be as provided for in F.S. § 163.3180(12), as follows:
29		
30		"The cumulative number of trips from the proposed development expected to
31		reach roadways during peak hours from the complete build out of a stage or phase
32		being approved, divided by the change in the peak hour-maximum service volume
33		(MSV) of roadways resulting from construction of an improvement necessary to
34		maintain the adopted LOS, multiplied by the construction cost, at the time of
35		developer payment, of the improvement necessary to maintain the adopted LOS."
36		OR
37		Proportionate Fair Share = σ[[(Development Trips;sub\sub;)/(SV
38		<pre>Increase;sub\sub;)] × Cost;sub\sub;]</pre>
39		Where:

Development Trips; sub\sub; = Those net, new peak hour trips from the stage or phase of development under review that are assigned to roadway segment "i" and have triggered a deficiency per the CMS;

SV Increase; sub\sub; — Service volume increase provided by the eligible improvement/modification to roadway segment "i" per this section;

Cost;sub\sub; = Adjusted cost of the modification to segment "i". Cost shall include all modifications and associated costs, such as design, right of way acquisition, planning, engineering, inspection, and physical development costs directly associated with construction at the anticipated cost in the year it will be incurred.

- (3) For the purposes of determining proportionate fair-share obligations, the city shall determine modification costs based upon the actual cost of the modification as obtained from the CIE, the MTPO/TIP or the FDOT Work Program. Where such information is not available, modification cost shall be determined using one of the following methods:
 - An analysis by the city manager or designee of costs by cross-section type that incorporates data from recent projects and is updated annually and approved by the city manager or designee. In order to accommodate increases in construction material costs, project costs shall be adjusted by an inflation factor; or
 - b. The most recent issue of FDOT-Transportation Costs, as adjusted based upon the type of cross section (urban or rural); locally available data from recent projects on acquisition, drainage and utility costs; and significant changes in the cost of materials due to unforeseeable events. Cost estimates for state road improvements not included in the adopted FDOT Work Program shall be determined using this method in coordination with FDOT District 2.
 - e. If the city has accepted a modification project proposed by the applicant, then the value of the modification shall be determined using one of the methods provided in this section.
 - d. If the city has accepted right of way dedication for the proportionate fair-share payment, credit for the dedication of the non-site related right of way shall be valued on the date of the dedication by fair market value established by an independent appraisal provided to the city by the applicant, at the applicant's expense. The appraisal is subject to review and approval by the city. The applicant, at its own expense, shall supply to the city: a certified survey and legal description of the land and an owner's title policy insuring the city for the appraised value. If the right of way

1	4. Divide the sum of all p.m. peak hour corridor counts into the TMS
2	cost within the city limits to obtain a cost per peak trip.
3	
4	b. The transit costs shall be calculated as follows:
5	Development's net, new peak hour trip generation × (TAA Costs/TAA
6	new peak trips) /CF-where,
7	
8	TAA Cost = Transit Assessment Area Cost (3 years) of capital and
9	operating costs for enhancements to existing transit service routes that
10	demonstrate the need for service expansion (i.e., full-buses, high
11	productivity, customer requests); 5 years of capital and operating costs for
12	new transit service routes).
13	new dampie service routes).
14	TAA new peak trips = the new transit trips available in the peak hour
15	based on the enhancements.
16	based on the omancements.
17	CF = the conversion factor of person-trips to vehicle trips (= the current
18	vehicle occupancy rate per the local transportation model is 1.09).
19	
20	(6) If the city designates any multimodal transportation districts (MMTD), the
21	proportionate fair-share assessments shall be based on the expected costs and
22	transportation benefits of all the required multimodal modifications within the
23	MMTD. The proportionate fair-share assessment shall be based on the percentage
24	of proposed development net, new peak hour trips divided by the total number of
25	trips projected for the MMTD multiplied by the cost to provide all needed
26	mobility modifications within the MMTD.
27	
28	(e) Proportionate fair-share agreements. Upon execution of a proportionate fair-share
29	agreement (agreement), the applicant shall receive a city certificate of preliminary and/or final
30	concurrency (as appropriate). Should the applicant fail to apply for a development permit within
31	the timeframe provided in the Land Development Code, then the agreement shall be considered
32	null and void, and the applicant shall be required to reapply.
33	
34	(1) Payment of the proportionate fair share contribution is due in full prior to
35	issuance of the final development order, special use permit, second reading of the
36	PD ordinance, or recording of the final plat, whichever is the first to occur, and
37	shall be nonrefundable. If the payment is submitted more than 12 months from the
38	date of execution of the agreement, then the proportionate fair share cost shall be
39	recalculated at the time of payment based on the best estimate of the construction
40	cost of the required modification at the time of payment, pursuant to subsection
41	4H_4V(d) and adjusted accordingly

- (2) All developer modifications authorized under this section must be completed prior to issuance of a building permit, or as otherwise established in a binding agreement that is accompanied by a security instrument that is sufficient to ensure the completion of all required modification(s). It is the intent of this section that any required modification(s) be completed before issuance of building permits.
- (3) Dedication of necessary right-of-way for facility modifications pursuant to an agreement must be completed prior to issuance of the final development order or recording of the final plat.
- (4) Any requested change to a development project subsequent to a development order may be subject to additional proportionate fair share contributions to the extent the change would generate additional traffic that would require mitigation. If a requested change to a development project reduces its traffic impact subsequent to a development order and prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the applicant may request that the proportionate fair share agreement be amended and the contribution reduced to reflect the revised mitigation required, if the city has not appropriated the funds. Applicants may submit a letter to withdraw from the proportionate fair share program at any time prior to the execution of an agreement.
- (f) Appropriation of fair share revenues. Proportionate fair share revenues shall be placed in the appropriate project account for funding of scheduled modifications in the city's CIE, or as otherwise established in the terms of the proportionate fair share agreement. At the discretion of the city, proportionate fair share revenues may be used for operational modifications prior to construction of the capacity project from which the proportionate fair share revenues were derived. Proportionate fair share revenues may also be used as the 50 percent local match for funding under the FDOT Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP).
 - (1) In the event a schedule facility modification is removed from the CIE, then the revenues collected for its construction may be applied toward the construction of another modification within that same corridor or sector that is found to mitigate the impacts of development pursuant to the requirements of subsection 30-39(b)(3)b.
 - Where an impacted regional facility has been designated as a regionally significant transportation facility in an adopted regional transportation plan as provided in F.S. §339.155, the city may coordinate with other impacted jurisdictions and agencies to apply proportionate fair share contributions and public contributions to seek funding for improving the impacted regional facility under the FDOT TRIP. Such coordination shall be ratified by the city commission through an interlocal agreement that establishes a procedure for earmarking of the developer contributions for this purpose.

- (g) Impact fee credit for proportionate fair-share mitigation. If the city adopts transportation impact fees, the following provisions shall apply:
 - (1) Proportionate fair share contributions shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements contemplated by the city's impact fee ordinance.
 - (2) Impact fee credits for the proportionate fair share contribution will be determined when the transportation impact fee obligation is calculated for the proposed development. Impact fees owed by the applicant will be reduced per the proportionate fair share agreement as they become due per the city's impact fee ordinance. If the applicant's proportionate fair share obligation is less than the development's anticipated road impact fee for the specific stage or phase of development under review, then the applicant or its successor must pay the remaining impact fee amount to the city pursuant to the requirements of the city impact fee ordinance.
 - (3) Major projects not included within the city's impact fee ordinance or created under subsection 30 39(b)(3)a. and b. which can demonstrate a significant benefit to the impacted transportation system may be eligible at the local government's discretion for impact fee credits.
 - (4) The proportionate fair share obligation is intended to mitigate the transportation impacts of a proposed development at a specific location. As a result, any road impact fee credit based upon proportionate fair share contributions for a proposed development cannot be transferred to any other location unless provided for within the city's impact fee ordinance.

Sec. 30-37.4. - Intergovernmental coordination.

- (a) Cross jurisdictional impacts. Pursuant to policies in the intergovernmental coordination element of the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan, the city shall coordinate with affected jurisdictions, including FDOT, regarding mitigation to impacted facilities not under the jurisdiction of the local government receiving the application for proportionate fair share mitigation. An interlocal agreement may be established with other affected jurisdictions for this purpose.
- (b) In the interest of intergovernmental coordination and to reflect the shared responsibilities for managing development and concurrency, the city may enter into an agreement with one or more adjacent local governments to address cross jurisdictional impacts of development on regional transportation facilities. The agreement shall provide for application of the methodology in this section to address the cross jurisdictional transportation impacts of development.

1 A development application submitted to the city subject to a transportation concurrency 2 determination meeting all of the following criteria shall be subject to this section: 3 -All or part of the proposed development is located within .25 mile(s) of the area which is under the jurisdiction, for transportation concurrency, of an adjacent 4 5 local government or generates more than 1.000 net, new ADT: and Using its own-concurrency analysis procedures, the city concludes that the 6 additional traffic from the proposed development would use five percent or more 7 8 of the adopted peak hour LOS maximum service volume of a regional 9 transportation facility within the concurrency jurisdiction of the adjacent local 10 government ("impacted regional facility"); and 11 The impacted regional facility is projected to be operating below the level of service standard, adopted by the adjacent local government, when the traffic from 12 13 the proposed development is included. 14 -Upon identification of an impacted regional facility pursuant to subsection 30-40(c)(1) 15 16 (3), the city shall notify the applicant and the affected adjacent local government in writing of the opportunity to derive an additional proportionate fair share contribution, based on the projected 17 18 impacts of the proposed development on the impacted adjacent facility. 19 20 The adjacent local government shall have up to 30 days in which to notify the city of a proposed specific proportionate fair-share obligation, and the intended use of the funds when 21 received. The adjacent local government must provide reasonable justification that both the 22 amount of the payment and its intended use comply with the requirements of F.S. § 23 163.3180(16). Should the adjacent local government decline proportionate fair-share mitigation 24 25 under this section, then the provisions of this section would not apply and the applicant would be 26 subject only to the proportionate fair share requirements of the city. 27 28 - If the subject application is subsequently approved by the city, the approval shall include a condition that the applicant provides, prior to the issuance of any building permit covered by 29 that application, evidence that the proportionate fair share obligation to the adjacent local 30 31 government has" been satisfied. 32 Section 5. Article III, Division 4 of the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is 33 34 repealed and deleted in its entirety as follows. 35 **DIVISION 4. STATE MANDATED TRANSPORATION CONCURRENCY** 36 **EXCEPTION AREA** 37 Sec. 30-38.1. Intent and purpose. The purpose of this division is to implement short-term strategies for the area within the city 38 limits that the State of Florida designated as a transportation concurrency exception area as 39

identified in Map-1 (the "state-mandated-TCEA") through its enactment of Senate Bill 360 (2009)

regular session) now known as Chapter Law No. 2009-96. The intent of this division is to

40

- 1 eliminate uncertainty within the development community as to which transportation mobility
- 2 regulations apply, to encourage urban infill development and redevelopment by providing an
- 3 exception to the city's transportation concurrency management system and proportionate fair-
- 4 share program, to fund transportation mobility needs in accordance with state law, to reduce
- 5 traffic congestion, and to provide for a range of transportation alternatives that benefits the
- 6 overall transportation system.

Sec. 30-38.2. Findings.

7

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

- 8 The city commission finds that a range of transportation alternatives benefits the overall
- 9 transportation system and has value to both the public and private sectors, that there is a need for
- 10 short term strategies to provide for and fund mobility needs until such time as long term
- 11 strategies can be adopted into the city's comprehensive plan, and that the short term strategies for
- 12 the state mandated TCEA set forth in this division:
- 13 (1) Provide a method by which developers of property within the state mandated
 14 TCEA can support and fund mobility needs created by development;
 - (2) Contribute to the provision of adequate public facilities for future growth and promote a strong commitment to comprehensive facilities planning, thereby reducing the potential for moratoria or unacceptable lack of transportation alternatives to support development:
 - (3) Maximize the use of public funds for adequate transportation facilities to serve future growth, and may, in certain circumstances, allow the city to expedite transportation modifications by supplementing funds currently allocated for transportation mobility modifications in the capital improvements element (CIE); and
 - (4) Are consistent with Chapter Law No. 2009 96, and Objective 1.10 and its subpolicies in the city's concurrency management element.

Sec. 30-38.3. Procedures.

(a) Applicability. These short term strategies shall apply to all developments within the statemandated TCEA identified in Map 1 that receive a final development order on or after July 8, 2009. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any applicant that filed an application for a development order with the city prior to July 8, 2009, and was being processed with a requirement to comply with the proportionate fair share program, may elect to proceed with its development under the proportionate fair share program by entering into a proportionate fair share agreement with the city. These short term strategies do not apply to:

- c. Deeding of land or conveyance of required easements along the property frontage to the city, as needed, for the construction of public sidewalks, bus turn out facilities and/or bus shelters. Such deeding or conveyance of required easements, or a portion of same, shall not be required if it would render the property unusable for development. A transit facility license agreement (executed by the property owner and the city) for the placement of a bus shelter and related facilities on private property may be used in lieu of deeding or conveyance of easements if agreeable to the city. The license term shall be for a minimum of ten years.
- d. Closure of existing excessive, duplicative, or unsafe curb cuts or narrowing of overly wide curb cuts at the development site, as defined in the access management portion of the Land Development Code.
- e. Safe and convenient on site pedestrian circulation such as sidewalks and crosswalks connecting buildings and parking areas at the development site.

Transportation modifications which are required due to traffic safety and/or operating conditions and which are unrelated to transportation mobility needs shall be provided by the developer.

- (2) Within Zones B, C, D, E, or M new development or redevelopment shall provide all of the items listed in (b)(1) a. through e. and meet required standards, as specified in the zone requirements (as relevant to its respective zone), to address transportation mobility needs within the TCEA. Transportation modifications which are required due to traffic safety and/or operating conditions and which are unrelated to transportation mobility needs shall be provided by the developer and any such items provided shall not count towards meeting required standards relevant to the zone.
- (3) Within Zone B, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet the following development standards, provided at developer expense, based on the development's (including all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on transportation mobility needs. The developer shall sign a development agreement or contract with the City of Gainesville for the provision of these standards. The choice of standards shall be subject to the final approval of the city during the plan approval process. The standards chosen shall relate to the particular transportation conditions and priorities in Zone B or adjacent areas. The developer

may choose to provide one or more standards off-site with the city's approval. In recognition of the varying costs associated with the standards, the city shall have the discretion to count some individual standards, based on cost estimates provided by the developer and verified by the city, as meeting two or more standards.

Net, new average daily trip generation	Number of standards which must be met
Less than 50	At least-one standard
50 to less than 100	At least two standards
<u>100</u> to 400	At least three standards
400 to 999	At least five standards
Greater than 1,000 trips but less than 5,000 trips	At least eight standards
Greater than 5,000 trips	At least twelve standards and meet a. or b. below:
	a. Be on an existing transit routeb. Provide funding for a new transit route

Zone B Standards

a. Intersection and/or signalization modifications to improve level of service and safety and address congestion management. This may include, but is not limited to: signal timing studies, fiber optic inter connection for traffic signals, roundabouts, OPTICOM signal preemption, and/or implementation of elements of the Gainesville Traffic Signalization Master Plan Update. Implementation of the master plan includes installation of intelligent transportation system (ITS) features such as state of the art traffic signal controllers, dynamic message signs, and traffic monitoring cameras designed to maximize the efficiency of the roadway network by reducing congestion and delay.

b. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus maintenance/operations facility.

Construction of bus shelters built to city specifications or bus shelter lighting using solar technology designed and constructed to city specifications.

d. Construction of bus turn-out-facilities.

1	e. Provision of bus pass programs provided to residents and/or-employees-or
2	the development. The bus passes must be negotiated as part of a contract
3	with the Regional Transit System.
4	
5	f. Payments to the Regional Transit System, which either increase service
6	frequency or add additional bus service, including express transit-service
7	or bus rapid transit, where appropriate.
8	
9	g. —Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing.
10	Sidewalk construction required to meet Land Development Code
11	requirements along property frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA
12	standards.
13	
14	h. Widening of existing public sidewalks to increase pedestrian mobility and
15	safety.
16	
17	i. Deeding of land for the addition and construction of bicycle lanes, or
18	construction of bicycle lanes to city specifications.
19	
20	j. Provision of ride sharing or van pooling programs.
21	
22	k Use of joint driveways or cross-access to reduce curb cuts.
23	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
24	1. Provision of park and ride facilities.
25	1
26	m. Funding of streetscaping/landscaping (including pedestrian scale-lighting
27	where relevant) on public rights of way or medians, as coordinated with
28	the implementation of the city's streetscaping plans.
29	
30	n. Business operations that can be proved to have limited or no peak hour
31	roadway impact.
32	
33	o. Provision of shading through awnings or canopies over public sidewalk
34	areas to promote pedestrian traffic and provide protection from the
35	weather so that walking is encouraged. The awning or canopy shall
36	provide pedestrian shading for a significant length of the public sidewalk
37	in front of the proposed or existing building.
38	The first of the f

1	p.	Provision of additional bicycle parking over the minimum required by the
2		Land Development Code. Additional bicycle parking may be used to
3		substitute for the required motorized vehicle parking.
4		
5	q.	In order to increase the attractiveness of the streetscape and reduce visual
6		clutter along roadways, which promotes a more walkable environment,
7		provision of no ground mounted signage at the site for parcels with 100
8		linear feet or less of property frontage. Or, removal of non-conforming
9		signage or billboards at the site. Signage must meet all other regulations in
10		the Land Development Code.
11		
12	r.	Enhancements to the city's greenway system (as shown in the
13		transportation mobility map series) which increase its utility as a multi-
14		modal transportation route. Such enhancements may include, but not be
15		limited to: 1) trail amenities such as benches, directional signage, or safety
16		systems; 2) bicycle parking at entry points or connecting with transit lines;
17		3) land acquisition for expansion or better connectivity of the greenway
18		system; 4) additional entry points to the greenway system; 5) bridges
19		spanning creeks or wetland areas; and/or, 6) appropriate trail surfacing.
20		
21	s.	Participation in a transportation demand management program that
22		provides funding or incentives for transportation modes other than single
23		occupant vehicle. Such demand management programs shall provide
24		annual reports of operations to the city indicating successes in reducing
25		single occupant vehicle trips.
26		
27	ŧ.	Clustering of and design of the development for maximum density, or
28		maximum FAR, at the site which preserves open space, reduces the need
29		for development of vacant lands, enhances multi-modal opportunities and
30		provides transit oriented densities or intensities.
31		
32	u. —	Construction of new road facilities, including bicycle and pedestrian
33		facilities, which provide alternate routes to reduce congestion.
34		-
35	v. 	Addition of lanes on existing road facilities (including, but not limited to,
36		the expansion of SR 121 north of US 441 to CR 231 to four lanes), where
37		acceptable to the city and/or MTPO, as relevant.
38		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
39	w 	An innovative transportation related modification or standard submitted
40		by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the city.

12 13 (4) Within Zone C, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet the following development standards, provided at developer expense, based on the development's (including all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on transportation mobility needs. The developer shall sign an agreement with the City of Gainesville for the provision of these standards. The choice of standards shall be subject to the final approval of the city during the plan approval process. The standards chosen shall relate to the particular transportation conditions and priorities in Zone C or adjacent areas. In recognition of the varying costs associated with the standards, the city shall have the discretion to count some individual standards, based on cost estimates provided by the developer and verified by the City, as meeting two or more standards.

Number of standards which must be met Net, new average daily trip generation Less than 50 At least one standard 50 to less than 100 At least three standards 100 to 400 At least 4.5 standards 400 to 999 At least 7.5 standards Greater than 1,000 trips but less than 5,000 trips At least twelve standards Greater than 5,000-trips At least eighteen standards and meet a. or b. below: a. Be on an existing transit route b. Provide funding for a new transit route

14 15

16 17

18

232425

26 27

Zone C Standards

- a. Roadway projects to: provide a more interconnected transportation network in the area, provide alternate routes to reduce congestion, and reduce pressure on arterials. All roadway projects shall include bicycle and pedestrian facilities. These projects include, but are not limited to the following projects, and may include projects outside the limits of the TCEA that can be demonstrated to be a direct benefit to the transportation system in the area of the TCEA:
 - 1. Extension of SW 40th Boulevard to connect from its terminus south of Archer Road to SW 47th Avenue:

1	2. Extension of SW 47th Avenue to connect from its terminus east
2	and south to Williston Road; and
3	
4	3. In areas where redevelopment occurs: extension of streets, deeding
5	of land, or easements to create a more gridded network and provide
6	connectivity; and
7	
8	4. Extension of SW 40th Place from SW 27th Street to SW 47th
9	Avenue.
10	
11	Developers may deed land for right of way and/or construct roadway extensions
12	to city specifications. Prior to the donation of the right of way, the developer and
13	the city must agree upon the fair market value of the land for the purposes of
14	meeting this standard. In the event the parties cannot agree as to the value of the
15	land, the developer may submit an appraisal acceptable to the city for purposes of
16	establishing value, subject to review by the city.
17	
18	b. Intersection and/or signalization modifications to improve level of service
19	and safety and address congestion management. This may include, but is
20	not limited to: signal timing studies, fiber optic inter-connection for traffic
21	signals, roundabouts, OPTICOM signal preemption, and/or
22	implementation of elements of the Gainesville Traffic Signalization
23	Master Plan Update. Implementation of the master plan includes
24	installation of intelligent transportation system (ITS) features such as state
25	of the art traffic signal-controllers, dynamic-message signs, and traffic
26	monitoring cameras designed to maximize the efficiency of the roadway
27	network by reducing congestion and delay.
28	
29	e. Construction of bus shelters built to city specifications.
30	
31	d. Bus shelter lighting using solar technology to city specifications.
32	
33	e. Construction of bus turn out facilities to city specifications.
34	
35	f. Construction of bicycle and/or-pedestrian facilities/trails to city
36	specifications. This may include provision of bicycle parking at bus
37	shelters or transit hubs or deeding of land for the addition and construction
38	of bicycle lanes or trails.
39	

1	g. Payments to the Regional Transit System, which either increase service
2	frequency or add additional bus service, including express transit and bus
3	rapid transit, where appropriate.
4	
5	h. Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing or
6	completion of sidewalk connectivity projects. Sidewalk construction
7	required to meet Land Development Code requirements along property
8	frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA standards. The priority for
9	sidewalk construction shall be:
10	
11	1. Along SW 35th Place east from SW 34th Street to SW 23rd Street:
12	
13	2. Along SW-37th Boulevard/SW-39th Boulevard (north side) south
14	from Archer Road to SW 34th Street;
15	
16	3. Along SW 27th Street from SW 35th Place to Williston Road for
17	pedestrian/transit connectivity; and,
18	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
19	4. Along the west side of SW 32nd Terrace from SW 35th Place to
20	the terminus of the University Towne Centre sidewalk system (at
21	the property line).
22	
23	i. Use of joint driveways or cross-access connections to reduce curb cuts.
24	
25	j. Funding of streetscaping/landscaping on public rights of way or medians,
26	as coordinated with the implementation of the city's streetscaping plans.
27	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
28	k. Pedestrian-scale lighting in priority areas including:
29	
30	1. SW 35th Place;
31	
32	2. SW 37th/39th Blvd.;
33	
34	3. SW 23rd Terrace; and
35	
36	4. Williston Road.
37	
38	1. Business operations that can be proven to have limited or no peak hour
39	roadway impact.
40	

1		m: Design and/or construction studies/plans for projects such as planned
2		roundabouts, road connections, sidewalk systems, and/or bike trails.
3		
4		n. Provision of matching funds for transit or other transportation mobility-
5		related grants.
6		o. Participation in a transportation demand management program that
7		provides funding or incentives for transportation modes other than single
8		occupant vehicle. Such demand management programs shall provide
9		annual reports of operations to the city indicating successes in reducing
10		single occupant vehicle trips.
11		
12		p. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
13		maintenance/operations facility.
14		
15		q. An innovative transportation related modification or standard submitted
16		by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the City.
17		
18	(5)—	The city establishes the following priority for projects in Zone C. For
19		developments east of SW 34th Street in Zone C the priority shall be:
20		
21		a. Construction of an off-street pedestrian path on one side of SW 35th Place
22		from SW 34th Street to SW 23rd Terrace.
23		
24		b. A roundabout at SW 23rd Terrace and SW 35th Place.
25		
26		For developments west of SW 34th Street in Zone C the priority shall be:
27		
28		a. Construction of a southerly extension of SW 40th Boulevard from its
29		current end-south of its intersection with Archer Road to the intersection
30		of SW 47th Avenue. This roadway connection shall include bicycle and
31		pedestrian facilities.
32		
33		b. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
34		maintenance/operations facility.
35		
36	(6) —	Within Zone D, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet the
37		following development standards, provided at developer expense, based on the
38		development's (including all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on
39		transportation mobility needs. The developer shall sign an agreement with the

City of Gainesville for the provision of these standards. The choice of standards shall be subject to the final approval of the city during the plan approval process. The standards chosen shall relate to the particular transportation conditions and priorities in Zone D or adjacent areas. In recognition of the varying costs associated with the standards, the city shall have the discretion to count some individual standards, based on cost estimates provided by the developer and verified by the city, as meeting two or more standards.

Net, new average daily trip-generation	Number of standards which must be met
Less than 50	At least 1.5 standards
50 to less than 100	At least four standards
100 to 400	At least six standards
400 to 999	At least ten standards
Greater than 1,000 trips but less than 5,000 trips	At least 16-standards
Greater than 5,000 trips	At least 24 standards and meet a. or b. below: a. Be on an existing transit route with minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS b. Provide funding for a new transit route with minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS or provide funding to improve transit headways to minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS. Funding for new routes shall include capital and operating costs for a minimum of five years. Funding for existing route expansions shall include capital and operating costs for three years.

Zone D Standards

- a. Roadway projects to: provide a more interconnected transportation network in the area, provide alternate routes to reduce congestion, and reduce pressure on arterials. All roadway projects shall include bicycle and pedestrian facilities. These projects include, but are not limited to the following projects, and may include projects outside the limits of the TCEA or Zone D that can be demonstrated to be a direct benefit to the transportation system in the area of the TCEA:
 - 1. Extension of SW 40th Boulevard to connect from its terminus south of Archer Road to SW 47th Avenue;

1	2. Extension of streets, deeding of land, or easements to create a more
2	gridded network and provide connectivity
3	
4	Developers may deed land for right-of-way and/or construct roadway extensions
5	to city specifications. Prior to the donation of the right of way, the developer and
6	the city must agree upon the fair market value of the land for the purposes of
7	meeting this standard. In the event the parties cannot agree as to the value of the
8	land, the developer may submit an appraisal acceptable to the city for purposes of
9	establishing value, subject to review by the city.
10	
11	b. Construction of bus shelters built to city specifications.
12	• •
13	c. Bus shelter lighting using solar technology to city specifications.
14	
15	d. — Construction of bus turn out facilities to City specifications.
16	
17	e. Construction of bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities/trails-to-city
18	specifications. This may include provision of bicycle parking at bus
19	shelters or transit hubs or deeding of land for the addition and construction
20	of bicycle lanes or trails.
21	
22	f. Payments to the Regional Transit System, which either increase service
23	frequency or add additional bus service, including Express Transit and
24	Bus Rapid Transit, where appropriate.
25	
26	g. Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing or
27	completion of sidewalk connectivity projects. Sidewalk construction
28	required to meet Land Development Code requirements along property
29	frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA standards.
30	
31	 Harmonia for the construction of a new or expanded bus
32	maintenance/operations main facility.
33	
34	i. Business operations that can be proven to have limited or no peak hour
35	roadway impact.
36	
37	j. — Design and/or construction studies/plans for projects such as planned
38	roundabouts, road connections, sidewalk systems, and/or bike trails.
39	

- k. Provision of matching funds for transit or other transportation mobility related grants.
- 1. Construction of Park and Ride facilities built to RTS standards and requirements for the area.
- m. An innovative transportation related modification or standard submitted by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the city.
- (7) The City establishes the following priority for projects in Zone D.
 - a. Construction of a southerly extension of SW 40th Boulevard from its current end south of its intersection with Archer Road to the intersection of SW 47th Avenue. This roadway connection shall include bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
 - b. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus maintenance/operations facility.
- (8) Within Zone E, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet the following development standards, provided at developer expense, based on the development's (including all phases) trip generation and proportional impact on transportation mobility needs. The developer shall sign an agreement with the City of Gainesville for the provision of these standards. The choice of standards shall be subject to the final approval of the city during the plan approval process. The standards chosen shall relate to the particular transportation conditions and priorities in Zone E or adjacent areas. In recognition of the varying costs associated with the standards, the city shall have the discretion to count some individual standards, based on cost estimates provided by the developer and verified by the city, as meeting two or more standards.

Net, new average daily trip generation	Number of standards which must be met
Less than 50	At least 1.5 standards
50 to less than 100	At least four standards
100 to 400	At least six standards
400 to 999	At least ten standards
Greater than 1,000 trips but less than 5,000 trips	At least 16 standards

Greater than 5,000 trips At least 24 standards and meet a. or b. below: a. Be on an existing transit route with minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS b. Provide funding for a new transit route with minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS or provide funding to improve transit headways to minimum 15 minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS. Funding for new routes shall include capital and operating costs for a minimum of five years. Funding for existing route expansions shall include capital and operating costs for three years.

Zone E Standards

a. Roadway projects to: provide a more interconnected transportation network in the area, provide alternate routes to reduce congestion, and reduce pressure on arterials. All roadway projects shall include bicycle and pedestrian facilities. These projects include, but are not limited to the following projects, and may include projects outside the limits of Zone E or the TCEA that can be demonstrated to be a direct benefit to the transportation system in the area of the TCEA:

1. Widening of SR 121 to four lanes north of US 441 to CR 231;

2. Extension of streets, deeding of land, or easements to create a more gridded network and provide connectivity;

Developers may deed land for right of way and/or construct roadway extensions to city specifications. Prior to the donation of the right of way, the developer and the city must agree upon the fair market value of the land for the purposes of meeting this standard. In the event the parties cannot agree as to the value of the land, the developer may submit an appraisal acceptable to the city for purposes of establishing value, subject to review by the city.

Construction of bus shelters built to city specifications, where transit service is available.

e. Bus shelter lighting using solar technology to city specifications, where transit service is available.

1		d	Construction of bus turn out facilities to city specifications, where transit
2			service is available.
3			
4		e.	Construction of bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities/trails to city
5		С.	specifications. This may include provision of bicycle parking at bus
6			
			shelters or transit hubs or deeding of land for the addition and construction
7			of bicycle lanes or trails.
8		c	December 4 D 1 17 1/0 1 11 1/1 1/1
9		f.	Payments to the Regional Transit System, which either increase service
10			frequency or add additional bus service, including express transit and bus
11			rapid transit, where appropriate.
12			
13		g.	Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing or
14			completion of sidewalk connectivity projects. Sidewalk construction
15			required to meet Land Development Code requirements along property
16			frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA standards.
17			
18		h. —	Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
19			maintenance/operations main facility.
20			
21		i.	Business operations that can be proven to have limited or no peak hour
22			roadway impact.
23			
24		j. 	Design and/or construction studies/plans for projects such as planned
25			roundabouts, road connections, sidewalk systems, and/or bike trails.
26			
27		k.	Provision of matching funds for transit or other transportation mobility
28			related grants.
29			
30		1.	Construction of Park and Ride facilities built to RTS standards and
31			requirements for the area.
32			
33		m.	An innovative transportation related modification or standard submitted
34			by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the city.
35			
36	(9)	The C	City establishes the following priority for projects in Zone E.
37	•		
38		a.	widening of SR 121 to four lanes north of US 441 to CR 231.
39			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1		b. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
2		maintenance/operations-facility.
3		
4	(10)	-Within Zone M, development or redevelopment shall be required to meet
5		standards by making a proportionate share payment of the planned costs to fund
6		mobility, including transit, pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular needs, in the zone.
7		This may include projects outside the limits of Zone M (in adjacent areas) that can
8		be demonstrated to be a direct benefit to the transportation system in the area of
9		Zone M. The proportionate share shall be based on the development's (including
10		all phases) trip generation and proportional-impact on transportation mobility
11		facilities calculated as set forth in section 30-37.3(d), Determining proportionate
12		fair share obligation, subsections (1), (2) and (3). In addition to making the
13		proportionate share payment, development or redevelopment that has a net, new
14		average daily trip generation of greater than 5,000 trips shall either be located on
15		an existing transit route with minimum 15-minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m.
16		peak hours for RTS or shall provide funding for a new transit-route with minimum
17		15-minute frequencies in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours for RTS or provide
18		funding to improve transit headways to minimum 15 minute frequencies in the
19		a.m. and p.m. peak-hours for RTS. Funding for new routes shall include capital
20		and operating costs for a minimum of five years. Funding for existing route
21		expansions, shall include capital and operating costs for three years. The
22		developer shall sign an agreement with the City of Gainesville for the provision of
23		mobility needs. The following is a list of mobility needs/projects in Zone M:
24		
25		a. Roadway projects to: provide a more interconnected transportation
26		network in the area, provide alternate routes to reduce congestion, and
27		reduce pressure on arterials. All roadway projects shall include bicycle
28		and pedestrian facilities. These projects include, but are not limited to the
29		following projects:
30		
31		1. Extension of Hull Road consistent with MTPO Option M;
32		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
33		2. Extension of SW-62nd Boulevard to SW Archer Road in
34		accordance with the MTPO design; and
35		and the state of t
36		3. Extension of streets, deeding of land, or easements to create a more
37		gridded network and provide connectivity;
38		gridded network and provide connectivity,

1	Developers may deed land for right of way and/or construct roadway extensions
2	to city specifications. Prior to the donation of the right of way, the developer and
3	the city must agree upon the fair market value of the land for the purposes of
4	meeting this standard. In the event the parties cannot agree as to the value of the
5	land, the developer may submit an appraisal acceptable to the city for purposes of
6	establishing value, subject to review by the city.
7	
8	b. — Eight articulated buses.
9	
10	c. Funding for the construction of a new or expanded bus
11	maintenance/operations facility.
12	•
13	d. Construction of four transit superstops on SW 20th Avenue built to city
14	specifications.
15	
16	e A Park and Ride facility with a minimum of 100 spaces, including transfer
17	station and restrooms/information center, built to RTS specifications.
18	,
19	f. Traffic management system equipment for transit vehicles operating in
20	Zone M.
21	
22	g. Payments to the Regional Transit System for the capital and operating
23	costs associated with Route 62, which includes two new buses.
24	,
25	h. Construction of public sidewalks where they are not currently existing or
26	completion of sidewalk connectivity projects. Sidewalk construction
27	required to meet Land Development Code requirements along property
28	frontages shall not count as meeting TCEA requirements.
29	
30	i. Business operations that can be proven to have limited or no peak hour
31	roadway-impact.
32	
33	j. Design and/or construction studies/plans for projects such as planned
34	roundabouts, road connections, sidewalk systems, and/or bike trails.
35	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
36	k Funding for express transit and bus rapid transit, where appropriate.
37	5 and tapta tamor, more appropriate,

1		1. An innovative transportation-related modification-or standard submitted
2		by the developer, where acceptable to and approved by the city.
3		
4		m. Funding for two buses for Route 22.
5		
6	(c)	Redevelopment policies in the state-mandated TCEA.
7		
8		(1) Redevelopment or expansions of existing developments, which generate fewer
9		than ten net, new average daily trips or two net, new p.m. peak hour trips (based
10		on adjacent street traffic), shall not be required to meet (b)(1) (10) above.
11		
1.2		(2) Within Zones B, C, D, E, or M, in order to encourage redevelopment and
13		desirable urban design and form, developments meeting standards such as neo-
14		traditional, new urbanist, or mixed-use development which includes a mix of both
15		residential and nonresidential uses at transit oriented densities shall be provided
16		credits, in relation to the multi-modal amenities provided, toward meeting the
17		standards in (b)(3) (10) above, as relevant.
18		
19		(3) In order to encourage the redevelopment of chronically vacant buildings located
20		within one-fourth mile of the property lines of an Existing or Potential Transit
21		Hub (as shown in the Existing and Potential Transit Hubs Map adopted in the
22		Transportation Mobility Element) and to reduce or prevent blight, the city shall
23		reduce the number of trips for standards (as relevant for the zone) that must be
24		met in these areas by 20 percent for redevelopment or expansion/conversion
25		projects.
26		
27	(d)	Design policies in the state-mandated TCEA.
28	,	
29		(1) The city shall use the Central Corridors Overlay District Design Standards in the
30		Land Development Code for development/redevelopment projects within the
31		TCEA. These standards include consideration of building placement, location of
32		parking, sidewalks, building wall articulation, and placement of mechanical
33		equipment and shall be the guiding design standards for development/
34		redevelopment on roadways in the TCEA which are listed in the annual level of
35		service report produced by the North Central Florida Regional Planning Council.
36		1 1 , a second s
37		Within 7 one C the build to line may be modified on Auchan Day 1 CW 244
37 38		Within Zone C, the build to line may be modified on Archer Road, SW 34th
<i>_</i> 0		Street, and Williston Road due to right of way or utility constraints, consistent

1		with requirements as described in the special area plan for central corridors, City
2		Land Development Code. These design standards requirements shall not override
3		design standards adopted as part of a special-area plan, overlay district, or planned
4		development.
5	(2)	New development of section (1) in the latter of property
6	(2)	New development of automotive-oriented uses located within the TCEA, such as
7 8		retail petroleum sales (gasoline service stations), car washes, automotive repair,
9		and limited automotive services (as defined in the Land Development Code), shall be designed to locate services boys and finding (see) symmetry to the year of building
10		be designed to locate service bays and fueling (gas) pumps to the rear of buildings located on the site. These design standards shall not apply in industrial zoning
11		districts. The number of fueling positions shall be regulated by TCEA policies.
12		distribution. The number of fueling positions shall be regulated by TCEM policies.
13	(e) Auto-o	oriented uses in the state-mandated TCEA. Automobile oriented developments/uses
14		re through facilities, surface parking lots as a principal use, parking garages, car
15		asoline service stations shall be regulated as follows within the TCEA.
16	_	
17	(1)—	The City may establish pedestrian, transit, and bicycle-oriented areas, through a
18	(-)	special area plan overlay zone adopted within the Land Development Code, which
19		prohibit or further regulate automobile oriented developments/uses beyond the
20		standards set by the TCEA.
21		•
22	(2)-	Special area plan overlay district regulations (such as the College Park Special
23		Area Plan and the Traditional City) that prohibit and regulate automobile oriented
24		developments/uses, as described in subsection (e) above, shall not be modified by
25		provisions or policies of the TCEA.
26		
27	(3)	New development of surface parking lots as a principal use shall be required to
28		obtain a special use permit. In addition to the review criteria set in the Land
29		Development Code for special use permits, the approval of the special use permit
30		shall be based on consideration of the size/scale of the proposed surface parking
31		lot and the inclusion of design and access features which maintain pedestrian,
32		bicycle and transit safety and do not discourage pedestrian, bicycle and transit use
33		in the area.
34		
35	(4)—	Drive through facilities shall be defined to include banking facilities, payment
36		windows, restaurant, food and or/beverage sales, dry cleaning, express mail
37		services and other services that are extended mechanically or personally to
38		customers who do not exit their vehicles. The following uses shall not be
39		considered drive throughs: auto fuel pumps and depositories which involve no

1	immediate exchange or dispersal to the customer, such as mail boxes, library bool
2	depositories, and recycling facilities.
3	
4	(5) In addition to the review criteria set in the Land Development Code for special
5	use permits, the following review standards for drive through facilities shall be
6	included:
7	
8	a. Maximization of pedestrian and bicycle safety and convenience;
9	
10	b. Adequate queuing space for vehicles such that there is no back-up of
11	traffic onto adjacent roadways;
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	c. Provision of a by pass lane or sufficient driveway area around the drive-
14	through lanes to assist internal vehicular circulation;
15	
16	d. Minimization of the visual impacts of the drive through lanes on street
17	frontage areas;
18	
19	e. Minimization of the total number of drive-through lanes based on site
20	conditions and the operating conditions of the impacted roadway
21	segments;
22	
23	f. Minimization of the number of access points to roadways;
24	1
25	g. Design of access points and ingress/egress direction flows to minimize
26	impacts on the roadway and non-motorized traffic;
27	,
28	h. Design of internal pedestrian access and safety as related to the position o
29	the drive through lane(s); and
30	
31	i. Meeting any additional design criteria established in the Land
32	Development Code.
33	r
34	(6) Unless otherwise prohibited or regulated by a special area plan, the development
35	of new free standing drive through facilities or expansion of or development
36	activity at existing free standing drive through facilities, not meeting the
37	provisions of subsection (e)(7) below, shall be required to obtain a special use
38	permit. These drive through facilities shall meet the special use permit criteria
	Transfer of the control of the contr

1	shown in the Land Development Code and review criteria shown in subsection
2	(e)(5) above. In addition, drive through facilities not developed under the
3	provisions of subsection (e)(7) or (e)(8) below shall also meet the following
4	standards:
5	
6	a. There shall be a minimum distance of 400 feet between the driveways of
7	sites with free standing drive through facilities on roadways operating at
8	85 percent or more of capacity. Roadway capacity shall be measured using
9	the latest version of Art Plan or a method deemed acceptable by the
10	technical advisory committee subcommittee of the Metropolitan
11	Transportation Planning Organization. Available capacity shall include
12	consideration of reserved trips for previously approved developments and
13	the impacts of the proposed development. The 400 foot distance
14	requirement shall not apply if any of the following criteria are met:
15	
16	1. Joint driveway access or common access is provided between the
17 [°]	sites with free standing drive through facilities.
18	
19	 Cross access is provided with an adjoining property.
20	
21	3. — A public or private road intervenes between the two sites.
22	
23	4. The development provides a functional design of such high quality
24	that the pedestrian/sidewalk system and on site/off site vehicular
25	circulation are not compromised by the drive through facility. This
26	determination shall be made as part of the special use permit and
27	development plan review process and shall be based on staff and/or
28	board review and approval.
29	
30	b. There shall be no credit for pass by trips in association with the drive
31	through facility. Standards which must be met under subsection (b)(3), (4),
32	(6), (8), or (10) above, as relevant to the zone, shall be based on total trip
33	generation for the use and shall not include any net reduction for pass by
34	trips.
35	•
36	(7) — Unless otherwise prohibited or regulated by a special area plan, the development
37	of new free standing drive through facilities or expansion of or development
38	activity at existing free standing drive through facilities shall be permitted, by
39	right, only within shopping centers or mixed use centers. No direct access

connections from the street to the drive through shall be allowed. Access to the drive through shall be through the shopping center or mixed use center parking area. Mixed-use centers shall be defined as developments regulated by a unified development plan consisting of three or more acres, having a minimum of 25,000 square feet of gross floor-area, and providing centralized motorized vehicle access and a mix of at least three uses which may include residential or nonresidential uses in any combination. Mixed use centers may include planned developments which meet the criteria listed in this policy. Development plan approval for the drive through facility shall be based on the inclusion of appropriate pedestrian, bicycle and transit features which facilitate and encourage convenience, safety, and nonmotorized use of the site; design of safe internal pedestrian access as related to the position of the drive through lane(s); and meeting design criteria established in the Land-Development Code. Drive through facilities meeting the criteria shown in this policy shall also receive an internal capture trip-credit and credit for pass by trips.

16

The development of new drive-through facilities shall be permitted, by special use (8)permit, when part of a single, mixed use building, having more than one business or use at the site, where the minimum square footage of the mixed use building is 25,000 square feet. Only one drive-through use at such sites shall be allowed. In addition to the review criteria set in the Land-Development Code for special use permits, the approval of the special use permit shall be based on the inclusion of pedestrian, bicycle and transit features which facilitate and encourage convenience, safety and nonmotorized use of the site; design of safe internal pedestrian access as related to the position of the drive-through lane(s); and meeting design criteria established in the Land Development Code. Drive through facilities meeting the criteria shown in this policy shall also receive an internal capture trip credit and credit for pass by trips.

29

Within the TCEA, retail petroleum sales at service stations and/or car washes, (9)either separately, or in combination with the sale of food or with eating places, shall be required to obtain a special use permit. In addition to the review criteria set in the Land Development Code for special use permits, the following review standards-shall be-included:

37

-Site design shall enhance pedestrian/bicycle access to any retail or restaurant facilities on site. Sidewalk connections or marked pedestrian crosswalks shall be shown on the site plan.

1	b	The number and width of driveways shall be minimized.
2		
3	e	Except where more stringently regulated by a special area plan or overlay
4		district, the maximum number of fueling positions shall be set as follows:
5		
6		1. No limitation on fueling positions in the industrial zoning
7		categories;
8		
9		2. Six fueling positions in the Mixed Use Low land use category or
10		Mixed Use 1 zoning district;
11		,
12		3. Until adoption, in the Land Development Code, of specific
13		architectural and design standards, six fueling positions in all other
14		zoning categories where gasoline service stations (retail petroleum
15		sales) or food stores with accessory gasoline and alternative fuel
16		pumps are allowed. In the interim period before the adoption of
17		architectural and design standards, additional fueling positions, up
18		to a maximum of 12, may be allowed as part of a planned
19		development rezoning or special use permit process, with the final
20		approval of the city commission, based on meeting all of the
21		following conditions:
22		
23		A. The size of the site can safely accommodate the additional
24		fueling positions while meeting all required landscaping,
25		buffering, and other Land Development Code
26		requirements;
27		
28		B. Site access and traffic safety conditions on adjacent
29		roadways and intersections are not compromised by the
30		additional trips generated by the additional fueling
31		positions;
32		
33		C. Pedestrian/bicycle safety and comfort in the area are not
34		compromised by the additional trips generated by the
35		additional fueling positions;
36		
37		D. The architectural and site design are of such high quality
38		that they enhance the site area and promote the city's multi-
39		modal and design goals. As part of a planned development
		61

1		rezoning or special use permit review process, the
2		developer shall provide a development plan, elevations and
3		architectural renderings of the proposed site including
4		details such as, but not limited to, façade treatment, colors,
5		lighting, roof detail, signage, landscaping, building location
6		relative to the street, and location of access points.
7		
8	E.	Cross access or joint driveway usage is provided to other
9		adjacent developments.
10		
11	F	Retail convenience goods sales or a restaurant are included
12		in the development and designed such that pedestrian or
13		bicycle use of the site is encouraged. The retail
14		convenience goods sales or restaurant building and
15		development shall meet all of the following requirements:
16		3 1
17		i. Building(s) shall be placed close to the public
18		sidewalk for a substantial length of the site's linear
19		frontage;
20		
21		ii. A minimum of 30 percent window area or glazing
22		at pedestrian level (between three feet above grade
23		and eight feet above grade) on all first-floor
24		building sides with street frontage. Windows or
25		glazing shall be at least 80 percent transparent;
26		grazing shan so at least so percent transparent,
27		iii. A pedestrian entry is provided from the public
28		sidewalk on the property frontage; or, near a
29		building corner when the building is on a corner lot;
30		ounding corner when the building is on a corner for,
31		iv. Off street parking shall be located to the side or rear
32		of the building;
33		or the building,
34		The brilding beink and for J. J (
35		v. — The building height and facade elevation are
36		appropriate for the site and surrounding zoned
		properties.
37		

1	Until adoption in the Land Development Code of specific architectural and design			
2	standards, ten fueling positions within one fourth mile of an I-75 interchange. In the			
3	interim period before the adoption of architectural and design standards, additional			
4	fueling positions, to a maximum of 12, may be allowed as part of a planned developme			
5	rezoning or special use permit process, with the final approval of the city commission,			
6	based on meeting all of the conditions shown in subsections A. F. above.			
7				
8	(10) Within the TCEA, development plans for the placement of new parking garages			
9	as a principal or accessory use shall address:			
10				
11	 a. Minimizing conflict with pedestrian and bicycle travel routes; 			
12				
13	b. Providing parking for residents, employees, or customers in order to			
14	reduce the need for on site surface parking;			
15				
16	c. Being located and designed to discourage vehicle access through			
17	residential streets;			
18				
19	d. Designing facilities for compatibility with neighborhoods by including			
20	ground floor retail, office, or residential use/development (as appropriate			
21	for the zoning district) when located on a public street. The facility shall			
22	also have window and facade design that is scaled to relate to the			
23	surrounding area.			
24				
25	(f)Streetseaping and landscaping requirements in the state mandated TCEA.			
26				
27	(1) New development within Zones B, C, D, E, and M shall be required to plant			
28	minimum 65-gallon-sized trees, 18 feet tall and 3.5 inches in trunk caliper, or			
29	their equivalent in winter dug and hardened off balled and burlapped trees for the			
30	required landscaping along roadways within Zone B-as listed in the annual level			
31	of service report produced by the North Central Florida Regional Planning			
32	Council, selected from the tree list in the Land Development Code.			
33	•			
34	(2) Within Zones C, D, E and M the 65-gallon tree landscaping requirement shall			
35	apply to all public or private streets. If 65-gallon or equivalent trees are not			
36	available, the number of required shade trees can be appropriately increased with			
37	the approval of the city arborist or designee.			

1	(3)	All new development sites within Zones B, C, D, E and M shall also be required
2		to install an automated irrigation system to preserve new landscaping.
3		
4	(4)	Redevelopment sites shall be required to meet this landscaping policy at a 50
5		percent rate. Redevelopment sites where 40 percent or more of the developed area
6		(as defined in the Land Development Code) of the site is being altered shall also
7		be required to meet the automated irrigation system requirement.
8		
9	(5)	Trees shall be planted on private property within buffer areas or on right-of-way,
10		if approved by the city. Land Development Code regulations shall specify the
11		type, size, and other standards for trees planted to meet TCEA requirements.
12		
13	(6)	Developments within areas designated in the Land Development Code as
14		landscape exempt, areas within special area plans with pedestrian-oriented build-
15		to line provisions, area within the approach and clear zone areas as specified on
16		the Gainesville Regional Airport master plan, and developments meeting the
17		criteria for rapid review as shown in the Land Development Code shall-be
18		excluded from these requirements.
19		
20	(g) Parki	ng in the state-mandated TCEA. Within the TCEA, parking in excess of the
21	-	uired by the Land Development Code shall not be allowed. Developments may
22	apply for a pa	arking reduction based on criteria in the Land Development Code.
23		
24	NOTE: the	two maps that are a part of this subsection are also repealed and deleted.
25	Sec. 30-39. S	unset provision.
26	The provision	ns of division 4 entitled "State-mandated Transportation Concurrency Exception
27	Area" within	this article III shall sunset and be of no further force and effect on the date that the
28		ve plan amendments contained in Ordinance No. 090184 and Ordinance No. 090185
29		tive. Any application for a permit, development order or other official action of the
30		transportation concurrency properly filed with the city prior to the effective date of
31		ensive plan amendments contained in Ordinance No. 090194 and Ordinance No.
32		not be affected by this sunset provision and shall be subject to division 3 and
33		applicable. Any application for a permit, development order or other official action
34		quiring transportation concurrency filed after the effective date of the
35		ve plan amendments contained in Ordinance No. 090184 and Ordinance No. 090185
36	shall be subje	eet to the goals, objectives and policies relating to the transportation concurrency

exception area within the comprehensive plan.

37

DIVISION 3. - TRANSPORTATION MOBILITY PROGRAM

4 Sec. 30-37.1. - Intent and purpose.

- The purpose of this division is to implement the Transportation Mobility Program (TMP) 5
- adopted in the City of Gainesville Comprehensive Plan. The intent of this division is to clarify 6
- which transportation mobility regulations apply, encourage urban infill development and 7
- 8 redevelopment, fund transportation mobility needs, reduce traffic congestion, and provide a
- range of transportation alternatives to benefit the overall transportation system. 9

10 11

1

3

Sec. 30-37.2. - Findings.

12

- 13 The City Commission finds that a range of transportation alternatives benefits the overall transportation system and has value to both the public and private sectors and that there is a need 14
- for strategies to provide for and fund mobility needs, and that the strategies set forth in the 15
- Comprehensive Plan's Transportation Mobility Element (Goal 10 and its associated objectives 16

and policies): 17

18 19

(1) Provide a method by which developers can support and fund mobility needs created by development:

20 21 22

23

24

(2) Contribute to the provision of adequate public facilities for future growth and promote a strong commitment to comprehensive facilities planning, thereby reducing the potential for moratoria or unacceptable lack of transportation alternatives to support development; and

25 26 27

Maximize the use of public funds for adequate transportation facilities to serve (3) future growth and may, in certain circumstances, allow the city to expedite transportation modifications by supplementing funds currently allocated for transportation mobility modifications in the Capital Improvements Element (CIE).

30 31

28 29

Sec. 30-37.3. - Procedures.

32 33 34

35

Applicability. The TMP shall apply to all development within city limits, with the exception of annexed properties that do not yet have an adopted City of Gainesville land use category.

- 38 Requirements. The TMP requirements shall be administered according to the
- Transportation Mobility Program Area (TMPA), which shall include all property within city 39
- limits and shall be subdivided into designated Zones A, B, C, D, E and M as mapped in the 40
- Transportation Mobility Element Data and Analysis Report and in the city's Geographic 41

1 2 3 4	associated tr	System (GIS). Any development within these zones shall comply with the ransportation mobility criteria as set forth in the Comprehensive Plan's n Mobility Element (Goal 10 and its associated objectives and policies).
5	Section	on 7. Section 30-333 in the City of Gainesville Land Development Code is
6	amended as fo	ollows.
7	Sec. 30-333.	- Excess parking requirements.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	more than to prohibited in concurrency requirements development	rking that exceeds the amount required by this chapter by more than ten spaces or en percent, whichever is greater, is termed excess parking. Excess parking is the <u>Transportation Mobility Program Area (TMPA) TCEA (transportation exception area)</u> . If a proposed development provides excess parking, the following apply and may be imposed by the appropriate reviewing board at the time of plan review or by the <u>director of pPlanning and dDevelopment sServices Director</u> or designee if <u>eity-plan</u> board review is not required:
16 17	(1)	Excess parking may be provided in grass or stabilized pervious surface areas where it has been determined that:
18		a. There will be a low frequency of use;
19		b. The nature of the proposed use is suitable to such parking surfaces; and
20 21		c. There is reasonable certainty that grass or pervious parking will not deteriorate the parking environment.
22 23 24 25 26 27	(2)	If excess parking is hard-surfaced, the parking facilities shall be landscaped in accordance with <u>aArticle VIII</u> , <u>landscaping sections</u> , except that the amount of landscaping materials required for the excess parking spaces shall be double the required amount and shall be distributed throughout the site in accordance with <u>aArticle VIII</u> .
28 29	Section	on 8. Appendix A, Section 4, Exhibit B (Special Area Plan for the Traditional City
30		um Development Standards), Subsection (s)(2) of the City of Gainesville Land
31	Developmen	t Code is amended as follows. Except as amended herein, the remainder of
32	Appendix A,	Section 4, Exhibit B remains in full force and effect.
22	SECTION 4	- SPECIAL AREA DI AN EOR TRADITIONIAL CITY

Exhibit B. Special Area Plan for the Traditional City Area Minimum Development Standards

1	(s)	Speci	ally regulated uses.
2		(2)	Standard. The following uses are allowed by special use permit.
3 4 5 6			• Drive-throughs. In addition to conforming to the provisions of the transportation concurrency exception area <u>Transportation Mobility Program Area (TMPA)</u> , drive-throughs:
7			a. shall not have an entrance or exit onto an "A" street;
8 9			b. shall have only one drive-through lane; and
10 11			c. shall be located at the rear or side of the building.
12 13		Secti	on 9. Appendix A, Section 5, Exhibit B (Special Area Plan for the Central
14	Corri	idors M	inimum Development Standards), Subsection (h) of the City of Gainesville Land
15	Deve	lopmen	t Code is amended as follows. Except as amended herein, the remainder of
16	Appe	endix A,	Section 5, Exhibit B remains in full force and effect.
17	SEC	TION 5.	SPECIAL AREA PLAN FOR CENTRAL CORRIDORS
18	Exhi	bit B. S	pecial Area Plan for the Central Corridors Minimum Development Standards
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Auto Aver appli	develope motive nue and ied thro	ired compliance. All new commercial, office, eivic civic and multi-family buildings ments shall be required to comply with the sections of the text labeled "standards." dealers (both new and used vehicles) located on N. Main Street north of N. 16 th south of N. 53 rd Avenue are exempt from standards of this special area plan as ough the Transportation Concurrency Exception Area Transportation Mobility ea (TMPA).
26		Secti	on 10. It is the intent of the City Commission that the provisions of Sections 1
27	throu	ugh 9 of	f this ordinance shall become and be made a part of the Code of Ordinances of the
28	City	of Gai	nesville, Florida, and that the sections and paragraphs of this ordinance may be
29	renu	mbered	or relettered in order to accomplish such intent.
30		Secti	ion 11. If any word, phrase, clause, paragraph, section or provision of this ordinance
31	or th	ne annlic	eation hereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid or unconstitutional such

1 finding shall not affect the other provisions or applications of this ordinance that can be given effect without the invalid or unconstitutional provision or application, and to this end the 2 provisions of this ordinance are declared severable. 3 Section 12. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are to the extent of 4 such conflict hereby repealed. 5 Section 13. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon adoption. 6 7 **PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 19th day of March, 2015. 8 9 10 11 **MAYOR** 12 13 14 15 Attest: Approved as to form and legality: 16 17 18 19 NICOLLE M. SHALLEY CLERK OF THE COMMISSION 20 CITY ATTORNEY 21 22 This ordinance passed on first reading this 5th day of March, 2015. 23 24 This ordinance passed on second reading this 19th day of March, 2015.