

City of Gainesville

City Hall 200 East University Avenue Gainesville, Florida 32601

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 150385. **Version**: 1 **Name**:

Type: Staff Recommendation Status: Passed

 File created:
 9/29/2015
 In control:
 City Attorney

 On agenda:
 10/15/2015
 Final action:
 10/15/2015

Title: WILLIAM THOMAS VS. CITY OF GAINESVILLE, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION FOR THE STATE

OF FLORIDA, EDWARD CHARLES KERSEY, JOANN CATHCART, AND JOHNNY BROWN; EIGHTH

JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CASE NO. 2015-CA-2663 (B)

Sponsors: City Attorney

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. 150385_William Thomas _20151015.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/15/2015	1	City Commission	Approved as Recommended	

WILLIAM THOMAS VS. CITY OF GAINESVILLE, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA, EDWARD CHARLES KERSEY, JOANN CATHCART, AND JOHNNY BROWN; EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CASE NO. 2015-CA-2663 (B)

The City Commission authorize the City Attorney to represent the City, Joann Cathcart and Johnny Brown in the case styled William Thomas vs. City of Gainesville, a Municipal Corporation for the State of Florida, Edward Charles Kersey, Joann Cathcart, and Johnny Brown; Eighth Judicial Circuit, Case No. 2015-CA-2663.

On September 24, 2015, Joann Cathcart and Johnny Brown were served with a Summons and Complaint filed by William Thomas. The City has not yet been served but anticipates service of process at any time. The Complaint attempts to state a cause of action for malicious prosecution against the City and three individuals who were employed by the City. William Thomas is also a former employee of the City of Gainesville. In 2012, Mr. Thomas' employment was terminated for misconduct, including theft of City property. Mr. Thomas grieved the termination in accordance with the Collective Bargaining Agreement, and an independent Arbitrator upheld the disciplinary action. Simultaneously, Mr. Thomas was investigated by GPD and prosecuted by the State Attorney's Office. Ms. Cathcart, Mr. Brown, and others were witnesses in the criminal investigation and prosecution. Ultimately, the State Attorney's Office determined that the administrative action of losing his employment was sufficient punishment, and declined to pursue criminal prosecution. William Thomas claims to have suffered grievously, has been brought into public scandal, and great humiliation, mental suffering, and damage to reputation. Mr. Thomas seeks money damages in excess of \$15,000.00.