

File #:	050	350	Version:	1	Name:	Contract Extension for the Pro Automotive and Equipment Pa	
Туре:	Staf	Staff Recommendation			Status:	Passed	
File created:	5/8/2	2006			In control:	City Manager	
On agenda:					Final action:	5/8/2006	
Title:	Contract Extension for the Procurement of Automotive Equipment Parts and Supplies (B)						
	This item involves exercising an option to extend the contract for one (1) year, the final of thre one-year extensions as allowed in the Agreement.						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:	1. 050350_200509121300.pdf, 2. 050350a_200605081300.pdf, 3. 050350b_200605081300.pdf, 4. 050350c_200605081300.pdf, 5. 050350d_200605081300.pdf						
Date	Ver.	Action By			Ac	tion	Result
5/8/2006	1	City Com	nmission		Ap	proved as Recommended	Pass
9/12/2005	0	City Corr	nmission		Ap	proved as Recommended	Pass

Contract Extension for the Procurement of Automotive Equipment Parts and Supplies (B)

This item involves exercising an option to extend the contract for one (1) year, the final of three (3) one-year extensions as allowed in the Agreement.

In October 2003, the City Commission awarded a one-year contract to Genuine Auto Parts d/b/a NAPA Auto Parts for the procurement of automotive and equipment parts and supplies. Fleet personnel recommend taking advantage of the contractual terms allowing for extensions and wish to extend the contract with Genuine Auto Parts d/b/a NAPA Auto Parts for an additional one-year term October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007. This will be the final extension available on the contract.

\$150,000 is available in Fleet Management's Budget for FY06-07 for the contract extension.

The City Commission: 1) approve the extension of the current contract with Genuine Auto Parts d/b/a NAPA Auto Parts for a period of one-year; and 2) authorize the City Manager or his designee to execute the contract extension.

Alternative Recommendation A: The City Commission require re-bidding the contract, which may result in the City losing the discount currently allowed under the Agreement.

Alternative Recommendation B: The City Commission return to past practice by requesting quotations on demand, which may result in the City losing the efficiencies and price protection of the current contract.