# Developing an Equity Impact Statement A Tool for Policymaking



The Praxis Project www.thepraxisproject.org

# Developing an Equity Impact Statement for Local Policymaking

# Why measure equity impact?

Equity and fairness in policymaking is no accident. Legislative bodies must pay close attention to the impact of their policies and play an active role in ensuring that both their intent and impact are consistent with a jurisdiction's expressed values. By measuring equity impact in a few representative areas, a legislative body can assess whether laws and rulemaking advance a shared agenda of fairness; spread the burden of regulation fairly; and help address historic patterns of institutional bias and discrimination.

There is another critical reason to develop ongoing measures of equity in policymaking. Jurisdictions are under "strict scrutiny" by the Courts when undertaking race conscious remedies and "intermediate scrutiny" when undertaking gender conscious remedies. The two-pronged test of strict scrutiny is:

- compelling government interest
- the intervention is narrowly tailored to address the effects of demonstrated discrimination

A jurisdiction will find it difficult to meet these standards without identifying compelling interest and building a body of evidence of local decisionmaking and its impact on its various constituents.

In implementing this process, it is highly recommended that the jurisdiction consider awarding an assessment contract out to an appropriate research entity in much the same way as a jurisdiction would identify an agency to conduct an environmental impact statement. Larger, more complex projects may benefit from the input of an organization experienced in this kind of evaluation.

# Defining the concern and scope of the process

It is important to clearly identify the communities of concern to this process (racial and ethnic, gender, disabled, low-income, etc.) and establish definitions for these communities. Most jurisdictions have already defined these communities using some version of national or regional government guidelines. Be sure to include whatever relevant definitions in use in the enabling policy.

Adverse Effects. A jurisdiction should also clearly define what constitutes adverse effects on the communities of concern. The US Executive Order 12898 offers useful language as a departure point in this regard:

Adverse Effects means the totality of significant individual or cumulative human health or environmental effects, including interrelated social and economic effects, which may include, but are not limited to: bodily impairment, infirmity, illness or death; air, noise, and water pollution and soil contamination; destruction or disruption of man-made or

natural resources; destruction or diminution of aesthetic values; destruction or disruption of community cohesion or a community's economic vitality; destruction or disruption of the availability of public and private facilities and services; vibration; adverse employment effects; displacement of persons, businesses, farms, or nonprofit organizations; increased traffic congestion, isolation, exclusion or separation of minority or low-income individuals within a given community or from the broader community; and the denial of, reduction in or significant delay in the receipt of, benefits of [jurisdiction] programs, policies, or activities.

## Developing mechanisms for assessing equity impact

The following is a process that local governments can enact in order to *institutionalize* a mechanism for assessing the impact of their policies on equity and fairness. Enacting this procedure will require that a jurisdiction amend and expand the rulemaking process:

# Amend or revise jurisdiction's value statements (or develop a set of findings and values) to guide this process.

A jurisdiction should articulate clear statements or findings that support policymaking that takes into account equity, fairness and historic institutional bias. A set of value statements could include the following:

- The [jurisdiction] is committed to ensuring that each and every policy enacted reflects democratic principles of equity and fairness.
- The [jurisdiction] understands that carrying on its business in a fair and equitable manner that takes into account critical issues of bias and discrimination requires concerted and purposeful action.
- The [jurisdiction] recognizes that institutions can play a negative role in issues of racial equity. It seeks to proactively and positively address both present day and past patterns of bias and discrimination in a way that truly creates equitable opportunities for all of its residents.

## Findings could include:

- Local policymaking is a critical factor in the creation of opportunities for its residents. Local policies help create jobs, markets, housing; decide matters of land use and land value; and set code and rules for the administration of key public functions like law enforcement, health and sanitation, and access to recreation.
- Low-income, communities of color have been traditionally victimized by institutional bias and discrimination. Studies by the federal Office on Civil Rights, University of Michigan, Applied Research Center and others have shown that local government

policies play a significant role in this victimization by enacting policies that exacerbate and/or maintain inequity.

- Local budget and resource allocation practices are traditionally biased toward more affluent and white residents of local jurisdictions. Studies by the Applied Research Center and the Institute on Race and Poverty have found that local governments that pay attention to these traditional biases and act consciously to address them develop fairer and more equitable policies that result in fairer more equitable development.
- As [jurisdiction] is committed to the fair and equitable treatment of all its residents, policymaking will reflect these values at every level of the process. Therefore, it is the [jurisdiction's] policy to actively administer and monitor operations and decision making to assure that nondiscrimination is an integral part of its programs, policies, and activities.
- There is relationship between the siting of certain negative and positive uses and the quality of life of those who reside near these uses. The [jurisdiction's] commitment to ensuring that all of its residents have a decent quality of life requires that its residents share both the burdens of necessary negative uses and the benefits of positive uses in a fair and equitable manner.
- These policies are enacted in accordance with several UN conventions including the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination adopted by the United Nations and ratified by many governments worldwide in order that [jurisdiction] become a world citizen accountable to the highest standards of fairness.
- Accountability to the [jurisdiction's] constituents is important to the Council. The
  Council asserts the importance of evaluating the impact of its policymaking on
  constituents over time and utilizing this evaluation in the development of new policy
  initiatives.
- As part of the [jurisdiction's] commitment to accountability and impact in this area, it will increase its enforcement efforts as enforcement of policies to address bias and discrimination is as important as enacting the policies in the first place. The [jurisdiction] will commit adequate resources to support enforcement, implementation and evaluation of policies in this area.

# Establish tracking systems, evaluation and reporting mechanisms that building a body of evidence

Developing a body of reportage in these areas will require expanding the roles of the [jurisdiction] attorney functions and [jurisdiction] planning offices to conduct assessments in preparation for the discussion of proposed ordinances. Assess how to use processes already in place to minimize added workload. For example, some required data and/or analysis may already be available as part of a sustainability

program or planning ordinance. Local jurisdictions already committed to a high level constituent accountability will have effective policy tracking systems in place. In these cases, a few added fields to the database will make a significant difference.

Adapt a set of normative questions to be addressed that are incorporated into the legislative process. A jurisdiction can choose to exclude certain actions (i.e., resolutions, minor code revisions, etc.) from this added review. It should also specifically mandate others (i.e., economic development, budgeting, siting, planning and zoning, etc.) for review.

This process should ask at least four main questions:

- 1. Will this proposed policy affect compliance with regional, national, and international anti-bias and anti-discrimination policies? In what ways? If there is a problem or conflict, how might it be resolved?
- 2. How will the proposed policy affect access to livelihood? (affordable housing, jobs for residents, transportation, food access, emergency services and medical care, school access and quality)?
- 3. Will the proposed policy compromise/improve quality of life? (i.e., reduce access to recreation, services, increase crime, etc.)
- 4. Which geographic and/or cultural communities will carry the greatest burden if the proposed policy is implemented? Gain the most benefit?

## Develop mechanisms to identify, evaluate and address adverse effects

A jurisdiction should, when the scope and impact of a proposed policy is quite significant, convene key stakeholders and develop additional impacts to be assessed. For example, a proposed shopping center might require additional assessments related to the displacement of local business, property values and the negotiating of local hiring agreements.

When adverse effects are identified, mechanisms for addressing these impacts should include at least the following components as outlined in federal government policies to advance environmental justice:

Identify the risk of discrimination early in the development of the program, policy or activity, so that positive corrective action can be taken.

In implementing this process, the following information should be obtained where relevant and appropriate:

Population served and/or affected by race, color or national origin, and income level;
 The Praxis Project www.thepraxisproject.org

- Proposed steps to guard against disproportionately high and adverse effects on persons on the basis of race, color, or national origin;
- Present and proposed membership by race, color, or national origin, in any planning or advisory body that is part of the program, policy or activity.

Policies, programs and activities will be administered so as to identify and avoid discrimination and avoid disproportionately high and adverse effects on minority populations by:

- (1) identifying and evaluating environmental, public health, socio-cultural and economic effects of programs, policies and activities;
- (2) proposing measures to avoid, minimize and/or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse environmental and public health effects and interrelated social and economic effects, and providing offsetting benefits and opportunities to enhance communities, neighborhoods, and individuals affected by programs, policies and activities, where permitted by law and consistent with this rulemaking;
- (3) considering alternatives to proposed programs, policies, and activities, where such alternatives would result in avoiding and/or minimizing disproportionately high and adverse impacts consistent with this rulemaking; and
- (4) eliciting public involvement opportunities and considering the results thereof, including soliciting input from affected minority and low-income populations in considering alternatives.

This process, though challenging, is a rewarding one. It can help bring about greater collaboration in policymaking, strengthen public support and input, and develop policymaking mechanisms that advance equity and fairness. An abbreviated list of organizations follow that can provide technical support to this end. Of course, there are many other groups out there with great resources. In addition, some of the groups on this list have expertise across a wide range of issues but were only listed under two or three categories at most. In addition, please feel free to contact the Praxis Project if we can be of assistance.

## **RESOURCES** (a very abbreviated list)

#### Land Use and Planning Equity

#### **American Planning Association**

Joanne Garnett, President 1776 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036-1904 Phone: (202) 872-0611

Fax: (202) 872-0643 Email: lulzd@planning.org

Website:

http://www.planning.org/switchbd/apadirec.html

#### **Land Use Law Center**

John R. Nolon, Director Pace University School of Law 78 Broadway, White Plains, NY 10603

Phone: (914) 422-4262

Email: landuse@law.pace.edu

Website: http://www.law.pace.edu/landuse/ Useful Gateway for planning issues Website: http://www.cyburbia.org/

#### **Housing Policy Equity**

# National Low Income Housing Coalition (NLIHC)

Sheila Crowley, President 1012 Fourteenth Street NW, Suite 610 Washington, D.C. 20005 Phone: (202) 662-1530

Fax: (202) 393-1973

Website: http://www.nlihc.org/

#### **National Housing Institute**

Harold Simon, Executive Director 439 Main Street Suite 311 Orange, NJ 07050 Phone: (973) 678-9060

Fax: (973) 678-8437 Website: http://www.nhi.org/

## **Equity in Economic Development**

#### **Economic Policy Institute**

Jeff Faux, President 1660 L Street NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 775-8810

Fax: (202) 775-0819

Website: http://www.epinet.org/

#### **Center for Community Change**

Andrew Mott - Executive Director 1000 Wisconsin Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20007 Phone: (202) 342-0519

Fax: (202) 333-5462

Website: http://www.communitychange.org/

# Corporation for Enterprise Development (CFED)

Brian Dabson - President 777 North Capitol Street,

NE. Suite 410

Washington, DC 20002 Phone: (202) 408-9788 Fax: (202) 408-9793

Website: http://www.cfed.org/

## Poverty & Race Research Action Council

Chester Hartman, Director 3000 Connecticut Ave, NW

Suite 200

Washington, DC 20008 Phone: (202) 387-9887 Fax: (202) 387-0764

Website:

http://www.prrac.org/topics/resources/hungerres

ources.htm

#### **United for a Fair Economy**

Chuck Collins 37 Temple Place 2nd Floor Boston, MA 02111 Phone: (617) 423-2148

Website: http://www.ufenet.org/

#### **Food Access**

#### **America's Second Harvest**

Deborah Leff, President 116 S. Michigan Ave., #4 Chicago, IL 60603 Phone: (312) 263-2303

Website: http://www.americanharvest.org/

#### **Poverty & Race Research Action Council**

Chester Hartman, Director 3000 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 200,

Washington, DC 20008 Phone: (202) 387-9887 Fax: (202) 387-0764

http://www.prrac.org/topics/resources/

hungerresources.htm

# Equity and Fairness in Crime Policy/Law Enforcement

## The Sentencing Project

Marc Mauer, Director 514 - 10th Street, NW

Suite 1000

Washington, DC 20004 Phone: (202) 628-0871 Fax: (202) 628-1091

Website: www.sentencingproject.org

#### **Assessing Racial and Gender Impact**

#### **Applied Research Center**

Gary Delgado, Director 3781 Broadway Oakland, CA 94611 Phone: (510) 653-3414 Fax: (510) 653-3427

Website: http://www.arc.org/

#### **Center for Women Policy Studies**

Leslie R. Wolfe, President 2000 P St., NW, Suite 508 Washington, DC 20036 Phone: (202) 872-1770 Fax: (202) 296-8962

# American Association of University Women (AAUW)

Sandy Bernard, President 1111 16th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-4873

Type: advocacy Phone: (202) 785-7793 Fax: (202) 466-7618

Website: http://www.aauw.org

#### Ms. Foundation for Women

Marie C. Wilson 120 Wall Street, 33rd Floor New York, NY 10005 Phone: (212) 742-2300 Fax: (212) 742-1653

Website: http://www.ms.foundation.org/

#### **Institute on Race and Poverty**

Gavin Kearney 415 Law Center 229 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55455

Minneapolis, MN 55455 Phone: (612) 625-8071 Fax: (612) 624-8890

Website: www.umn.edu/irp

#### **Disability Equity and Access Issues**

#### **National Council on Disability**

Ethel D. Briggs, Executive Director 1331 F St., NW, Suite 1050 Washington, DC 20004-1107 Phone: (202) 272-2004 Fax: (202) 272-2022 TTY: (202) 272-2074

Website: http://www.ncd.gov/

# National Association of Developmental Disabilities Councils

Charlotte Duncan, President 1234 Massachusetts Ave, NW, Suite 103

Washington, DC 20005 Phone: (202) 347-1234 Fax: (202) 347-4023

Website: http://www.igc.apc.org/NADDC/

#### Age Discrimination and Ageism

#### **AARP**

Joseph S. Perkins, President 601 E. St. NW Washington, DC 20049

Phone: (800) 424-3410 Website: http://www.aarp.org/

#### Public School Equity

#### **ERASE**

Terry Keleher 3781 Broadway Oakland, CA 94611 Phone: (510) 653-3414 Fax: (510) 653-3427 Website: http://www.arc.org/

#### **Rethinking Schools**

Bob Peterson

1001 E. Keefe Avenue, Milwaukee, WI 53212

Phone (800) 669-4192 Fax: (414) 964-7220

Website: http://www.rethinkingschools.org

#### **National Coalition of Education Activists**

PO Box 679

Rhinebeck, NY 12572 Phone: (914) 876-4580 Fax: (914) 876-4461

Website: http://members.aol.com/nceaweb/

#### **Employment**

#### **Good Jobs First**

Grea LeRov 1311 L Street NW Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: (202) 737.4315

Fax: (202) 638.3486

Website: http://www.ctj.org/itep/gfj.htm

#### **Labor/Community Strategy Center**

3780 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Phone: (213) 387-2000

Website: http://www.igc.org/lctr/

#### **Center for Budget and Policy Priorities**

Robert Greenstein, Executive Director 820 First Street, NE, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20002 Phone: (202) 408-1080 Fax: (202) 4088-1056

Website: http://www.cbpp.org

#### **Jobs With Justice**

501 Third Street NW Washington DC 20001-2797 Phone: (202) 434-1106 Fax: (202) 434-1477

http://www.jwj.org/corepage.htm

#### **Environmental Quality**

#### **Earth Island Institute**

Robert Wilkinson, President 300 Broadway, Suite 28 San Francisco, CA 94133 Phone: (415) 788-3666 Fax: (415) 788-7324

Website: http://www.earthisland.org/

#### **Greenpeace USA**

Damu Smith 1436 U Street, NW Washington, DC 20009 Phone: (202) 462-1177 Fax: (202) 462-4507

Website: http://www.greenpeace.org

#### The Preamble Center

Kim Freeman 2040 S Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20009 Phone: (202) 265-3263.

Website: http://www.preamble.org/

#### Fair Budgeting

#### Center for Budget and Policy Priorities

Robert Greenstein. Executive Director

820 First Street, NE

Suite 510

Washington, DC 20002 Phone: (202) 408-1080 Fax: (202) 4088-1056

Website: http://www.cbpp.org

#### **OMB Watch**

Gary D. Bass. Executive Director 1742 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20009 Phone: (202) 234-8494 Fax: (202) 234-8584 fax

Website: http://www.ombwatch.org/

## **Local Application of International Human Rights Policy**

#### International Human Rights Law Group

Gay McDougall, Director 1200 18th Street, NW

Suite 602

Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 822-4600

Fax: (202) 822-4606

Website: http://www.hrlawgroup.org/

#### International Possibilities Unlimited

Dr. Deborah Robinson, Executive Director 5113 Georgia Ave., NW Washington, DC 20011 Phone: (202) 723-5622 Fax: (202) 723-5637

Website: www.ipunlimited.org

#### **Transportation**

#### **Labor/Community Strategy Center**

3780 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Phone: (213) 387-2000

Website: http://www.igc.org/lctr/

#### **Surface Transportation Policy Project**

1100 17<sup>th</sup> Street, NW 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20036 (202) 466-2636 www.transact.org