Oral Presentations DATE: April 13, 2015

FIRM: Charles Parry Partners, Inc.
QUESTIONS:
1. Please describe potential Maintenance of Traffic plans for this project. What are the pros and cons of closing a section of the corridor to all through traffic during phases of construction? What other pedestrian and vehicular safety measures would you put in place considering the surroundings?
Follow Fort Etcudards. Communication Key Summer Pouring would
provide benefits. Off duty points officers. Ide-Africal properties
w/o alternate exit. No major bonefits w/ closures last concrete
mailized well in advance of asphalt.
2. Please describe your proposed Schedule. What is your anticipated duration? What decisions would affect the duration and what affect would that have on the construction costs? What is the biggest risk to the schedule?
Overview broken into 4 sections, Concrete 180 days, Asphalt 220 days
Birli rain days (alsd-gs). 120 days + thorno delay. Summer gains
for school out. Winder concerns w/ temp Summer concerns w/
run Sain asas are a concern.
CPM schools exp. if 3 wh behavead.

3. Please describe your experience with asphalt paving. How will the experience help ensure high quality for this project? Will pesset us project.
ac. forms already sevel-good for asphalt.
_ foot ac program workbook established but extra costs.
CAPI uces independent 34 party tostily to verify space.
Described tree avoidance up sidenales.
Looked deres @ sidewalk & pavouent. Tube cores @ Soo! for asphalt
trindeness. Every 100' il bod sechainforned. Avoid exposed line rock.
4. What is the biggest challenge to this project and what is your plan to overcome it?
Met Communication, weather, & staging.
La accountability - making sure they're doing what they're suppose-
- Communication (huitter) (flyers) - 3 week lockwhear.
coordinate w/ individual owners.
weater build in realistic amounts.
staging - paint ortion (principe, Assignitions) +/- of rended spo another benefit of sommer pains.
Evaluator # Signature

PROPOSAL PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW (ORAL) EVALUATION

PROJECT:	CM at Risk for NW	8th Avenue Resurface	Reconstruction/

RFP/RFQ#: <u>PWDA-150041-DS</u>

EVALUATOR:

DATE: 4/13/15
Signature: 4/13/15

FIRM NAME: CPPI, Inc.

PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE OPTION 1	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Understanding of Project	40	3°	Referenced and described several acpects of project. Did not describe potential
o Responsiveness to Questions	15	} \	cultert upgrade. Described Staging Area sites. Lacked specificity. Cplanets, typical sections, culterts,
o Project Team	25	20	creeks, E&S)
o Project Manager	20		Strong answers in general . Response to milling & resurface us reconstruction was minimal.
	OCCUPATION AND ADMINISTRATION AN		full capable project team, Comparable
TOTAI	100	74	Fully capable proget manager (Compunit)

Oral Presentations DATE: April 13, 2015

FIRM: Odrich Construction, Inc.

QUESTIONS:

1. Please describe potential Maintenance of Traffic plans for this project. What are the pros and cons of closing a section of the corridor to all through traffic during phases of construction? What other pedestrian and vehicular safety measures would you put in place considering the surroundings?
30% Plans + AH 4B as chosen by City Commission.
Concrete -> asphalf -> finishes.
one larg closure at a time. Snat south, Rup to north.
Start section B concrete the after Section A concrete 30, it is
roady for asphalf once A is done.
Specific places for ABLAC.
Ros of dosing - sofety reduced MOT cooks, time reduction.
Cons: burden on public.
The ference of bounder in Mot plan. Els in wetteres; which is protection of the plan and what affect would that have on the construction costs? What is the biggest risk to the schedule?
Mentioned Agricum & Puscan creek.
Constant communication we public & owner.
Constant communication wi public & awrer. 10 wks for A. 16 wks for B. 10 wks for C. = 82 wks.
Utility relocations unapprised. Bridge/culvert repairs?
Additional millione is
Section Cutility adjustments ahead of time.

3. Please describe project? Reco	your experience with asphalt paving. How will the experience help ensure high quality for the control of the co
Patholes	show by home rections coxes to review.
de**-	Charge order for
Parking lots Penvious Ass	nalls
3º party	inspectors testing on test strip, proper temp, density
	est challenge to this project and what is your plan to overcome it? Le roadway base/potholes, soil bonings dewalk allowances how much reconstruction? - culverts?
\ Evaluator#	Signature

PROPOSAL PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW (ORAL) EVALUATION

PROJECT: CM at Risk for NW 8th Avenue Resurface/Reconstruction	RFP/RFQ#: <u>PWDA-150041-DS</u>
EVALUATOR:	DATE: 4/13/15
FIRM NAME: Chelrich Construction, Inc.	
ı	Signature:
	The state of the s

PRESENTATION/INTERVIEW (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE OPTION 1	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Understanding of Project	40	35	Referenced several project specifics including planets, wetlands, possible culvert
o Responsiveness to Questions	15	13	replacement, utility uprades / relocates. Did not mention staging. Provided strong response to milling &
o Project Team	25	250	resurface us reconstruction question. Overall, good answers to questions.
o Project Manager	20	1	Filly capable project team. Comparable to appl.
			Filly capable project manager. Comparable to CP81.
TOTAL	100	83	