LEGISTAR # 200276 Attachment F

LEGISTAR 200276 Attachment F

WRITTEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Please complete one form for each submittal Enter your Evaluator Number on Each Entry Enter the Firm Name on Each Entry

BID #: CRAX-210038-GD

BID NAME: Painting and Pressure Washing Services for the Neighb

DUE DATE: 24-May-21
DEPARTMENT: GCRA

EVALUATOR#:

FIRM NAME: Jet Set

WRITTEN PROPOSAL (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Project Understanding/Experience	30	15	1. Did the proposal indicate a thorough understanding of the project scope of work? Did not represent a thorough understanding of scope of work. Yes, they detailed it would be pressure washed and painted, but made no mention of consulting with recipients by the vendor & submitting of plan to GCRA for final approval 2. Is the appropriate emphasis placed on the various work tasks? Work related tasks of pressure washing and painting, yes. People related tasks of working with recipients, no. 3. Does the firm have experience with this type of project with a proven track record? Firms record does show it has experience pressure washing and painting large scale buildings, but not small-scale residential homes.
o Project Approach	30	12	1. Did the firm develop a workable approach to the project? Firm did not develop an approach to the project that worked a sample through from start to finish. They did provide estimated time for pressure washing and painting of homes of different sizes. They did not discuss timeline to meet with and talk to homeowner per the consultation part of the detailed description of work. 2. Does the proposal specifically address the City's needs or is it "generic' in content? Approach partially addresses the City's needs, it is NOT generic in content. 12 points awarded
o Project Schedule	5	1	I. Is the proposed schedule reasonable based on quantity of personnel assigned to the project? Missing information as to project manager, who consults with resident, painting times & completion dates are reasonable if other steps were detailed. Are individual tasks staged properly and in proper sequence? Task sequencing is missing
o Project Organization	5	3	1. Was proposal organization per the RFP/Q? No table of contents, items required were out of sequence as presented 2. Was all required paperwork submitted? All required forms were submitted 3. Did the proposal contain an excessive amount of generic boilerplate, resumes, pages per resume, photographs, etc.? No
TOTAL	70	31	

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

Please complete one form for each submittal Enter your Evaluator Number on Each Entry Enter the Firm Name on Each Entry

BID#: CRAX-210038-GD

Painting and Pressure Washing Services for the Neighborhood Pair 24-May-21 BID NAME:

DUE DATE OF EVALUATION: DEPARTMENT: GCRA

EVALUATOR NUMBER:

FIRM NAME: Jet Set

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Ability	55	20	1. Do the resumes of the key staff support the firm's Competency in doing this type of work? Key staff includes the Project Manager, and other project team professionals. No key staff information was provided 2. Has the firm done this type of work in the past? Yes, they showed they've done pressure wash/paint before, but they did not show doing residential. 3. Does the project require familiarity or specific experience relative to local land development and/or building codes, regulations or similar regional requirements (i.e understanding of local context and development procedures, local climatic conditions, workforce, trades, material availability and construction costs) or processes, and does the firm possess such experience. NA 4. What is the firms Florida Litigation history within the last ten years on projects they have worked on? Unknown 5. Is any of this work to be subcontracted? If so, what are the abilities of the firm(s) to be subcontracted? Unknown
o Capability of Meeting Time and Budget	45	45	Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements: =45 points maximum (items 1-5 are worth 8 points each and item 6 is worth 5 points) 1. Does the level of key staffing and their percentage of involvement, the use of subcontractors (if any), office location, and/or information contained in the transmittal letter indicate that the firm will, or will not, meet time and budget requirements? a. Specific consideration should be given to whether a firm's location is an important factor for the particular project and the firm's ability to meet time and budget requirements. Point weightings and assignments relative to office location will depend on the nature of the project, including the project's size (small, medium or large or a study), complexity (not just ability/knowledge of project-specific technical issues, but perhaps knowledge of the time to navigate local permitting procedures or knowledge of the local material availability and construction costs) and the level of on-site consultation required. Unknown 2. Has the firm met or had trouble meeting time and budget requirements on similar projects? Unknown 3. Have proof of insurability. Proof of insurance not provided 4. If time schedules are applicable, will they meet the City's goals and timelines? Yes as presented 5. Workload: past (5 years), current, and future. Unknown 6. Has the firm had a history of requesting change orders for work or extensions of time other than for unforeseen conditions or owner requested changes in scope? Unknown
TOTAL	100	75	

LEGISTAR 200276 Attachment F

WRITTEN PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Please complete one form for each submittal
Enter your Evaluator Number on Each Entry
Enter the Firm Name on Each Entry

BID #: CRAX-210038-GD

BID NAME: Painting and Pressure Washing Services for the Neighborhood Paint Program

 DUE DATE:
 24-May-21

 DEPARTMENT:
 GCRA

EVALUATOR#:

FIRM NAME: Quick Painting Group

WRITTEN PROPOSAL (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Project Understanding/Experience	30	15	1. Did the proposal indicate a thorough understanding of the project scope of work? Did not represent a thorough understanding of scope of work. Yes, they detailed it would be pressure washed and painted, but made no mention of consulting with recipients by the vendor & submitting of plan to GCRA for final approval 2. Is the appropriate emphasis placed on the various work tasks? Work related tasks of pressure washing and painting, yes. People related tasks of working with recipients, no. 3. Does the firm have experience with this type of project with a proven track record? They provided evidence of painting commercial/government customers including Alachua County, but they did not provide evidence of pressure washing/painting homes.
o Project Approach	30	0	1. Did the firm develop a workable approach to the project? Firm did not develop an approach to the project that worked a sample through from start to finish. They did not provide estimated time for pressure washing and painting of homes of different sizes. They did not discuss timeline to meet with and talk to homeowner per the consultation part of the detailed description of work. Firm did not note the differing sizes of homes nor take that into consideration in its quotation. 2. Does the proposal specifically address the City's needs or is it "generic" in content? The proposal as provided is generic in content.
o Project Schedule	5	0	I. Is the proposed schedule reasonable based on quantity of personnel assigned to the project? Unknown information not provided Are individual tasks staged properly and in proper sequence? No
o Project Organization	5	2	Was proposal organization per the RFP/Q? No table of contents, items required were out of sequence as presented 2. Was all required paperwork submitted? No, Technical Proposals was missing 3. Did the proposal contain an excessive amount of generic boilerplate, resumes, pages per resume, photographs, etc.? Not excessive
TOTAL	100	17	

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

Please complete one form for each submittal
Enter your Evaluator Number on Each Entry
Enter the Firm Name on Each Entry

BID #: CRAX-210038-GD

BID NAME: Painting and Pressure Washing Services for the Neighborhood F

 DUE DATE OF EVALUATION:
 24-May-21

 DEPARTMENT:
 GCRA

EVALUATOR NUMBER:

FIRM NAME: Quick Painting Group

TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (100 PTS)	POINT VALUE	POINTS AWARDED	COMMENTS
o Ability	55	31	1. Do the resumes of the key staff support the firm's Competency in doing this type of work? Key staff includes the Project Manager, and other project team professionals. None included 2. Has the firm done this type of work in the past? Yes, they showed they've done pressure wash/paint before, but they did not show doing residential. 3. Does the project require familiarity or specific experience relative to local land development and/or building codes, regulations or similar regional requirements (i.e understanding of local context and development procedures, local climatic conditions, workforce, trades, material availability and construction costs) or processes, and does the firm possess such experience. No 4. What is the firms Florida Litgation history within the last ten years on projects they have worked on? Unknown 5. Is any of this work to be subcontracted? If so, what are the abilities of the firm(s) to be subcontracted? Unknown 6. Has the company or key staff recently in the past 5-10 years done this type of work for the City, the State, or for local government? Yes for Pahokee Housing Authority, Housing Authority of City of Fort Pierce, Alachua County and others a. If the work was acceptable, award ten (10) POINTS. Yes b. If the firm has not done this type of work, award zero (0) points. c. If the work was unacceptable, deduct up to ten (10) points and note, in detail why. 7. Are there factors, such as unique abilities, which would make a noticeable (positive) impact on the project? a. If the answer is yes, award from one (1) to ten (10) POINTS and note, in detail reasons. b. If the answer is no, award zero (0) points.
o Capability of Meeting Time and Budget	45	0	B. Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements: =45 points maximum (items 1-5 are worth 8 points each and item 6 is worth 5 points) 1. Does the level of key staffing and their percentage of involvement, the use of subcontractors (if any), office location, and/or information contained in the transmittal letter indicate that the firm will, or will not, meet time and budget requirements? Unknown a. Specific consideration should be given to whether a firm's location is an important factor for the particular project and the firm's ability to meet time and budget requirements. Point weightings and assignments relative to office location will depend on the nature of the project, including the project's size (small, medium or large or a study), complexity (not just ability/knowledge of project-specific technical issues, but perhaps knowledge of the time to navigate local permitting procedures or knowledge of the local material availability and construction costs) and the level of on-site consultation required. 2. Has the firm met or had trouble meeting time and budget requirements on similar projects? Unknown 3. Have proof of insurability. Unknown 4. If time schedules are applicable, will they meet the City's goals and timelines? Unknown 5. Workload: past (5 years), current, and future. Unknown 6. Has the firm had a history of requesting change orders for work or extensions of time other than for unforeseen conditions or owner requested changes in scope? Unknown
TOTAL	100	31	

BID #:

TECHNICAL & WRITTEN RECAP PROPOSAL QUALIFICATIONS EVALUATION

Use this form to consolidate your evaluations for each vendor

BID #:	CRAX-210038-GD	
BID NAME:	Painting and Pressure Washing Services for the Neighborhood Paint Program	_
DUE DATE:	16-Jun-21	•
DEPARTMENT:	GCRA	_
		•
FVALUATOR#	1 DATE:	6/14/2021

	FIRM NAMES	TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS (0-100)	WRITTEN PROPOSAL (0-100)	TOTAL POINTS
1	Jet Set II, LLC dba Jet Set Company	75	31	106
2	Quick Painting Group	31	17	48
3				0
4				0
5				0
6				0
7				0
8				0
9				0
10				0