State Housing Initiative Partnership Program—Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Ranking of Affordable Rental Housing Projects—ConnectFree—LGAO

Evaluator Signature:

July 13, 2021

Carthy

Evaluator Signature:

- 1. Number of units provided;
- 2. Resident income mix;
- 3. Number of units provided for persons with special needs;
- 4. Location of the project (Does the location further the City's goal of providing affordable rental housing in all areas of the City? Is the project compatible with surrounding areas? What are the nearby amenities? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 5. On-site amenities (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 6. Resident services; (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 7. Marketing and outreach to potential residents;
- 8. Loan terms;
- 9. Given the goals of RFA 2021-201, what are the chances of being chosen for funding by the State (FHFC)?;
- 10. Experience of the developers; and
- 11. Overall feasibility of the project.

	Project	Rank	<u>Comments</u>	1
	Madison Moor	4	vo Show! How them & the	clob
	Woodland Park, Phase 2	1	Desta Amentres Eldent foodst bussins Desta Networks Allowares Allowares Allowares Allowares to Be 96 unite CIN Comprose CIN Comprose CIN Comp	LE DE STATE
)	Veranda Estates		Good Amenties, if loterly focuse I party allowan. activities, 100% afferdable others ollowan.	
)	Village at Lincoln Park	2	fixer of cr ground of, good postrons. 2Bt. Con add Fermis ad postrolbell, washer dryer hook of Con rent. 15/mo. 10 Special needs	•

State Housing Initiative Partnership Program—Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Ranking of Affordable Rental Housing Projects—ConnectFree—LGAO

July 13, 2021

Evaluator Name:	Jamie Bell	
Evaluator Signatu	re: <u>GBU</u>	

Rank the projects from 1 to 4, with 1 being the project you most want to be developed. Base your rankings on the applications provided to the City; and the presentations and discussion at the July 13, 2021 AHAC meeting. In the column titled "Comments," describe in detail the relative strengths and weakness of the projects. Use this column to explain and defend your ranking of the projects. Attach additional paper, if necessary. Consider factors such as those listed below:

- 1. Number of units provided;
- 2. Resident income mix;
- 3. Number of units provided for persons with special needs;
- 4. Location of the project (Does the location further the City's goal of providing affordable rental housing in all areas of the City? Is the project compatible with surrounding areas? What are the nearby amenities? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 5. On-site amenities (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 6. Resident services; (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 7. Marketing and outreach to potential residents;
- 8. Loan terms;
- 9. Given the goals of RFA 2021-201, what are the chances of being chosen for funding by the State (FHFC)?;
- 10. Experience of the developers; and
- 11. Overall feasibility of the project.

	Project	Rank	<u>Comments</u>	
	Madison Moor	Ц	Not present at meeting.	
×	Woodland Park, Phase 2		increasing density Ste > 9.10, 24 SE 19th Place adjacent to park & boys/girls ciulo > \$ committed after school, job training, include washer/dyer money for wasking path/formers trail add 1 LEAD	qual
	Veranda Estates CAUVUJ	3	daily activities, adult literary, computer training whity allowance, all units ADA accessible a 55+	
XXX	Village at Lincoln Park	2	SESTH Ave a se 15th St., lots of animentis, Washer/diga adult literary, fin. mgml, special need not few outside animenties - needs to be selected move child freholly	hook up

18 Jah

State Housing Initiative Partnership Program—Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Ranking of Affordable Rental Housing Projects—ConnectFree—LGAO

Evaluator Name: Beliada Smill

Evaluator Signature: Status Smill

Evaluator Smill

Evalua

- 1. Number of units provided;
- 2. Resident income mix;
- 3. Number of units provided for persons with special needs;
- 4. Location of the project (Does the location further the City's goal of providing affordable rental housing in all areas of the City? Is the project compatible with surrounding areas? What are the nearby amenities? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 5. On-site amenities (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 6. Resident services; (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 7. Marketing and outreach to potential residents;
- 8. Loan terms;
- 9. Given the goals of RFA 2021-201, what are the chances of being chosen for funding by the State (FHFC)?;
- 10. Experience of the developers; and
- 11. Overall feasibility of the project.

<u>Project</u>	Rank	<u>Comments</u>
Madison Moor	4	1-1 or 2Bdfms 2-Elderly Focused-77 Units 3.5 unit - Disable Condition 4. No Presentation
Woodland Park, Phase 2		1. Location, Home ownership. 2. Family / children needs-Amendies 3. Experience 4. WAIKing Trail 5. Rental Cost. 6. BASKetBALL Cf.
Veranda Estates	3	1. No Ammenties cutering to Familie/Grandchilde 2 only 50mits 3. Location. 4. 54 units 1/2 For 1 Beign - only
Village at Lincoln Park	2	2) Lending Lab, CAn Add BASKet Ball Court Menns Ct 3) Experience - and Location

State Housing Initiative Partnership Program—Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Ranking of Affordable Rental Housing Projects—ConnectFree—LGAO

July 13, 2021

Evaluator Name: Sared	Khan
Evaluator Signature:	

- 1. Number of units provided;
- 2. Resident income mix;
- 3. Number of units provided for persons with special needs;
- 4. Location of the project (Does the location further the City's goal of providing affordable rental housing in all areas of the City? Is the project compatible with surrounding areas? What are the nearby amenities? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 5. On-site amenities (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 6. Resident services; (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 7. Marketing and outreach to potential residents;
- 8. Loan terms;
- 9. Given the goals of RFA 2021-201, what are the chances of being chosen for funding by the State (FHFC)?;
- 10. Experience of the developers; and
- 11. Overall feasibility of the project.

<u>Project</u>	Rank	<u>Comments</u>
Madison Moor	N	No Presentation
Woodland Park, Phase 2	~	Family oriented, Buys 4 Gill, Program for Children, Working Trails
Veranda Estates	(j)	Elderly, in a different Part of Town
Village at Lincoln Park	1	Marky of units

State Housing Initiative Partnership Program—Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Ranking of Affordable Rental Housing Projects—ConnectFree—LGAO

	.01 1 /	July 13, 2021	
Evaluator Name:	Michael	Kabuer	
Evaluator Signature:	Mill	RE	

- 1. Number of units provided;
- 2. Resident income mix;
- 3. Number of units provided for persons with special needs;
- 4. Location of the project (Does the location further the City's goal of providing affordable rental housing in all areas of the City? Is the project compatible with surrounding areas? What are the nearby amenities? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 5. On-site amenities (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 6. Resident services; (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 7. Marketing and outreach to potential residents;
- 8. Loan terms;
- 9. Given the goals of RFA 2021-201, what are the chances of being chosen for funding by the State (FHFC)?;
- 10. Experience of the developers; and
- 11. Overall feasibility of the project.

Project	Rank	Comments
Madison Moor	4	Dismutage: all concertains of las increfacy,
Woodland Park, Phase 2	2	Advantages i hercapolication into smaller pool, Place I seems like a great success BFGC close by, fairly lousing. Disaborte: too much carcastration of afforded hing.
Veranda Estates	3	Aboutiges: un diverified avea, done & some &
Village at Lincoln Park	1	The two biggest factors for me are family lowing, and affordable lossing diversified. Whe of an applications were much diversified but broke Estats & Hendroof are

State Housing Initiative Partnership Program—Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Ranking of Affordable Rental Housing Projects—ConnectFree—LGAO

July 13, 2021

Evaluator Name: Shaupa Rich	
Evaluator Signature: Wayna Rich	
	_

- 1. Number of units provided;
- 2. Resident income mix;
- 3. Number of units provided for persons with special needs;
- 4. Location of the project (Does the location further the City's goal of providing affordable rental housing in all areas of the City? Is the project compatible with surrounding areas? What are the nearby amenities? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 5. On-site amenities (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 6. Resident services; (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 7. Marketing and outreach to potential residents;
- 8. Loan terms;
- 9. Given the goals of RFA 2021-201, what are the chances of being chosen for funding by the State (FHFC)?;
- 10. Experience of the developers; and
- 11. Overall feasibility of the project.

Project Rank Comments		
Madison Moor 4 2. Too long loan term 3. Moderate rents		1. Large sizepoor for footprint 2. Too long loan term 3. Moderate rents
Woodland Park, Phase 2	١	1.6000 mix of housing unit sizes 2. Able to continue carring for existing families 3. Provides excellent amenities 4. Good history of prior developments
Veranda Estates 1. Cheaper rents 2. More diverse rental prices 3. Lots of experience 4. Do not like vertical integration		1. Cheaper rents 2. More diverse rental prices 3. Lets of experience 4. Do not like vertical integration
Village at Lincoln Park	2	1. Good mix of housing unit sizes 2. Limited experience with developments 3. Limited amenities 4. Good location

State Housing Initiative Partnership Program—Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Ranking of Affordable Rental Housing Projects—ConnectFree—LGAO

July 13, 2021

Evaluator Name:	Aditya	Basu-Du	tta	
Evaluator Signature	:	altys	30	

- 1. Number of units provided;
- 2. Resident income mix;
- 3. Number of units provided for persons with special needs;
- 4. Location of the project (Does the location further the City's goal of providing affordable rental housing in all areas of the City? Is the project compatible with surrounding areas? What are the nearby amenities? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 5. On-site amenities (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 6. Resident services; (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 7. Marketing and outreach to potential residents;
- 8. Loan terms:
- 9. Given the goals of RFA 2021-201, what are the chances of being chosen for funding by the State (FHFC)?;
- 10. Experience of the developers; and
- 11. Overall feasibility of the project.

Project	Rank	Comments
Madison Moor - Lack of emipmasis on green space - Good plan for boan, comparatively		- Good plan for loan, comparatively
Woodland Park, Phase 2 - Family focused of building on present amenities - Most units for ≤33% AMI - Qualifies for LGAO proposal submitted in 2020		
Veranda Estates	4	- Multiple little errs in their presentation - Not a Florida-based firm - Not family focused
Village at Lincoln Park	2	- Family focused - Location in a more socioeconomically diverse neighborhood - Pantof GCRA area

State Housing Initiative Partnership Program—Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Ranking of Affordable Rental Housing Projects—ConnectFree—LGAO

	Λ I	/ July 13, 2021	
Evaluator Name:	(ctavia 1	Nashinatin	
Evaluator Signature:	Octavia	WA	
	2	P	

- 1. Number of units provided;
- 2. Resident income mix;
- 3. Number of units provided for persons with special needs;
- 4. Location of the project (Does the location further the City's goal of providing affordable rental housing in all areas of the City? Is the project compatible with surrounding areas? What are the nearby amenities? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 5. On-site amenities (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 6. Resident services; (What are they? Are they sufficient? Will they meet the needs of the project's tenants?);
- 7. Marketing and outreach to potential residents;
- 8. Loan terms;
- 9. Given the goals of RFA 2021-201, what are the chances of being chosen for funding by the State (FHFC)?;
- 10. Experience of the developers; and
- 11. Overall feasibility of the project.

Project	Rank	Comments
Madison Moor	2	On Units, 33% - 9 units, led to 73 units (neome) disabiling condition (5) All 82 units considered special needs units hocation of the project furthers the City's grad providing afforable vental housing. The nearby amenities are sufficient and will meet the needs of the fenants.
Woodland Park, Phase 2	1	gle unity, 33% - 10 units, 60% - 86 units (income), 3 of the extreme low income units will be set aside for person with special test. Location of the project does further the city's good of needs. Location of the project does further the city's good of providing affordable rental horizing. The nearby amenities are sufficient ance will meet the needs of tenants.
Veranda Estates	4	
Village at Lincoln Park	3	